Dynamic Programming

EECS3101 Z:
Design and Analysis of Algorithms
Winter 2026

CHEN-WEI WANG

Learning Outcomes of this Lecture

This module is designed to help you:

- Recognize when ***Dynamic Programming*** (***DP***) is appropriate:
  - ***overlapping subproblems***
  - ***optimal substructure***
- Define ***state***, ***recurrence relation***, and ***base cases***.
- Differentiate the two main approaches to ***DP***:
  - **Top-Down** ***DP***: **recursive**, with ***memoization***
  - **Bottom-Up** ***DP***: **iterative**, with ***tabulation***
- Analyze time and space complexities of ***DP*** algorithms.

Dynamic Programming (DP): Why

- A **recursive** solution:
  - may be natural/straightforward and elegant to define
  - explores a (large) number of possibilities [runtime **recursion tree**]
  - but (many) branches may **repeatedly** solve the **same** ***subproblems***
    $\Rightarrow$ **exponential** running time $\because$ unnecessary recomputations
- ***DP*** works by:
  - solving **each** ***subproblem*** **once**
  - ***storing*** its answer
  - ***reusing*** that stored answer later
- ***DP*** is applicable when:
  - ***Subproblems overlap***
    - Same subproblem appears **multiple** times in the **recursive** process.
    - e.g., To solve *fib*(6), we need to solve *fib*(4) twice. Why?
  - ***The problem has optimal substructure***
    - Optimal solution to the ***original problem*** can be built from optimal solutions to smaller ***subproblems***.
    - Without ***optimal substructure***, solutions to smaller subproblems ***may not combine correctly*** into a solution to the larger problem.

Dynamic Programming (DP): What

- ***DP*** is a general algorithm design technique for problems with:
  - ***overlapping subproblems***
  - ***optimal substructure***
- ***DP*** is often used to solve problems asking for, e.g.,:
  - ***number of ways***
    e.g., Number of distinct ways to climb a staircase of height *n*?
  - ***minimum or maximum value***
    e.g., Minimum total cost to climb to the top of a staircase?
  - ***feasibility***
    e.g., Possible to choose some numbers whose sum is exactly *S*?
  - ***best value under constraints***
    e.g., Max total value of items that fit into a bag of limited capacity?
- Why the name?
  - "***Programming***": computations planned in a **step-by-step** manner
  - "***Dynamic***": solution to the current ***subproblem*** built from solutions to smaller ***subproblems*** computed earlier

Dynamic Programming (DP): How

- Define the ***state*** and ***subproblem***.

  e.g., In solving the **Fibonacci problem**,
  the ***state*** is the index $i \geq 0$, and
  the corresponding ***subproblem*** is to compute $fib(i)$.
- Break the original ***problem*** into smaller ***subproblems***.
- Express the solution using a ***recurrence relation***.
- Identify the ***base cases***.
- Compute solutions using one of two approaches:
  - Top-Down : **recursion** + ***memoization***
    - solve the original problem recursively
    - store answers to ***subproblems***
    - reuse stored answers to **avoid** ***recomputations***
  - Bottom-Up : **iteration** + ***tabulation***
    - solve the original problem iteratively
    - fill the (1D or 2D) table between iterations
    - build toward the final answer from the smallest ***subproblems*** upward

DP Example (1.1): Fibonacci Number

- Can you identify the pattern of a ***Fibonacci sequence***?

$$F = 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, \ldots$$

- The formal ***recurrence relation*** for computing the i_{th} ***Fibonacci number*** (denoted as F_i):

$$F_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ F_{i-1} + F_{i-2} & \text{if } i > 1 \end{cases}$$

- Then, straightforward to transform F_i into executable Java:

```
static int fib(int i) {
  if(i < 0) { throw new IllegalArgumentException("Negative i"); }
  if(i == 0) { /* base case */ return 0; }
  if(i == 1) { /* base case */ return 1; }
  /* recursive case */
  return fib(i - 1) + fib(i - 2);
}
```

DP Example (1.2): Fibonacci Number

A **top-down** DP approach using ***recursion*** and ***memoization***:

```
1  static int fibTopDown(int i) {
2    if(i < 0) { throw new IllegalArgumentException("Negative i"); }
3    /* memoization: storing the result for each fib(i), i >= 0 */
4    int[] memo = new int[i + 1];
5    /* Initialize base cases. */
6    Arrays.fill(memo, -1); memo[0] = 0; if(i > 0) { memo[1] = 1; }
7    return fibTopDownH(i, memo);
8  }
9  static int fibTopDownH(int i, int[] memo) {
10   if(i == 0) { /* base case */ return memo[0]; }
11   if(i == 1) { /* base case */ return memo[1]; }
12   /* recursive case: reusing previous computation */
13   if(memo[i] != -1) { return memo[i]; }
14   /* recursive case: compute once, store once, reuse later */
15   memo[i] = fibTopDownH(i - 1, memo) + fibTopDownH(i - 2, memo);
16   return memo[i];
17 }
```

DP Example (1.3): Fibonacci Number

A **bottom-up** DP approach using ***iteration*** and ***tabulation***:

```
1  static int fibBottomUp(int i) {
2    if(i < 0) { throw new IllegalArgumentException("Negative i"); }
3    /* tabulation: tab[i] stores fib(i), i >= 0 */
4    int[] tab = new int[i + 1];
5    /* Initialize base cases. */
6    Arrays.fill(tab, -1); tab[0] = 0; if(i > 0) { tab[1] = 1; }
7    if(i == 0) { /* base case */ return tab[0]; }
8    if(i == 1) { /* base case */ return tab[1]; }
9    /* Build from smaller subproblems upward. */
10   for(int j = 2; j <= i; j ++) {
11     tab[j] = tab[j - 1] + tab[j - 2];
12   }
13   return tab[i];
14 }
```

DP Example (2.1): Factorial

- The formal ***recurrence relation*** for computing the ***factorial*** of i (denoted as $i!$):

$$i! = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ i \times (i-1)! & \text{if } i > 0 \end{cases}$$

- Then, straightforward to transform $i!$ into executable Java:

```
static int fac(int i) {
  if(i < 0) { throw new IllegalArgumentException("Negative i"); }
  if(i == 0) { /* base case */ return 1; }
  /* recursive case */
  return i * fac(i - 1);
}
```

DP Example (2.2): Factorial

A **top-down** DP approach using ***recursion*** and ***memoization***:

```
1  static int facTopDown(int i) {
2    if(i < 0) { throw new IllegalArgumentException("Negative i"); }
3    /* memoization: storing the result for each fac(i), i >= 0 */
4    int[] memo = new int[i + 1];
5    /* Initialize base cases. */
6    Arrays.fill(memo, -1); memo[0] = 1;
7    return facTopDownH(i, memo);
8  }
9  static int facTopDownH(int i, int[] memo) {
10   if(i == 0) { /* base case */ return memo[0]; }
11   /* recursive case: reusing previous computation */
12   if(memo[i] != -1) { return memo[i]; }
13   /* recursive case: compute once, store once, reuse later */
14   memo[i] = i * facTopDownH(i - 1, memo);
15   return memo[i];
16 }
```

DP Example (2.3): Factorial

A **bottom-up** DP approach using ***iteration*** and ***tabulation***:

```
1  static int facBottomUp(int i) {
2    if(i < 0) { throw new IllegalArgumentException("Negative i"); }
3    /* tabulation: tab[i] stores fac(i), i >= 0 */
4    int[] tab = new int[i + 1];
5    /* Initialize base cases. */
6    Arrays.fill(tab, -1); tab[0] = 1;
7    if(i == 0) { /* base case */ return tab[0]; }
8    /* Build from smaller subproblems upward. */
9    for(int j = 1; j <= i; j ++) {
10     tab[j] = j * tab[j - 1];
11   }
12   return tab[i];
13 }
```

DP: Fibonacci vs. Factorial

- Fibonacci
  - ***optimal substructure***
  - ***overlapping subproblems***
  - Recursive solution:
    - **Space** Complexity: $O(n)$ [recursion stack depth]
    - **Time** Complexity: $O(2^n)$ [recursion tree branches heavily]
  - ***DP*** is useful

    $\Rightarrow$ top-down and bottom-up approaches both improve efficiency
    - **Space** Complexity: $O(n)$ [recursion stack depth vs. 1D table size]
    - **Time** Complexity: $O(n)$ [each $fib(i)$ solved once]
- Factorial
  - ***optimal substructure***
  - **no** overlapping subproblems
  - Recursive solution:
    - **Space** Complexity: $O(n)$ [recursion stack depth]
    - **Time** Complexity: $O(n)$ [only one recursive branch]
  - ***DP*** is **not** really needed

    $\Rightarrow$ top-down and bottom-up approaches do **not** improve efficiency
    - **Space** Complexity: $O(n)$ [recursion stack depth vs. 1D table size]
    - **Time** Complexity: $O(n)$ [each $fac(i)$ solved once, stored result not reused]

DP Example (3.1a): Minimum Cost Climbing

- **In**: an array *cost*, where $cost[i]$ denotes the cost of stepping on stair i
- **Rules**:
  - Start climbing at stair 0 or stair 1.
  - From stair i, one may climb one step to stair $i+1$, or two steps to stair $i+2$.
  - The top (of the staircase) refers to the imaginary index $cost.length$.
- **Out**: ***minimum total cost to climb to the top or beyond***
  - $\{5\} \rightarrow 0$ [start: stair 1 → top reached, costing nothing]
  - $\{10, 15\} \rightarrow 10$ [pay: stair 0; climb 2 steps to top]
  - $\{15, 10\} \rightarrow 10$ [pay: stair 1; climb 1 step to top]
  - $\{5, 5, 3\} \rightarrow 5$ [pay: stair 1; climb 2 steps to top]
  - $\{4, 3, 5, 7\} \rightarrow 8$ [pay: stair 1; climb 1 step; pay: stair 2; climb 2 steps to top]

DP Example (3.1b): Minimum Cost Climbing

- Given n stairs, the formal ***recurrence relation*** for computing the ***minimum cost to climb to the top or beyond*** from stair i (denoted as M_i):

$$M_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \geq n \\ cost[i] + \mathbf{min}(M_{i+1}, M_{i+2}) & \text{if } 0 \leq i < n \end{cases}$$

- One may start from stair 0 or stair 1 $\Rightarrow$ **Final output**: $\mathbf{min}(M_0, M_1)$
- Then, straightforward to transform M_i into executable Java:

```
static int minCostClimbing(int[] cost) {
  if(cost == null || cost.length == 0) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(...); }
  /* Starting at stair 1 means already being at the top, costing nothing. */
  if(cost.length == 1) { return 0; }
  /* Start at either stair 0 or stair 1. */
  return Math.min(minCostClimbingH(cost, 0), minCostClimbingH(cost, 1));
}
static int minCostClimbingH(int[] cost, int i) {
  /* base case: when the top or beyond is reached, pay nothing */
  if(i >= cost.length) { return 0; }
  /* recursive case: after paying cost[i], choose the cheaper of 1-step or 2-step move */
  return cost[i] + Math.min(minCostClimbingH(cost, i + 1), minCostClimbingH(cost, i + 2));
}
```

DP Example (3.2): Minimum Cost Climbing

A **top-down** DP approach using ***recursion*** and ***memoization***:

```java
static int minCostClimbingTopDown(int[] cost) {
  if(cost == null || cost.length == 0) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(...); }
  /* Starting at stair 1 means already being at the top, costing nothing. */
  if(cost.length == 1) { return 0; }
  /* memo[i]: minimum cost to reach the top or beyond, starting from stair i */
  int[] memo = new int[cost.length];
  /* Initialize: memo[i] == -1 means no cost determined and stored yet */
  Arrays.fill(memo, -1);
  /* Start at either stair 0 or stair 1. */
  return Math.min(mccTD_H(cost, 0, memo), mccTD_H(cost, 1, memo));
}
static int mccTD_H(int[] cost, int i, int[] memo) {
  /* base case: when the top or beyond is reached, pay nothing */
  if(i >= cost.length) { return 0; }
  /* recursive case: reusing previous computation */
  if(memo[i] != -1) { return memo[i]; }
  /* recursive case: compute once, store once, reuse later */
  memo[i] = cost[i] + Math.min(mccTD_H(cost, i + 1, memo), mccTD_H(cost, i + 2, memo));
  return memo[i];
}
```

DP Example (3.3): Minimum Cost Climbing

A **bottom-up** DP approach using ***iteration*** and ***tabulation***:

```
1  static int minCostClimbingBottomUp(int[] cost) {
2    if(cost == null || cost.length == 0) { throw new IllegalArgumentException(...); }
3    /* Starting at stair 1 means already being at the top, costing nothing. */
4    if(cost.length == 1) { return 0; }
5    /* tab[i]: minimum cost to reach the top or beyond, starting from stair i
6     * The last two indices of `tab`, n and n + 1, denote "top" and "beyond".
7     */
8    int[] tab = new int[cost.length + 2];
9    /* Initialize base cases: starting at or beyond the top costs 0 */
10   Arrays.fill(tab, -1); tab[cost.length] = 0; tab[cost.length + 1] = 0;
11   /* Fill backwards because tab[i] depends on tab[i+1] and tab[i+2]. */
12   for(int i = cost.length - 1; i >= 0; i--) {
13     tab[i] = cost[i] + Math.min(tab[i + 1], tab[i + 2]);
14   }
15   /* Return the cheaper total cost: starting from stair 0 or starting from stair 1. */
16   return Math.min(tab[0], tab[1]);
17 }
```

Beyond this lecture

- Explore the source code on the lectures site: `ExampleDynamicProgramming.zip`

Index (1)

Index (2)