#### **Review of Math**



EECS4315 Z: Mission-Critical Systems Winter 2025

CHEN-WEI WANG

#### **Learning Outcomes of this Lecture**



This module is designed to help you **review**:

- Propositional Logic
- Predicate Logic

### **Propositional Logic (1)**



- A *proposition* is a statement of claim that must be of either *true* or *false*, but not both.
- Basic logical operands are of type Boolean: true and false.
- We use logical operators to construct compound statements.
  - Unary logical operator: negation (¬)

р	$\neg p$
true	false
false	true

Binary logical operators: conjunction (∧), disjunction (∨), implication (⇒), equivalence (≡), and if-and-only-if ( ⇐⇒ ).

p	q	$p \wedge q$	$p \lor q$	$p \Rightarrow q$	$p \iff q$	<i>p</i> ≡ <i>q</i>
true	true	true	true	true	true	true
true	false	false	true	false	false	false
false	true	false	true	true	false	false
false	false	false	false	true	true	true

3 of 13

### **Propositional Logic: Implication (1)**



- Written as  $p \Rightarrow q$  [pronounced as "p implies q"]
  - We call p the antecedent, assumption, or premise.
  - We call q the consequence or conclusion.
- Compare the *truth* of  $p \Rightarrow q$  to whether a contract is *honoured*:
  - ∘ antecedent/assumption/premise  $p \approx$  promised terms [e.g., salary]
  - $\circ$  consequence/conclusion  $q \approx$  obligations [e.g., duties]
- When the promised terms are met, then the contract is:
  - $\circ$  honoured if the obligations fulfilled. [ (true  $\Rightarrow$  true)  $\iff$  true]
  - $\circ$  breached if the obligations violated. [ (true  $\Rightarrow$  false)  $\iff$  false]
- When the promised terms are not met, then:
  - Fulfilling the obligation (q) or not  $(\neg q)$  does *not breach* the contract.

р	q	$p \Rightarrow q$
false	true	true
false	false	true

4 of 13

2 of 13



### **Propositional Logic: Implication (2)**

```
There are alternative, equivalent ways to expressing p \Rightarrow q:
   o q if p
          g is true if p is true
   o p only if q
          If p is true, then for p \Rightarrow q to be true, it can only be that q is also true.
          Otherwise, if p is true but q is false, then (true \Rightarrow false) \equiv false.
      Note. To prove p \equiv q, prove p \iff q (pronounced: "p if and only if q"):

    p if q

                                                                         [p \leftarrow q \equiv q \Rightarrow p]

 p only if q

                                                                                   [p \Rightarrow q]
   • p is sufficient for q
                                                                      [ similar to q if p ]
          For q to be true, it is sufficient to have p being true.
                                                               [ similar to p only if q ]
   • q is necessary for p
          If p is true, then it is necessarily the case that q is also true.
          Otherwise, if p is true but q is false, then (true \Rightarrow false) \equiv false.
   o q unless ¬p
                                                              [ When is p \Rightarrow q true? ]
          If q is true, then p \Rightarrow q true regardless of p.
          If q is false, then p \Rightarrow q cannot be true unless p is false.
5 of 13
```

### **Propositional Logic: Implication (3)**



Given an implication  $p \Rightarrow q$ , we may construct its:

- **Inverse**:  $\neg p \Rightarrow \neg q$  [ negate antecedent and consequence ]
- Converse:  $q \Rightarrow p$  [ swap antecedent and consequence ]
- **Contrapositive**:  $\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$  [inverse of converse]



### **Propositional Logic (2)**

• Axiom: Definition of ⇒

• **Theorem**: Identity of 
$$\Rightarrow$$

$$true \Rightarrow p \equiv p$$

• **Theorem**: Zero of ⇒

$$false \Rightarrow p \equiv true$$

• Axiom: De Morgan

$$\neg(p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

• Axiom: Double Negation

$$p \equiv \neg (\neg p)$$

• Theorem: Contrapositive

$$p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$$

7 of 13

## **Predicate Logic (1)**



- A predicate is a universal or existential statement about objects in some universe of disclosure.
- Unlike propositions, predicates are typically specified using variables, each of which declared with some range of values.
- We use the following symbols for common numerical ranges:
  - ∘  $\mathbb{Z}$ : the set of integers  $[-\infty, ..., -1, 0, 1, ..., +\infty]$ ∘  $\mathbb{N}$ : the set of natural numbers  $[0, 1, ..., +\infty]$
- Variable(s) in a predicate may be *quantified*:
  - Universal quantification:
     All values that a variable may take satisfy certain property.
     e.g., Given that i is a natural number, i is always non-negative.
  - Existential quantification:
     Some value that a variable may take satisfies certain property.
     e.g., Given that i is an integer, i can be negative.

8 of 13

6 of 13



### Predicate Logic (2.1): Universal Q. (∀)

- A *universal quantification* has the form  $(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow P)$ 
  - X is a comma-separated list of variable names
  - R is a constraint on types/ranges of the listed variables
  - P is a property to be satisfied
- *For all* (combinations of) values of variables listed in *X* that satisfies *R*, it is the case that *P* is satisfied.

$$\circ \ \forall i \bullet i \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow i \geq 0$$
 [true] 
$$\circ \ \forall i \bullet i \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow i \geq 0$$
 [false] 
$$\circ \ \forall i, j \bullet i \in \mathbb{Z} \land j \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow i < j \lor i > j$$
 [false]

- Proof Strategies
  - **1.** How to prove  $(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow P)$  *true*?
    - **Hint.** When is  $R \Rightarrow P$  **true**? [ true  $\Rightarrow$  true, false  $\Rightarrow$  \_]
    - Show that for all instances of  $x \in X$  s.t. R(x), P(x) holds.
    - Show that for all instances of  $x \in X$  it is the case  $\neg R(x)$ .
  - **2.** How to prove  $(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow P)$  **false**?
    - **Hint.** When is  $R \Rightarrow P$  **false**?

[  $true \Rightarrow false$  ]

• Give a witness/counterexample of  $x \in X$  s.t. R(x),  $\neg P(x)$  holds.

9 of 13



### Predicate Logic (2.2): Existential Q. (∃)

- An *existential quantification* has the form  $(\exists X \bullet R \land P)$ 
  - X is a comma-separated list of variable names
  - R is a constraint on types/ranges of the listed variables
  - *P* is a *property* to be satisfied
- There exist (a combination of) values of variables listed in X that satisfy both R and P.

$\circ \exists i \bullet i \in \mathbb{N} \land i \geq 0$	[ true ]
$\circ \exists i \bullet i \in \mathbb{Z} \land i \geq 0$	[ true ]
$\circ \exists i, j \bullet i \in \mathbb{Z} \land j \in \mathbb{Z} \land (i < j \lor i > j)$	[ true ]

- Proof Strategies
  - **1.** How to prove  $(\exists X \bullet R \land P)$  *true*?
    - **Hint.** When is  $R \wedge P$  **true**?

[ true ∧ true ]

- Give a *witness* of  $x \in X$  s.t. R(x), P(x) holds.
- **2.** How to prove  $(\exists X \bullet R \land P)$  *false*?
  - **Hint.** When is  $R \wedge P$  **false**?

[ true \ false, false \ \_ ]

- Show that for <u>all</u> instances of  $x \in X$  s.t. R(x),  $\neg P(x)$  holds.
- Show that for <u>all</u> instances of  $x \in X$  it is the case  $\neg R(x)$ .

#### 10 of 13

### **Predicate Logic (3): Exercises**



- Prove or disprove:  $\forall x \bullet (x \in \mathbb{Z} \land 1 \le x \le 10) \Rightarrow x > 0$ . All 10 integers between 1 and 10 are greater than 0.
- Prove or disprove:  $\forall x \bullet (x \in \mathbb{Z} \land 1 \le x \le 10) \Rightarrow x > 1$ . Integer 1 (a witness/counterexample) in the range between 1 and 10 is *not* greater than 1.
- Prove or disprove: ∃x (x ∈ Z ∧ 1 ≤ x ≤ 10) ∧ x > 1.
   Integer 2 (a witness) in the range between 1 and 10 is greater than
- Prove or disprove that  $\exists x \bullet (x \in \mathbb{Z} \land 1 \le x \le 10) \land x > 10$ ? All integers in the range between 1 and 10 are *not* greater than 10.

11 of 13

# Predicate Logic (4): Switching Quantification Sonde

Conversions between ∀ and ∃:

$$(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow P) \iff \neg(\exists X \bullet R \land \neg P)$$
$$(\exists X \bullet R \land P) \iff \neg(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow \neg P)$$



### Index (1)



**Learning Outcomes of this Lecture** 

Propositional Logic (1)

Propositional Logic: Implication (1)

Propositional Logic: Implication (2)

Propositional Logic: Implication (3)

Propositional Logic (2)

Predicate Logic (1)

Predicate Logic (2.1): Universal Q. (∀)

Predicate Logic (2.2): Existential Q. (∃)

Predicate Logic (3): Exercises

**Predicate Logic (4): Switching Quantifications** 

13 of 13