#### What is a Safety-Critical System (SCS)?



LASSONDE

- A safety-critical system (SCS) is a system whose failure or malfunction has one (or more) of the following consequences:
  - death or serious injury to people
  - loss or severe damage to equipment/property
  - harm to the environment
- Based on the above definition, do you know of any systems that are *safety-critical*?



Learning Outcomes



This module is designed to help you understand:

Mission-Critical Systems vs. Safety-Critical Systems

Introduction

EECS4315 Z:

**Mission-Critical Systems** 

Winter 2025

CHEN-WEI WANG

- Code of Ethics for Professional Engineers
- What a Formal Method Is
- Verification vs. Validation
- Catching Defects: When?
- Model-Based Development: EECS3342 vs. EECS4315

#### **Professional Engineers: Code of Ethics**

- Code of Ethics is a basic guide for professional conduct and imposes duties on practitioners, with respect to society, employers, clients, colleagues (including employees and subordinates), the engineering profession and him or herself.
- It is the duty of a practitioner to act at all times with,
- 1. *fairness* and *loyalty* to the practitioner's associates, employers, clients, subordinates and employees;
- 2. *fidelity* (i.e., dedication, faithfulness) to public needs;
- 3. devotion to high ideals of personal honour and professional integrity;
- 4. *knowledge* of developments in the area of professional engineering relevant to any services that are undertaken; and
- 5. *competence* in the performance of any professional engineering services that are undertaken.
- Consequence of misconduct?
  - suspension or termination of professional licenses
  - civil law suits

Source: PEO's Code of Ethics

### **Developing Safety-Critical Systems**

LASSONDE

*Industrial standards* in various domains list *acceptance criteria* for **mission**- or **safety**-critical systems that practitioners need to comply with: e.g.,

**Aviation** Domain: **RTCA DO-178C** "Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification"

**Nuclear** Domain: **IEEE 7-4.3.2** "Criteria for Digital Computers in Safety Systems of Nuclear Power Generating Stations"

- Two important criteria are:
- 1. System *requirements* are precise and complete
- 2. System implementation conforms to the requirements

But how do we accomplish these criteria?

#### 5 of 16

#### **Using Formal Methods for Certification**



- A formal method (FM) is a mathematically rigorous technique for the specification, development, and verification of software and hardware systems.
- **DO-333** "Formal methods supplement to DO-178C and DO-278A" advocates the use of formal methods:

The use of **formal methods** is motivated by the expectation that, as in other engineering disciplines, performing appropriate **mathematical analyses** can contribute to establishing the **correctness** and **robustness** of a design.

- FMs, because of their mathematical basis, are capable of:
  - Unambiguously describing software system requirements.
  - Enabling *precise* communication between engineers.
  - Providing *verification (towards certification) evidence* of:
    - A formal representation of the system being healthy.
- A *formal* representation of the system *satisfying* safety properties.

## Safety-Critical vs. Mission-Critical?

• Critical:

A task whose successful completion ensures the success of a larger, more complex operation.

e.g., Success of a pacemaker  $\Rightarrow$  Regulated heartbeats of a patient

• Safety:

Being free from danger/injury to or loss of human lives.

• Mission:

An operation or task assigned by a higher authority.

- Q. Formally relate being *safety*-critical and *mission*-critical.
- Α.
- ∘ *safety*-critical ⇒ *mission*-critical
- *mission*-critical *⇒ safety*-critical
- Relevant industrial standard: *RTCA DO-178C* (replacing RTCA DO-178B in 2012) "Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification"

Source: Article from OpenSystems

# Verification: Building the Product Right?





- Implementation built via reusable programming components.
- Goal : Implementation Satisfies Intended Requirements
- To verify this, we *formalize* them as a *system model* and a set of (e.g., safety) *properties*, using the specification language of a <u>theorem prover</u> (EECS3342) or a <u>model checker</u> (EECS4315).
   Two Verification Issues:
- Library components may not behave as intended.
- 2. Successful checks/proofs ensure that we *built the product right*, with respect to the <u>informal</u> requirements. **But**...

### Validation: Building the Right Product?



• Successful checks/proofs  $\Rightarrow$  We *built the right product*.

- The target of our checks/proofs may not be valid: The requirements may be *ambiguous*, *incomplete*, or *contradictory*.
- Solution: Precise Documentation

[EECS4312]

LASSONDE

LASSONDE

#### 9 of 16

#### Model-Based Development in EECS3342



- Modelling and formal reasoning should be performed before implementing/coding a system.
  - A system's *model* is its *abstraction*, filtering irrelevant details. A system *model* means as much to a software engineer as a blueprint means to an architect.
  - A system may have a list of *models*, "sorted" by accuracy:  $\langle m_0, m_1, \ldots, \overline{m_i}, \overline{m_j}, \ldots, m_n \rangle$ 
    - The list starts by the most *abstract* model with least details.
    - A more *abstract* model *m<sub>i</sub>* is said to be *refined by* its subsequent, more *concrete* model *m<sub>i</sub>*
    - The list ends with the most *concrete/refined* model with most details.
  - It is far easier to reason about:
    - a system's abstract models (rather than its full implementation)
    - **refinement** steps between subsequent models
- The final product is **correct by construction**.

### Catching Defects – When?

- To minimize development costs, minimize software defects.
- Software Development Cycle: Requirements  $\rightarrow$  *Design*  $\rightarrow$  *Implementation*  $\rightarrow$  Release Q. Design or Implementation Phase?

Catch defects *as early as possible*.

Design and architecture	Implementation	Integration testing	Customer beta test	Postproduct release
1X*	5X	10X	15X	30X

: The cost of fixing defects increases exponentially as software progresses through the development lifecycle.

- Discovering *defects* after **release** costs up to 30 times more than catching them in the **design** phase.
- Choice of a *design language*, amendable to *formal verification*, is therefore critical for your project.

Source: IBM Report

## Model-Based Development in EECS4315



- Modelling and formal reasoning should be performed before implementing/coding a system.
  - A system's *model* is its *abstraction*, filtering irrelevant details. A system model means as much to a software engineer as a blueprint means to an architect.
- A design *model* m specified at the "right" level of *abstraction*: State space not causing a state explosion.
  - *m* is checked against *invariant* and *temporal* properties.
  - m may be added with more details (e.g., variables) to result in a more "refined" model m'.
  - m' is consistent with (or "refines") m as long as:
    - No combinatorial explosion from variable ranges
    - All properties that *m* passes also pass in *m*'.

11 of 16

## **TLA+: An Industrial Strength Toolbox**



From https://lamport.azurewebsites.net/tla/tla.html

TLA + (Temporal Logic of Actions) is a high-level language for modeling programs and systems-especially concurrent and distributed ones.
It's based on the idea that the best way to describe things precisely is with simple mathematics.
TLA+ and its tools are useful for eliminating fundamental design errors, which are hard to find and expensive to correct in code.
TLA+ is a language for modeling software above the code level and hardware above the circuit level.
It has an IDE (Integrated Development Environment) for writing models and running tools to check them. The tool most commonly used by engineers is the TLC model checker, but there is also a proof checker.
TLA+ is based on mathematics and does not resemble any programming language. Most engineers will find PlusCal, described below, to be the easiest way to start using TLA+.

#### Index (1)

Learning Outcomes

What is a Safety-Critical System (SCS)?

Professional Engineers: Code of Ethics

**Developing Safety-Critical Systems** 

Safety-Critical vs. Mission-Critical?

Using Formal Methods to for Certification

Verification: Building the Product Right?

Validation: Building the Right Product?

Catching Defects – When?

Model-Based Development in EECS3342

Model-Based Development in EECS4315

15 of 16

Beyond this lecture ....



- The *TLA+ toolbox* has been report about its use in industry: https://lamport.azurewebsites.net/tla/ industrial-use.html
- Two papers have been made available on eClass:
  - Newcombe, C. Why Amazon Chose TLA+. In Abstract State Machines, Alloy, B, TLA, VDM, and Z, pp 25 – 39. Springer (2014).
  - Newcombe, C., Rath, T., Zhang, F., Munteanu, B., Brooker, M., Deardeuff, M. *How Amazon Web Services Uses Formal Methods*. In *Communications of the ACM*, 58(4), pp 66 – 73. ACM (2015).
- You're encouraged to read them first: we will guide you through some highlights later in the course (after you've gained experience on the TLA+ toolbox).

Index (2)



LASSONDE

**TLA+: An Industrial Strength Toolbox** 

Beyond this lecture ....