

## Math Review: Logic, Sets, Relations



EECS4302 A:  
Compilers and Interpreters  
Summer 2025

CHEN-WEI WANG

## Background for Self-Study



- Topics of **sets** and **relations** were covered in EECS1019/1090.
- Slide 3 to Slide 23 contain what you should recall.

## Propositional Logic (1)



- A **proposition** is a statement of claim that must be of either **true** or **false**, but not both.
- Basic logical operands are of type Boolean: **true** and **false**.
- We use logical operators to construct compound statements.
  - Unary logical operator: negation ( $\neg$ )

$p$	$\neg p$
true	false
false	true

- Binary logical operators: conjunction ( $\wedge$ ), disjunction ( $\vee$ ), implication ( $\Rightarrow$ ), equivalence ( $\equiv$ ), and if-and-only-if ( $\Leftrightarrow$ ).

$p$	$q$	$p \wedge q$	$p \vee q$	$p \Rightarrow q$	$p \Leftrightarrow q$	$p \equiv q$
true	true	true	true	true	true	true
true	false	false	true	false	false	false
false	true	false	true	true	false	false
false	false	false	false	true	true	true

## Propositional Logic: Implication (1)



- Written as  $p \Rightarrow q$  [pronounced as “p implies q”]
  - We call  $p$  the antecedent, assumption, or premise.
  - We call  $q$  the consequence or conclusion.
- Compare the **truth** of  $p \Rightarrow q$  to whether a contract is **honoured**:
  - antecedent/assumption/premise  $p \approx$  promised terms [e.g., salary]
  - consequence/conclusion  $q \approx$  obligations [e.g., duties]
- When the promised terms are met, then the contract is:
  - **honoured** if the obligations fulfilled. [ $(true \Rightarrow true) \Leftrightarrow true$ ]
  - **breached** if the obligations violated. [ $(true \Rightarrow false) \Leftrightarrow false$ ]
- When the promised terms are not met, then:
  - Fulfilling the obligation ( $q$ ) or not ( $\neg q$ ) does **not breach** the contract.

$p$	$q$	$p \Rightarrow q$
false	true	true
false	false	true

## Propositional Logic: Implication (2)



There are alternative, equivalent ways to expressing  $p \Rightarrow q$ :

- **q if p**  
q is *true* if p is *true*
- **p only if q**  
If p is *true*, then for  $p \Rightarrow q$  to be *true*, it can only be that q is also *true*.  
Otherwise, if p is *true* but q is *false*, then  $(\text{true} \Rightarrow \text{false}) \equiv \text{false}$ .
- Note.** To prove  $p \equiv q$ , prove  $p \iff q$  (pronounced: "p if and only if q"):  
  - **p if q** [  $p \leftarrow q \equiv q \Rightarrow p$  ]
  - **p only if q** [  $p \Rightarrow q$  ]
- p is **sufficient** for q [ similar to q if p ]  
For q to be *true*, it is sufficient to have p being *true*.
- q is **necessary** for p [ similar to p only if q ]  
If p is *true*, then it is necessarily the case that q is also *true*.  
Otherwise, if p is *true* but q is *false*, then  $(\text{true} \Rightarrow \text{false}) \equiv \text{false}$ .
- q **unless**  $\neg p$  [ When is  $p \Rightarrow q$  *true*? ]  
If q is *true*, then  $p \Rightarrow q$  *true* regardless of p.  
If q is *false*, then  $p \Rightarrow q$  cannot be *true* unless p is *false*.

5 of 26

## Propositional Logic (2)



- **Axiom:** Definition of  $\Rightarrow$   
$$p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$$

- **Theorem:** Identity of  $\Rightarrow$   
$$\text{true} \Rightarrow p \equiv p$$

- **Theorem:** Zero of  $\Rightarrow$   
$$\text{false} \Rightarrow p \equiv \text{true}$$

- **Axiom:** De Morgan

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(p \wedge q) &\equiv \neg p \vee \neg q \\ \neg(p \vee q) &\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q\end{aligned}$$

- **Axiom:** Double Negation

$$p \equiv \neg(\neg p)$$

- **Theorem:** Contrapositive

$$p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$$

7 of 26

## Propositional Logic: Implication (3)



Given an implication  $p \Rightarrow q$ , we may construct its:

- **Inverse:**  $\neg p \Rightarrow \neg q$  [ negate antecedent and consequence ]
- **Converse:**  $q \Rightarrow p$  [ swap antecedent and consequence ]
- **Contrapositive:**  $\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$  [inverse of converse]

6 of 26

## Predicate Logic (1)



- A **predicate** is a *universal* or *existential* statement about objects in some universe of discourse.
- Unlike propositions, predicates are typically specified using **variables**, each of which declared with some *range* of values.
- We use the following symbols for common numerical ranges:
  - $\mathbb{Z}$ : the set of integers [  $-\infty, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, +\infty$  ]
  - $\mathbb{N}$ : the set of natural numbers [  $0, 1, \dots, +\infty$  ]
- Variable(s) in a predicate may be **quantified**:
  - **Universal quantification**:  
*All* values that a variable may take satisfy certain property.  
e.g., Given that  $i$  is a natural number,  $i$  is *always* non-negative.
  - **Existential quantification**:  
*Some* value that a variable may take satisfies certain property.  
e.g., Given that  $i$  is an integer,  $i$  *can be* negative.

8 of 26

## Predicate Logic (2.1): Universal Q. ( $\forall$ )



- A **universal quantification** has the form  $(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow P)$ 
  - $X$  is a comma-separated list of variable names
  - $R$  is a **constraint on types/ranges** of the listed variables
  - $P$  is a **property** to be satisfied
- For all** (combinations of) values of variables listed in  $X$  that satisfies  $R$ , it is the case that  $P$  is satisfied.
  - $\forall i \bullet i \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow i \geq 0$  [true]
  - $\forall i \bullet i \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow i \geq 0$  [false]
  - $\forall i, j \bullet i \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge j \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow i < j \vee i > j$  [false]
- Proof Strategies**
  - How to prove  $(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow P)$  **true**?
    - Hint.** When is  $R \Rightarrow P$  **true**? [true  $\Rightarrow$  true, false  $\Rightarrow$  -]
    - Show that for all instances of  $x \in X$  s.t.  $R(x)$ ,  $P(x)$  holds.
    - Show that for all instances of  $x \in X$  it is the case  $\neg R(x)$ .
  - How to prove  $(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow P)$  **false**?
    - Hint.** When is  $R \Rightarrow P$  **false**? [true  $\Rightarrow$  false]
    - Give a **witness/counterexample** of  $x \in X$  s.t.  $R(x)$ ,  $\neg P(x)$  holds.

9 of 26

## Predicate Logic (3): Exercises



- Prove or disprove:  $\forall x \bullet (x \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge 1 \leq x \leq 10) \Rightarrow x > 0$ .  
All 10 integers between 1 and 10 are greater than 0.
- Prove or disprove:  $\forall x \bullet (x \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge 1 \leq x \leq 10) \Rightarrow x > 1$ .  
Integer 1 (a witness/counterexample) in the range between 1 and 10 is **not** greater than 1.
- Prove or disprove:  $\exists x \bullet (x \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge 1 \leq x \leq 10) \wedge x > 1$ .  
Integer 2 (a witness) in the range between 1 and 10 is greater than 1.
- Prove or disprove that  $\exists x \bullet (x \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge 1 \leq x \leq 10) \wedge x > 10$ ?  
All integers in the range between 1 and 10 are **not** greater than 10.

11 of 26

## Predicate Logic (2.2): Existential Q. ( $\exists$ )



- An **existential quantification** has the form  $(\exists X \bullet R \wedge P)$ 
  - $X$  is a comma-separated list of variable names
  - $R$  is a **constraint on types/ranges** of the listed variables
  - $P$  is a **property** to be satisfied
- There exist** (a combination of) values of variables listed in  $X$  that satisfy both  $R$  and  $P$ .
  - $\exists i \bullet i \in \mathbb{N} \wedge i \geq 0$  [true]
  - $\exists i \bullet i \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge i \geq 0$  [true]
  - $\exists i, j \bullet i \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge j \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge (i < j \vee i > j)$  [true]
- Proof Strategies**
  - How to prove  $(\exists X \bullet R \wedge P)$  **true**?
    - Hint.** When is  $R \wedge P$  **true**? [true  $\wedge$  true]
    - Give a **witness** of  $x \in X$  s.t.  $R(x)$ ,  $P(x)$  holds.
  - How to prove  $(\exists X \bullet R \wedge P)$  **false**?
    - Hint.** When is  $R \wedge P$  **false**? [true  $\wedge$  false, false  $\wedge$  -]
    - Show that for all instances of  $x \in X$  s.t.  $R(x)$ ,  $\neg P(x)$  holds.
    - Show that for all instances of  $x \in X$  it is the case  $\neg R(x)$ .

10 of 26

## Predicate Logic (4): Switching Quantifications



Conversions between  $\forall$  and  $\exists$ :

$$(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow P) \iff \neg(\exists X \bullet R \wedge \neg P)$$

$$(\exists X \bullet R \wedge P) \iff \neg(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow \neg P)$$

12 of 26

## Set of Tuples



Given  $n$  sets  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ , a **cross/Cartesian product** of these sets is a set of  $n$ -tuples.

Each  **$n$ -tuple**  $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$  contains  $n$  elements, each of which is a member of the corresponding set.

$$S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n = \{(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) \mid e_i \in S_i \wedge 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

e.g.,  $\{a, b\} \times \{2, 4\} \times \{\$, \&\}$  is a set of triples:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{a, b\} \times \{2, 4\} \times \{\$, \&\} \\ = & \{(e_1, e_2, e_3) \mid e_1 \in \{a, b\} \wedge e_2 \in \{2, 4\} \wedge e_3 \in \{\$, \&\}\} \\ = & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a, 2, \$), (a, 2, \&), (a, 4, \$), (a, 4, \&), \\ (b, 2, \$), (b, 2, \&), (b, 4, \$), (b, 4, \&) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

13 of 26

## Relations (1): Constructing a Relation



A **relation** is a set of mappings, each being an **ordered pair** that maps a member of set  $S$  to a member of set  $T$ .

e.g., Say  $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $T = \{a, b\}$

- $\emptyset$  is the **minimum** relation (i.e., an empty relation).
- $S \times T$  is the **maximum** relation (say  $r_1$ ) between  $S$  and  $T$ , mapping from each member of  $S$  to each member in  $T$ :

$$\{(1, a), (1, b), (2, a), (2, b), (3, a), (3, b)\}$$

- $\{(x, y) \mid (x, y) \in S \times T \wedge x \neq 1\}$  is a relation (say  $r_2$ ) that maps only some members in  $S$  to every member in  $T$ :

$$\{(2, a), (2, b), (3, a), (3, b)\}$$

14 of 26

## Relations (2.1): Set of Possible Relations



- We use the **power set** operator to express the set of **all possible relations** on  $S$  and  $T$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(S \times T)$$

Each member in  $\mathbb{P}(S \times T)$  is a relation.

- To declare a relation variable  $r$ , we use the colon ( $:$ ) symbol to mean **set membership**:

$$r : \mathbb{P}(S \times T)$$

- Or alternatively, we write:

$$r : S \leftrightarrow T$$

where the set  $S \leftrightarrow T$  is synonymous to the set  $\mathbb{P}(S \times T)$

15 of 26

## Relations (2.2): Exercise



Enumerate  $\{a, b\} \leftrightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

- Hints:**
  - You may enumerate all relations in  $\mathbb{P}(\{a, b\} \times \{1, 2, 3\})$  via their **cardinalities**:  $0, 1, \dots, |\{a, b\} \times \{1, 2, 3\}|$ .
  - What's the **maximum** relation in  $\mathbb{P}(\{a, b\} \times \{1, 2, 3\})$ ?  
 $\{(a, 1), (a, 2), (a, 3), (b, 1), (b, 2), (b, 3)\}$
- The answer is a set containing **all** of the following relations:
  - Relation with cardinality 0:  $\emptyset$
  - How many relations with cardinality 1?  $\left[ \binom{|\{a, b\} \times \{1, 2, 3\}|}{1} = 6 \right]$
  - How many relations with cardinality 2?  $\left[ \binom{|\{a, b\} \times \{1, 2, 3\}|}{2} = \frac{6 \times 5}{2!} = 15 \right]$
  - ...
  - Relation with cardinality  $|\{a, b\} \times \{1, 2, 3\}|$ :  
 $\{(a, 1), (a, 2), (a, 3), (b, 1), (b, 2), (b, 3)\}$

16 of 26

## Relations (3.1): Domain, Range, Inverse



Given a relation

$$r = \{(a, 1), (b, 2), (c, 3), (a, 4), (b, 5), (c, 6), (d, 1), (e, 2), (f, 3)\}$$

- **domain** of  $r$ : set of first-elements from  $r$ 
  - Definition:  $\text{dom}(r) = \{d \mid (d, r') \in r\}$
  - e.g.,  $\text{dom}(r) = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$
- **range** of  $r$ : set of second-elements from  $r$ 
  - Definition:  $\text{ran}(r) = \{r' \mid (d, r') \in r\}$
  - e.g.,  $\text{ran}(r) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
- **inverse** of  $r$ : a relation like  $r$  with elements swapped
  - Definition:  $r^{-1} = \{(r', d) \mid (d, r') \in r\}$
  - e.g.,  $r^{-1} = \{(1, a), (2, b), (3, c), (4, a), (5, b), (6, c), (1, d), (2, e), (3, f)\}$

17 of 26

## Relations (3.2): Image



Given a relation

$$r = \{(a, 1), (b, 2), (c, 3), (a, 4), (b, 5), (c, 6), (d, 1), (e, 2), (f, 3)\}$$

**relational image** of  $r$  over set  $s$ : sub-range of  $r$  mapped by  $s$ .

- Definition:  $r[s] = \{r' \mid (d, r') \in r \wedge d \in s\}$
- e.g.,  $r[\{a, b\}] = \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$

18 of 26

## Relations (3.3): Restrictions



Given a relation

$$r = \{(a, 1), (b, 2), (c, 3), (a, 4), (b, 5), (c, 6), (d, 1), (e, 2), (f, 3)\}$$

- **domain restriction** of  $r$  over set  $ds$ : sub-relation of  $r$  with domain  $ds$ .
  - Definition:  $ds \triangleleft r = \{(d, r') \mid (d, r') \in r \wedge d \in ds\}$
  - e.g.,  $\{a, b\} \triangleleft r = \{(a, 1), (b, 2), (a, 4), (b, 5)\}$
- **range restriction** of  $r$  over set  $rs$ : sub-relation of  $r$  with range  $rs$ .
  - Definition:  $r \triangleright rs = \{(d, r') \mid (d, r') \in r \wedge r' \in rs\}$
  - e.g.,  $r \triangleright \{1, 2\} = \{(a, 1), (b, 2), (d, 1), (e, 2)\}$

19 of 26

## Relations (3.4): Subtractions



Given a relation

$$r = \{(a, 1), (b, 2), (c, 3), (a, 4), (b, 5), (c, 6), (d, 1), (e, 2), (f, 3)\}$$

- **domain subtraction** of  $r$  over set  $ds$ : sub-relation of  $r$  with domain not  $ds$ .
  - Definition:  $ds \triangleleft r = \{(d, r') \mid (d, r') \in r \wedge d \notin ds\}$
  - e.g.,  $\{a, b\} \triangleleft r = \{(c, 3), (c, 6), (d, 1), (e, 2), (f, 3)\}$
- **range subtraction** of  $r$  over set  $rs$ : sub-relation of  $r$  with range not  $rs$ .
  - Definition:  $r \triangleright rs = \{(d, r') \mid (d, r') \in r \wedge r' \notin rs\}$
  - e.g.,  $r \triangleright \{1, 2\} = \{(c, 3), (a, 4), (b, 5), (c, 6), (f, 3)\}$

20 of 26

## Functions (1): Functional Property

- A **relation**  $r$  on sets  $S$  and  $T$  (i.e.,  $r \in S \leftrightarrow T$ ) is also a **function** if it satisfies the **functional property**:  

$$\text{isFunction}(r)$$

$$\iff \forall s, t_1, t_2 \bullet (s \in S \wedge t_1 \in T \wedge t_2 \in T) \Rightarrow ((s, t_1) \in r \wedge (s, t_2) \in r \Rightarrow t_1 = t_2)$$
  - That is, in a **function**, it is forbidden for a member of  $S$  to map to more than one members of  $T$ .
  - Equivalently, in a **function**, two distinct members of  $T$  cannot be mapped by the same member of  $S$ .
- e.g., Say  $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $T = \{a, b\}$ , which of the following **relations** satisfy the above **functional property**?
  - $S \times T$  [ No ]  
**Witness 1:**  $(1, a), (1, b)$ ; **Witness 2:**  $(2, a), (2, b)$ ; **Witness 3:**  $(3, a), (3, b)$ .
  - $(S \times T) \setminus \{(x, y) \mid (x, y) \in S \times T \wedge x = 1\}$  [ No ]  
**Witness 1:**  $(2, a), (2, b)$ ; **Witness 2:**  $(3, a), (3, b)$
  - $\{(1, a), (2, b), (3, a)\}$  [ Yes ]
  - $\{(1, a), (2, b)\}$  [ Yes ]

21 of 26

## Functions (2.1): Total vs. Partial

Given a **relation**  $r \in S \leftrightarrow T$

- $r$  is a **partial function** if it satisfies the **functional property**:  

$$r \in S \nrightarrow T \iff (\text{isFunction}(r) \wedge \text{dom}(r) \subseteq S)$$
**Remark.**  $r \in S \nrightarrow T$  means there may (or may not) be  $s \in S$  s.t.  $r(s)$  is **undefined** (i.e.,  $r[\{s\}] = \emptyset$ ).
  - e.g.,  $\{(2, a), (1, b)\}, \{(2, a), (3, a), (1, b)\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\} \nrightarrow \{a, b\}$
- $r$  is a **total function** if there is a mapping for each  $s \in S$ :  

$$r \in S \rightarrow T \iff (\text{isFunction}(r) \wedge \text{dom}(r) = S)$$
**Remark.**  $r \in S \rightarrow T$  implies  $r \in S \nrightarrow T$ , but not vice versa. Why?
  - e.g.,  $\{(2, a), (3, a), (1, b)\} \in \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{a, b\}$
  - e.g.,  $\{(2, a), (1, b)\} \notin \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{a, b\}$

22 of 26

## Functions (2.2): Relation Image vs. Function Application

- Recall: A **function** is a **relation**, but a **relation** is not necessarily a **function**.
- Say we have a **partial function**  $f \in \{1, 2, 3\} \nrightarrow \{a, b\}$ :  

$$f = \{(3, a), (1, b)\}$$
  - With  $f$  wearing the **relation** hat, we can invoke **relational images**:

$$\begin{aligned} f[\{3\}] &= \{a\} \\ f[\{1\}] &= \{b\} \\ f[\{2\}] &= \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.**  $\Rightarrow |f[\{v\}]| \leq 1$  ∴

- each member in  $\text{dom}(f)$  is mapped to at most one member in  $\text{ran}(f)$
- each input set  $\{v\}$  is a **singleton** set
- With  $f$  wearing the **function** hat, we can invoke **functional applications**:

$$\begin{aligned} f(3) &= a \\ f(1) &= b \\ f(2) &\text{ is } \text{undefined} \end{aligned}$$

23 of 26

## Index (1)

Background for Self-Study

Propositional Logic (1)

Propositional Logic: Implication (1)

Propositional Logic: Implication (2)

Propositional Logic: Implication (3)

Propositional Logic (2)

Predicate Logic (1)

Predicate Logic (2.1): Universal Q. ( $\forall$ )

Predicate Logic (2.2): Existential Q. ( $\exists$ )

Predicate Logic (3): Exercises

Predicate Logic (4): Switching Quantifications

24 of 26

## Index (2)



Set of Tuples

Relations (1): Constructing a Relation

Relations (2.1): Set of Possible Relations

Relations (2.2): Exercise

Relations (3.1): Domain, Range, Inverse

Relations (3.2): Image

Relations (3.3): Restrictions

Relations (3.4): Subtractions

Functions (1): Functional Property

Functions (2.1): Total vs. Partial

25 of 26

## Index (3)



Functions (2.2):

Relation Image vs. Function Application

26 of 26