# EECS2030 (Sections E & F) Fall 2024 Review Notes: Deriving Classes and Methods from JUnit Tests Expectation and Strategy

CHEN-WEI WANG

## 1 Expectation

- You are given a single JUnit test class, where the test methods collectively illustrate:

- How objects are instantiated from certain classes
   e.g., Person jim = new Person(78.0, 1.82);
- How certain mutator methods may be called upon these objects to modify their attribute values e.g., jim.gainWeightBy(2.0);
- How certain accessor methods may be called upon these objects to obtain values e.g., double jimBMI = jim.getBMI();
- What the **expected return values** of accessor method calls should be e.g., assertEquals(24.15, jimBMI, 0.1);

- Only the JUnit test class is given to you. No other classes are given.

- Therefore, to start with, there are lots of compilation errors, which is **<u>expected</u>** because none of the

- <u>classes</u> (e.g., **Person**),
- constructors (e.g., Person(double weight, double height)),
- <u>mutators</u> (e.g., void gainWeightBy(double units)), and
- <u>accessors</u> (e.g., double getBMI())

have been declared and defined.

- Your task, then, is to create and define all these classes and methods, such that:
  - All your Java classes and the given JUnit test class compile.
  - Running the JUnit test class gives a green bar (i.e., all tests pass).
- Programming IDEs such as Eclipse are able to fix such compilation errors for you. However, you are advised to follow the guidance below to fix these compilation errors <u>manually</u>, because: 1) it helps you better understand how the intended classes and methods work together; and 2) you may be tested in a written test or exam without the assistance of IDEs.

### 2 A Small Example

#### 2.1 What You Are Given: A JUnit Tester

This tester class must not be modified.

```
1
    public class TestCounter {
 2
       @Test
 3
      public void test_Counter() {
 4
         Counter c1 = new Counter();
 5
         Counter c_2 = new Counter(5);
 6
         int c1Value = c1.getValue();
 7
         int c2Value = c2.getValue();
 8
         assertEquals(0, c1Value);
         assertEquals(5, c2Value);
 9
10
         c1.increment();
11
12
         c2.increment();
13
         c2.increment();
         assertEquals(1, c1.getValue());
14
15
         assertEquals(7, c2.getValue());
16
17
         c1.increment(3);
18
         c2.increment(3);
19
         assertEquals(4, c1.getValue());
20
         assertEquals(10, c2.getValue());
21
      }
22
    }
```

#### 2.2 What You Are Required to Do

- 1. Inspect the tester class (Section 2.1):
  - 1.1 Identify missing classes and Create empty classes.

Principle 1 : On the left-hand side of a variable assignment (=), if the type refers to the name of some non-existing class, then you must create that class.

For example, Line 4 and Line 5 of **TestCounter** suggest that a new class **Counter** is needed for the declaration of variables **c1** and **c2**'s types. You should then start by first creating a new, empty class accordingly:

public class Counter { }

The actual lab or lab test might require you to create multiple new classes, but the same principle applies.

1.2 Also, be sure to add a line, importing the new class, to the JUnit test, e.g.:

```
package junit_tests;
import model.Counter;
public class TestCounter {
   ...
}
```

- 1.3 Identify and add method declarations to "empty class(es)" just for compilations.
  - 1.3.1 Identify constructors.

Principle 2 : On the right-hand side of a variable assignment (=), if there is a **new** keyword, then the class name that follows indicates a call to a constructor of that class.

For example, Line 4 and Line 5 of **TestCounter** suggest two versions of constructor for the **Counter** class (i.e., the constructor is *overloaded*): one version that takes no parameters, and the other version that takes an integer parameter.

Consequently, we should add these two constructor declarations (with no implementations) to the **Counter** class:

public Counter () { }
public Counter (int value) { }

#### 1.3.2 Identify accessors.

Principle 3 : If a method call appears on the right-hand side of a variable assignment (=), or as the input of a JUnit assertion such as assertEquals(1, c1.getValue()), then that method should be an accessor method.

For example, Lines 6, 7, 14, 15, 19, and 20 of **TestCounter** suggest that **getValue** is an accessor method with no input parameters.

**Q1.** Which class should **getValue** be added to?

Look at the **context objects** of the method calls: c1 and c2 are declared of type Counter, so the **getValue** method should be declared there.

**Q2.** What should be the return type of getValue?

Look at lines such as Line 6 and Line 7, indicating types of variables storing the return values. Consequently, we should add the following accessor method declaration (which only returns a **default value**) to the **Counter** class:

```
public int getValue() {
    int result = 0; /* 0 is the default value of the return type int */
    return result;
}
```

#### 1.3.3 Identify mutators.

Principle 4 : If a method call appears alone as the entire line, then that method should be a mutator method.

For example, Lines 11 to 13 and 17 to 18 suggest that **increment** is a mutator method.

More specifically, the **increment** is *overloaded*: Lines 11 to 13 suggest one version of **increment** that takes no parameters, whereas Lines 17 to 18 suggest a second version that takes an integer parameter.

Q1. Which class should increment beadded to?

Look at the context objects of the method calls: c1 and c2 are declared of type Counter, so the getValue method should be declared there.

**Q2.** What should be the return type of **increment**?

All mutator methods have the **void** return type.

Consequently, we should add these one accessor method declaration (with no implementations) to the **Counter** class:

public void increment() { }
public void increment(int value) { }

1.3.4 Based on the identification of the constructors, accessors, and mutators as described above, we end up with:

```
public class Counter {
   public Counter () { }
   public Counter (int value) { }
   public int getValue() {
      int result = 0; /* 0 is the default value of the return type int */
      return result;
   }
   public void increment() { }
   public void increment(int value) { }
}
```

Principle 5 : The above expanded **Counter** class and the given **CounterTester** class now **compile**. However, running the JUnit class **TestCounter** will result in a **red bar** (more precisely, all tests fail as no method has been properly implemented).

1.4 Complete implementations of methods (for producing the expected output).

Principle 6 : Complete implementations of all methods, by observing method calls in the JUnit tester class (Section 2.1) and their expected values specified in the assertions.

Additional attributes (class-level variables) and helper methods are allowed if considered necessary. Consequently, here is the final working version of the **Counter** class:

```
public class Counter {
  /* attributes */
  private int value;
  /* constructors */
  public Counter () {
     value = 0;
  }
  public Counter (int value) {
     this.value = value;
  }
  /* accessors */
  public int getValue() {
     return value;
  }
  /* mutators */
  public void increment() {
     this.value ++;
  }
  public void increment(int value) {
     this.value += value;
  }
}
```

Note. You can assume that the JUnit test class will be placed inside the junit\_tests package, whereas any new classes identified should be added to the model package.

# 3 Source Code

You can find here the example covered in the notes for practice:

- Starter: https://www.eecs.yorku.ca/~jackie/teaching/lectures/2024/F/EECS2030/notes/EECS2030\_ F24\_Inferring\_Classes\_from\_JUnit.zip
- Solution: https://www.eecs.yorku.ca/~jackie/teaching/lectures/2024/F/EECS2030/notes/EECS2030\_ F24\_Inferring\_Classes\_from\_JUnit\_Solution.zip