

Lassonde School of Engineering

Dept. of EECS

Professor G. Tournakis

MATH1090 A. Problem Set No. 3

Posted: Oct. 31, 2023

Due: Nov. 24, 2023; by 2:00pm, **in eClass.**

Q: [How do I submit?](#)

A:

- (1) Submission must be a **SINGLE** *standalone* file to eClass. Submission by email is not accepted.
- (2) **Accepted File Types: PNG, JPEG, PDF, RTF, MS WORD, OPEN OFFICE, ZIP**
- (3) **Deadline is strict, electronically limited.**
- (4) **MAXIMUM file size = 10MB**



Unless a required proof style (e.g., by resolution, Equational, Hilbert) is used in your answer, then your answer is graded out of 0.



(5 POINTS Max for each question) **Do all of the following:**

All resolution proofs below **MUST** use the graphical technique. **Minimise preprocessing**. You lose marks if your preprocessing is so long that it solves the problem **WITHOUT** doing any resolution step.

1. Use Resolution to prove $\vdash A \rightarrow \neg(\neg A \wedge \neg B)$.
2. Use Resolution to prove, for any A, B, C , that $\vdash (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow B)$.
3. Use Resolution to prove, for any A, B, C, D , that

$$\vdash (A \vee B \vee C) \wedge (A \rightarrow D) \wedge (B \rightarrow D) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \rightarrow D$$

4. You are in Boolean Logic.

Define: Σ is satisfiable, by definition, if **some** state s makes **all** the wff in Σ true. If no such s exists then we call Σ **UN**satisfiable.

Prove that if a **finite** set of wff Σ is **unsatisfiable**, then $\Sigma \vdash \perp$.

5. Prove that for **any** object variables $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$ we have the absolute theorem $\vdash \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \rightarrow (\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z})$.

Hint. Use a **Hilbert** style proof using the axioms of equality.



Do NOT use the Auxiliary Hypothesis Metatheorem in THIS Problem Set!



6. Prove that $\vdash A \rightarrow B$ implies $\vdash (\exists \mathbf{x})A \rightarrow (\exists \mathbf{x})B$.

Required Methodology. Use a **Hilbert** style proof and the metatheorem from class “ $\vdash A \rightarrow B$ implies $\vdash (\forall \mathbf{x})A \rightarrow (\forall \mathbf{x})B$ ”.

7. Prove **Hilbert** style, that $\vdash (\forall \mathbf{x})(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\forall \mathbf{x})A \rightarrow (\exists \mathbf{x})B$.
8. Prove **Hilbert** style, that

$$\vdash (\forall \mathbf{x})(A \vee B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow \left((\forall \mathbf{x})(A \rightarrow C) \wedge (\forall \mathbf{x})(B \rightarrow C) \right)$$