

Lassonde School of Engineering**Dept. of EECS**

Professor G. Tournakis

MATH1090 A. Problem Set No2**Posted:** Oct. 6, 2025**Due:** Oct. 31, 2025; by 5:00pm, **in eClass.****Q:** How do I submit?**A:**

- (1) **Submission must be ONLY ONE file**
- (2) **Accepted File Types: PDF, RTF, MS WORD, ZIP**
- (3) **Deadline is strict, electronically limited by eClass (see course Outline).**
- (4) **MAXIMUM file size = 10MB**



In this problem set and onwards, **p, q, r'** etc., are *metavariables* that stand for *actual* Boolean variables. As such, it is possible that, say, **p** and **q** stand for the same actual variable in some line of reasoning.

**1. (5 MARKS)**

Prove that

$$\vdash A \equiv A$$

in **ONE DIFFERENT way**, which does **not** use the “trick” of a Leibniz variable **p** that does not appear in A .

2. (5 MARKS) *True* or *False* **and** **WHY**?

The following two statements —(1) and (2)— are equivalent

$$\Gamma \vdash A \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash B \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B \quad (2)$$

3. (5 MARKS) We have learnt that $\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B$ implies that $\Gamma \vdash A$ **AND** $\Gamma \vdash B$.

Is (1) below *True* or *False* **and** **WHY**?

$$\Gamma \vdash A \vee B \text{ implies that } \Gamma \vdash A \text{ **OR** } \Gamma \vdash B \quad (1)$$



Caution. If a proof style is explicitly **required** in what follows, then any other style used gets 0 marks regardless of its correctness.



4. (5 MARKS) Prove **Hilbert-Style** that

$$A, \neg A \vdash \perp$$

directly, **without** using the derived rule *CUT* in **any** of its special forms.

5. (5 MARKS) Give a **Hilbert-Style proof** of $\vdash A \wedge \top \equiv A$.
6. (4 MARKS) Prove **Equationally** that $A \vdash B \rightarrow A$.
7. (4 MARKS) Prove **Equationally** that $A \vee B \vdash \neg B \rightarrow A$.
8. Prove that $A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow C \vdash A \rightarrow B \wedge C$.

Do **two** proofs:

- (5 MARKS) One **with** the Deduction theorem (and a Hilbert-style proof).
- (5 MARKS) One Equational, **WITHOUT** using the Deduction theorem.