Lassonde School of Engineering

Dept. of EECS

Professor G. Tourlakis EECS 1028Z. Problem Set No4 —Solutions Posted: Apr. 4, 2025

1. (1 MARK) Define: "A is uncountable".

Answer. "A is *uncountable* means that A is *NOT* countable!"

2. (5 MARKS) Prove that if A is uncountable and $A \subseteq B$, then B is also uncountable.

Proof. By contradiction: If B is NOT uncountable then it *is countable*. We proved in class that <u>a subset of a countable set is countable</u>, so A is countable. Contradiction!

You do not have to prove what we showed in class, but here it is anyway:

Say *B* is countable. That means it gets a labelling ("stickers") via an onto (not necessarily total) function $f : \mathbb{N} \to B$. But then, after we remove ALL stickers from the members of B - A and just leave ON those on members of *A*, we get a labelling of *A*. So *A* is *countable*!

3. (5 MARKS) Prove that $A \to B \vdash (\exists x)A \to (\exists x)B$.

Proof. Done in Apr. 2 class. Here it is again.

By DThm prove this instead:

$$A \to B, (\exists x)A \vdash (\exists x)B$$

Here it goes AGAIN!

1) $A \to B$ $\langle \text{hyp} \rangle$ 2) $(\exists x)A$ $\langle \text{DThm. hyp} \rangle$ 3) A[c] $\langle \text{aux. hyp for 2; } c \text{ fresh} \rangle$ 4) $A[c] \to B[c]$ $\langle 1 + \text{Subst. OK as lines 2 (DThm) and 3 (Aux. hyp) have no free x} \rangle$

Page 1

G. Tourlakis

EECS1028 Z	Problem Set No4 —Solutions	Mar./Apr. 2025
5) $B[c]$ 6) $(\exists x)B[x]$	$\langle (3, 4) + \text{Post (or } (3, 4) + \text{MP}) \rangle$ $\langle 5 + \text{Dual Spec} \rangle$	

G. Tourlakis

4. (5 MARKS) Let

$$b_1 = 3, b_2 = 6$$

 $b_k = b_{k-1} + b_{k-2}, \text{ for } k \ge 3$ (1)

Prove that 3 divides b_k , for all $k \ge 1$.

Proof. This is one of the obvious cases for CVI: You see, you cannot go from an I.H. with argument k - 1 to the I.S. with argument k. The 2nd equation makes clear that you need to include —and use— case k - 2 in the I.H.

Let's do it:

Basis: Obvious from "Prove that 3 divides b_k , for all $k \ge 1$ ". So, verify for k = 1: $b_1 = 3$, so divisible by 3.

I.H. Fix k and Assume for all m such that $1 \le m < k$.

I.S. Do the case for k:

Well, since k - 1 and k - 2 are $\langle k$ (they are "*m*-values" as named in I.H.) the I.H. says b_{k-1} and b_{k-2} have 3 as a factor. So does b_k , their sum (2nd equation in (1)).

Almost, but not so fast!

The I.S. should be valid for all $k \ge 2$ (k = 1 was checked as basis). But for k = 2 the above reasoning does not work: We used b_{k-1} and b_{k-2} —that is, b_1 and b_0 . But the b_0 term **does not exist!** The sequence starts with b_1 . NO value for b_0 was given.

What do I do? I prove the "boundary case for k = 2 WITHOUT using I.H." OK: $b_2 = 6$, and this IS divided by 3. I am done with this forgotten case and the proof is now COMPLETE.

5. (3 MARKS) Consider the statement (formula)

$$(\exists x)A(x) \to A(c) \tag{1}$$

where c is a *constant*.

Find now a *specific, very simple,* example of A(x) over the set \mathbb{N} and choose a specific value of $c \in \mathbb{N}$ so that (1) becomes **false** (meaning we

G. Tourlakis

Page 3

cannot prove it, since proofs start from true axioms and preserve truth at every step).

Proof. Done in class April 2.

Regardless: Here it is AGAIN.

If (1) is *a theorem* then all its special cases are theorems too.

Well, here is a special case that is NOT true in \mathbb{N} , hence **NOT** a theorem. So neither is the original in (1):

Take for A the formula x = 0 and for c take 5. So (1) becomes

$$\overbrace{(\exists x)x=0}^{\mathbf{t}} \to \overbrace{5=0}^{\mathbf{f}}$$

6. (5 MARKS) Using simple induction prove that

$$1^{2} + 2^{2} + \ldots + n^{2} = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$
(1)

for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. We use Simple Induction.

Basis. n = 1. Then $lhs = 1^2 = 1$ and $rhs = 1(1+1)(2 \cdot 1 + 1)/6 = 1$. OK!

I.H. Fix $n \ge 1$ (unspecified) and take (1) as I.H.

I.S.

$$1^{2} + 2^{2} + \ldots + n^{2} + (n+1)^{2} \stackrel{I.H.}{=} (n+1)^{2} + \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$
$$= (n+1) \left(\frac{6n+6}{6} + \frac{n(2n+1)}{6}\right)$$
$$= (n+1) \left(\frac{2n^{2}+7n+6}{6}\right)$$
(2)

To make sense of the last fraction, we factor the numerator.

Page 4

G. Tourlakis

(a) Find roots:

$$\frac{-7 + / -\sqrt{49 - 48}}{4} = \frac{-7 + / -1}{4} = \begin{cases} -2\\ -3/2 \end{cases}$$

(b) Factor (as we learnt in High School):

$$2(n+2)(n+3/2) = (n+2)(2n+3)$$

(c) Resume (2)

$$=(n+1)\left(\frac{2(n+2)(n+3/2)}{6}\right)$$
$$=(n+1)\left(\frac{(n+2)(2n+3)}{6}\right)$$
$$=\frac{(n+1)(n+2)(2n+3)}{6}$$

The last fraction is the same as $\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$ but with *n* everywhere replaced by n+1. Done.