

EECS/MATH 1019
Sections 5.1–5.2:
Mathematical Induction — Part II

February 16, 2023

Principle of Mathematical Induction

Let $P(1), P(2), P(3), \dots$ be statements. Assume

(a) $P(1)$ is true, and

(b) $(\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+)(P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1))$.

Then $P(n)$ is true for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Modified Principle of Mathematical Induction

Let $M \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $P(M), P(M+1), P(M+2), \dots$ be statements.

Assume

(a') $P(M)$ is true, and

(b') $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k \geq M$.

Then $P(n)$ is true for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n \geq M$.

Notes: (1) Assumption (b') says that $P(M) \rightarrow P(M+1)$ and $P(M+1) \rightarrow P(M+2)$ and $P(M+2) \rightarrow P(M+3)$ and \dots

(2) The usual Principle of Mathematical Induction is a special case of the Modified Principle of Mathematical Induction with $M = 1$.

Example 7 Prove that for every positive integer n ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (i+1) 2^i = n 2^{n+1}.$$

That is, $2 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 2^2 + 4 \cdot 2^3 + \cdots + (n+1) 2^n = n 2^{n+1}$.

First, we'll do a quick check:

m	1	2	3
$(m+1) 2^m$	$2 \cdot 2^1 = 4$	$3 \cdot 2^2 = 12$	$4 \cdot 2^3 = 32$
$\sum_{i=1}^m (i+1) 2^i$	4	16	48
$m 2^{m+1}$	$1 \cdot 2^{1+1} = 4$	$2 \cdot 2^{2+1} = 16$	$3 \cdot 2^{3+1} = 48$

Looks okay so far! Now we need to prove that the equation is ALWAYS true.

Proof: For each positive integer n , let $P(n)$ be the statement $\sum_{i=1}^n (i+1) 2^i = n 2^{n+1}$.

The above table shows that $P(1)$, $P(2)$, and $P(3)$ are all true. We shall use mathematical induction to prove that $P(n)$ is true for EVERY positive integer n .

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $P(n)$ is the statement $\sum_{i=1}^n (i+1)2^i = n2^{n+1}$.

(That is, $2 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 2^2 + 4 \cdot 2^3 + \cdots + (n+1)2^n = n2^{n+1}$.)

Basis step: We have shown that $P(1)$ is true.

Inductive step: Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and assume that $P(k)$ is true. We need to show that $P(k+1)$ must also be true (i.e., that $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$). Write down what we want to do:

Show $2 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 2^2 + \cdots + (k+2)2^{k+1}$ (call this A)
equals $(k+1)2^{k+2}$ (call this B).

Important point: From $P(k)$, we know

$$2 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 2^2 + 4 \cdot 2^3 + \cdots + (k+1)2^k = k2^{k+1}.$$

Now express A in a form that lets us leverage this fact:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 2 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 2^2 + 4 \cdot 2^3 + \cdots + (k+1)2^k + (k+2)2^{k+1} \\ &= k2^{k+1} + (k+2)2^{k+1} \quad (\text{by } P(k)) \\ &= (k + (k+2))2^{k+1} \\ &= (2k+2)2^{k+1} = (k+1)(2)2^{k+1} = (k+1)2^{k+2} = B. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we proved $A = B$. This completes the inductive step.

Summary:

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $P(n)$ is the statement $\sum_{i=1}^n (i+1)2^i = n2^{n+1}$.

We have proved $P(1)$ is true. (Basis step)

We have proved that $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ for every integer k such that $k \geq 1$.

Therefore, by mathematical induction, $P(n)$ is true for every integer n such that $n \geq 1$.

That is, $\sum_{i=1}^n (i+1)2^i = n2^{n+1}$ for every positive integer n .

Example 8 For which positive integers n is it true that $n! \geq \frac{1}{4} 3^n$?

Recall $n! = n \times (n-1) \times \dots \times 2 \times 1$.

n	1	2	3	4	5
$n!$	1	2	6	24	120
$\frac{1}{4} 3^n$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{9}{4}$	$\frac{27}{4}$	$\frac{81}{4}$	$\frac{243}{4}$
$n! \geq \frac{1}{4} 3^n$?	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes

Let's try to prove that the inequality holds for all $n \geq 4$.

Let $P(n)$ be the statement $n! \geq \frac{1}{4} 3^n$.

Basis step: We know that $P(4)$ is true.

Inductive step: Assume that k is an integer such that $k \geq 4$ and $P(k)$ is true. We want to prove that $P(k+1)$ is also true.

$$P(k) : k! \geq \frac{1}{4} 3^k$$

$$P(k+1) : (k+1)! \geq \frac{1}{4} 3^{k+1}$$

We have assumed $P(k) : k! \geq \frac{1}{4} 3^k$

We want to deduce $P(k+1) : (k+1)! \geq \frac{1}{4} 3^{k+1}$

Useful fact: $(k+1)! = (k+1) \times (k!)$. So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(k+1)! &= (k+1) \times k! \\ &\geq (k+1) \times \frac{1}{4} 3^k \quad (\text{by } P(k)) \\ &\geq 3 \times \frac{1}{4} \times 3^k \quad (\text{because } k \geq 2 \text{ (in fact, } k \geq 4)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} 3^{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves $P(k+1)$.

Summary: We proved that $P(4)$ is true, and that $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ for all integers $k \geq 4$.

We conclude that $P(n)$ is true for all $n \geq 4$.

Section 5.2: Strong Induction

Let $P(1), P(2), P(3), \dots$ be statements. Assume

(a') $P(1)$ is true, and

(b'') $[P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)] \rightarrow P(k+1)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Then $P(n)$ is true for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

(Note: Assumption (b'') says that $P(1) \rightarrow P(2)$ and $[P(1) \wedge P(2)] \rightarrow P(3)$ and $[P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3)] \rightarrow P(4)$ and \dots)

Like ordinary induction, we can start at another integer besides 1. For example, we can use the following form:

Let $P(0), P(1), P(2), \dots$ be statements. Assume

(a') $P(0)$ is true, and

(b'') $[P(0) \wedge P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)] \rightarrow P(k+1)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $P(n)$ is true for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition: A **prime** is an integer greater than 1 whose only factors are 1 and itself.

E.g. 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29 are all the primes less than 30.

Example 9: (p. 357) Use strong induction to prove that every integer greater than 1 is either a prime or a product of primes.

$$\text{E.g. } 26 = 2 \times 13 \qquad 60 = 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 5$$

Let $P(n)$ be the statement “ n is a prime or a product of primes.”
We shall prove that $P(n)$ is true for every integer $n \geq 2$.

Basis step: $n = 2$: $P(2)$ is true because 2 is a prime.

Inductive step: We must show that for every integer $k \geq 2$,
 $[P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)] \rightarrow P(k+1)$.

So assume $k \geq 2$ and $[P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)]$ is true.

For $k+1$, we consider two cases: $k+1$ is either a prime or not a prime.

Let $P(n)$ be the statement “ n is a prime or a product of primes.”

We want to prove that $P(n)$ is true for every integer $n \geq 2$.

Assume $k \geq 2$ and $[P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)]$ is true.

Case I: $k + 1$ is a prime: In this case, $P(k + 1)$ is obviously true.

Case II: $k + 1$ is not a prime: Then $k + 1$ has a divisor d besides 1 and $k + 1$. Thus $k + 1 = dw$ for some integer w , and

$1 < d < k + 1$ (since $d \neq 1$ and $d \neq k + 1$). Also $1 < w < k + 1$.

Therefore $2 \leq d \leq k$ and $2 \leq w \leq k$. So, by the **inductive assumption** that $[P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)]$ is true, we see that both $P(d)$ and $P(w)$ are true.

That is, d is a prime or a product of primes, and w is a prime or a product of primes.

Therefore dw is a product of primes. Since $k + 1 = dw$, this proves that $k + 1$ is a product of primes. So $P(k + 1)$ is true in Case II.

Since both cases have been checked, we conclude that $[P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)] \rightarrow P(k + 1)$. This is true for every integer $k \geq 2$, so have proved the inductive step (b”).

Therefore, $P(n)$ is true for every $n \geq 2$ by Strong Induction.

We can also prove the preceding exercise (and other induction problems) by appealing to the **Well-Ordering Property of the positive integers** (Section 5.2.5). Here is how we can do it.

The Well-Ordering Property says that *every nonempty subset of the positive integers has a smallest element*. (Section 5.2.5)

Let $P(n)$ be the statement “ n is a prime or a product of primes.”

Consider the set $S = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid n \geq 2 \text{ and } P(n) \text{ is False}\}$.

Assume S is not empty. (We will use this to get a contradiction.)

By the Well-Ordering Property, S has a smallest element.

Let t be the smallest element of S .

Recap: $P(n)$ says “ n is a prime or a product of primes.”

Consider the set $S = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid n \geq 2 \text{ and } P(n) \text{ is False}\}$.

Assume S is not empty. (We will use this to get a contradiction.)

Let t be the smallest element of S . (Well-Ordering)

In particular, since $t \in S$, we know that $P(t)$ is False.

Therefore t is not a prime. Therefore (as we argued before) we can write $t = dw$ where $2 \leq d < t$ and $2 \leq w < t$.

Since d and w are both LESS than t , and t is the SMALLEST element of S , neither d nor w is an element of S .

Therefore $P(d)$ and $P(w)$ are not False; that is, they are True.

So, as before: d is a prime or a product of primes, and w is a prime or a product of primes. Therefore dw is a product of primes.

Since $t = dw$, this proves that t is a product of primes. Thus $P(t)$ is True. This CONTRADICTS the fact that $P(t)$ is False.

We conclude that S must be empty.

That is, $P(n)$ is True whenever $n \geq 2$.

Example 10: A Recursive Sequence Let a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots be the sequence of real numbers defined *recursively* by

$$a_1 = 1, \quad a_2 = 5, \quad \text{and} \quad a_{n+1} = a_n + 2a_{n-1} \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } a_3 &= a_2 + 2a_1 = 5 + 2(1) = 7, \\ a_4 &= \end{aligned}$$

Poll: What is the value of a_4 ?

- (A) 12
- (B) 15
- (C) 17
- (D) 19

Answer: (C)

Example 10: A Recursive Sequence Let a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots be the sequence of real numbers defined *recursively* by

$$a_1 = 1, \quad a_2 = 5, \quad \text{and} \quad a_{n+1} = a_n + 2a_{n-1} \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

$$\text{Then } a_3 = a_2 + 2a_1 = 5 + 2(1) = 7,$$

$$a_4 = a_3 + 2a_2 = 7 + 2(5) = 17,$$

$$a_5 = a_4 + 2a_3 = 17 + 2(7) = 31,$$

$$a_6 = a_5 + 2a_4 = 31 + 2(17) = 65.$$

$$a_7 = a_6 + 2a_5 = 65 + 2(31) = 127.$$

Compare with the sequence 2^n : 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, ...

This suggests the formula $a_n = 2^n + (-1)^n$.

Mathematical induction is ideally suited to handling recursively defined quantities.

Let $P(n)$ be the statement $a_n = 2^n + (-1)^n$.

$a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 5$, and $a_{n+1} = a_n + 2a_{n-1}$ for $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$

Let $P(n)$ be the statement $a_n = 2^n + (-1)^n$.

We have checked that $P(n)$ is true for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7$.

The statement $P(k+1)$ is $a_{k+1} = 2^{k+1} + (-1)^{k+1}$.

To prove $P(k+1)$, we would need to use the definition

$$a_{k+1} = a_k + 2a_{k-1}.$$

To use this, we would like to use formulas for a_k and a_{k-1} .

That is, we would like to know that $P(k)$ and $P(k-1)$ are both

true. Then we would know $a_k = 2^k + (-1)^k$ and

$a_{k-1} = 2^{k-1} + (-1)^{k-1}$. This would lead to

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+1} &= a_k + 2a_{k-1} \\ &= \left(2^k + (-1)^k\right) + 2\left(2^{k-1} + (-1)^{k-1}\right) \\ &= 2^k + 2(2^{k-1}) + (-1)^k + 2(-1)^{k-1}(-1)^k \\ &= 2^k + 2^k + (-1)^k - 2(-1)^k \\ &= 2(2^k) - (-1)^k = 2^{k+1} + (-1)^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $P(k+1)$ is true.

$a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 5$, and $a_{n+1} = a_n + 2a_{n-1}$ for $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$

Here is the formal proof that $a_n = 2^n + (-1)^n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Proof: Let $P(n)$ be the statement $a_n = 2^n + (-1)^n$.

Since $2^1 + (-1) = 1$ and $2^2 + (-1)^2 = 5$, we see directly that $P(1)$ and $P(2)$ are true. (In particular, $P(1) \rightarrow P(2)$ is true.)

Let $k \geq 2$ and assume that $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(k-1)$, and $P(k)$ are all true. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+1} &= a_k + 2a_{k-1} \\ &= \left(2^k + (-1)^k\right) + 2\left(2^{k-1} + (-1)^{k-1}\right) \\ &\quad \text{(using } P(k) \text{ and } P(k-1)) \\ &= 2^k + 2^k + (-1)^k - 2(-1)^k \\ &= 2(2^k) - (-1)^k = 2^{k+1} + (-1)^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $P(k+1)$ is true.

We know that $P(1)$ is true, and

$[P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)] \rightarrow P(k+1)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Hence $P(n)$ is true for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ by Strong Induction. Q.E.D.

Next class: Read Section 5.3. (Subsection 5.3.5 is optional.)

Homework updates:

- Homework assignment 5 (in Connect) is due Sunday March 5.
- Problem Set B is also due Sunday March 5, to be submitted via Crowdmark.