EECS3311 Introductory Tutorial: Accompanying Notes

Jackie Wang

September 14, 2020

Video Tutorials

Each section in this set of notes:

• Summarizes main points discussed in the corresponding part of the following introductory tutorial on Eiffel (a <u>programming</u> language and a <u>design</u> method) and its tool:

https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN

• Contains a link to the corresponding video.

How Should You Use this Set of Notes?

It is advised that after watching/studying each part of the tutorial series above, go over its written summary to review and reflect, before you proceed to the next video.

ROADMAP

1	Unit Testing & Console Outputting, Run vs. Run Workbench System	1
2	Setting Tools Layout, Navigating Library Classes	2
3	BIRTHDAY: Variable Declarations, Features, Invariant	3
4	BIRTHDAY: Static Queries, TDD, Boolean Test Case	4
5	BIRTHDAY: Assertions, Logical Operator Precedence	4
6	BIRTHDAY: Syntax Overview of Classes and Features	5
7	BIRTHDAY: Using a Command as a Constructor	6
8	BIRTHDAY: Setting Breaking Points & Launching Debugger	7
9	BIRTHDAY: Writing a Precondition Violation Test	8
10	BIRTHDAY: Writing a Postcondition Test	8
11	BIRTHDAY: Reference Equality vs. Object Equality	9
12	BIRTHDAY: Logical Pattern for Invariant	10
13	Basic Operations of Arrays	11
14	Basic Operations of Linked Lists	12
15	Use of across as Loop Instructions	13
16	Use of across as Boolean Expressions	13
17	BIRTHDAY_BOOK Class: Attributes, Constructor, Void Safety	14
18	BIRTHDAY_BOOK: Class Invariant	16
19	BIRTHDAY_BOOK: Command add – Debugging Precondition	16
20	BIRTHDAY_BOOK: Command add - Testing Postcondition	16
21	BIRTHDAY_BOOK: Get Birthday – detachable? [Supplier]	17
22	BIRTHDAY_BOOK: Get Birthday – detachable? [Client]	17
23	BIRTHDAY_BOOK: Exercise - Implement & Specify celebrate	18

1 Unit Testing & Console Outputting, Run vs. Run Workbench System

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vINZxvljR3c&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=2

1. Generate a starter project birthday_book from:

https://www.eecs.yorku.ca/~eiffel/eiffel-new/

- (1.1) Assumption: mathmodels.zip already downloaded and unzipped, and the location of directory mathmodels has been set to variable MATHMODELS.
- (1.2) Download and unzip the project archive birthday_book.zip.
- (1.3) Launch Eiffel Studio: estudio &, add the project, and compile.
- 2. For the generated ROOT class:
 - (2.1) Multiple inheritance: it inherits from both

ES_SUITE (for unit testing) and ARGUMENTS_32 (for console outputs).

- (2.2) Delete inherit ARGUMENTS_32 and print(...) from the make command.
- (2.3) Instead, create an alternative root class: ROOT_CONSOLE.
- (2.4) Delete the generated test cases in **TEST_EXAMPLE**. Then type a default boolean case, which always passes, there:

```
t0: BOOLEAN
do
    comment("t0: a test always passing")
    Result := true
end
```

- 3. Show how to set the root class between ROOT and ROOT_CONSOLE:
 - (3.1) When root class is set to ROOT_CONSOLE (for console outputs), always hit Run to see the execution result (contract violations, expected or not, will break the execution flow).
 - (3.2) When the root class is set to **ROOT** (for unit testing):
 - Hit Run Workbench System to see the test report (some contract violations may be expected and will not cause a *red bar*).
 - Hit Run to execute each test case as a normal feature (contract violations, even if expected, will be considered as "exceptions" and break the execution flow). This option is useful when you need to debug because there's a *red bar* from your ES_TEST test report.

Notes:

• To debug, you should use breakpoints/debugger in the unit-testing mode. Simply trying console outputs does not scale to larger projects.

2 Setting Tools Layout, Navigating Library Classes

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fsz8yiNzvcY&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=3

- 1. Set panels:
 - (1.1) Right: Groups (\approx package explorer in Eclipse) & Features (\approx outline in Eclipse).
 - (1.2) Bottom: Feature (for setting break points)
 - (1.3) To reset the tools layout: View \rightarrow Tools Layout \rightarrow Reset Tools Layout
- 2. Navigate the Eiffel base library:
 - (2.1) Search for a library class (verbatim vs. regular expression e.g., ***SORT***, ***LIST***) from the **Class** textfield.
 - (2.2) Skim through the available features in the Features panel.
 - (2.3) Click on the feature in Features panel, or type it in the Feature textfield.
 - (2.4) Show the *contract* view to see the interface of the class.
 - (2.5) Show the *flat* view to see the "flattened" version, accumulating features and contracts from ancestor classes (e.g., flat view of ARRAY).
 - On the Features panel, see what the ancestors are.
 - On the editor panel, see what the accumulated contracts are (e.g., {ARRAY}.item).
 - (2.6) Show ancestor and descendants of a library class (e.g., ARRAY).
 - Pay attention to the iconic hint about a class being deferred (abstract, partially implemented) or effective (concrete, fully implemented).
 - <u>Exercise</u>: Get a feel about the inheritance hierarchy of LINKED_LIST.
 - <u>Exercise</u>: Say you declare a variable <u>container</u>: LIST, what are the possible *dynamic* types of container?

3 BIRTHDAY: Variable Declarations, Features, Invariant

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wDg-8frItEk&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=4

- 1. Create a new class: BIRTHDAY.
- 2. Create customized feature sections: e.g.,

Commands, Attributes, Queries, Equality, String Representation

Note. Each feature clause creates a bookmark for navigating within the class.

- 3. Add attributes month and day.
 - (3.1) INTEGER denotes the set of integer values.
 - (3.2) When you write month: INTEGER, it has the mathematical meaning:

$\texttt{month} \in \texttt{INTEGER}$

That is, at runtime, month stores a value from the INTEGER set.

4. Taxonomy:

[<i>attributes</i> (storage))		
features {	eatures { routines (computation) {	commands (<u>no</u> return values, side effects)		
l		queries (return values, <u>no</u> side effects)		

- 5. Always first think about the *class invariant*: how should a legitimate BIRTHDAY object be characterized (in terms of its attribute values and query return values)?
 - (5.1) valid_day, valid_month

4 BIRTHDAY: Static Queries, TDD, Boolean Test Case

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OZJZITCh7PI&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=5

- 1. valid_month and valid_day are not enough because invalid dates such as June 31 will be considered as valid (i.e., June [6] is a valid month and 31 is a valid day).
- 2. Define class-level queries is_month_with_31_days and is_month_with_30_days.
 - (2.1) Define preconditions and postconditions of these two queries.
 - (2.2) Specially, writing class as a postcondition makes a feature class-level (static).
 - (2.3) We supply implementations that satisfy the postconditions.
 - In this simple case, we may simply change = (equality) to := (assignment).
 - Alternatively, we can implement using an array.
- 3. These two class-level queries can be invoked without having to create a BIRTHDAY object (e.g., {BIRTHDAY}.is_month_with_30_days(...)).
- 4. *Test Driven Development* (TDD):
 - Test as soon as a feature becomes *executable*.
 - Re-run all tests when a *change* is made. [regression testing]
- 5. Write a Boolean test case for these two class-level queries.
 - To add a boolean test (testing if a normal scenario happens as expected):
 In the constructor of a sub-class of ES_TEST, write: add_boolean_case(agent f)
 f is the boolean test query.

5 BIRTHDAY: Assertions, Logical Operator Precedence

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1NX3ryQWV_g&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=6

- 1. When there are multiple, consecutive re-assignments to the **Result** of a Boolean test query, intermediate assertions are necessary.
 - (1.1) If no, then the mistake of a poor supplier (e.g., the is_month_with_31_days query with a faulty implementation and with no postcondition) cannot be caught by the test case.
 - (1.2) Intermediate check ... end assertions can catch such errors by causing check assertion violations.
 - (1.3) Alternatively, If the is_month_with_31_days query had an appropriate postcondition, then the faulty implementation would have been caught as a *postcondition violation*.
 - (1.4) But in general, we may **not** assume that an appropriate postcondition always exists, so intermediate **check** assertions are necessary.
- 2. Use these two class-level queries to write the class invariant:

 ${\tt valid_day_month_combination}.$

(2.1) For operator precedence (tightest to loosest): and, or, implies

6 BIRTHDAY: Syntax Overview of Classes and Features

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Nyk7yaH2d5M&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=7

- 1. Classes are divided into various **feature** sections.
- 2. Always think <u>first</u> about the *class invariant*: Under what circumstances should instances of a class be considered as valid (e.g., a valid bank account, a valid birthday).
- 3. A routine is either a command or a command:
 - (3.1) A command or a query may have a list of input parameter declarations, separated by semi-columns (;).
 - (3.2) A command has no return type.
 - (3.3) A query has return type.
 - Imaginatively, for each query with a return type T:
 - There is a first line: **Result**: T
 - There is a last line: return Result [never write this, just imagine it!]
 - Consequently:
 - You are not allowed to use Result as the name of your own variable.
 - To implement a query, manipulate the pre-defined variable **Result**, in such way that it stores the desired value.

7 BIRTHDAY: Using a Command as a Constructor

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ikz2LogoKI4&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=8

- 1. Declare command make and add its implementation.
- 2. Add a create clause and put make as one of the valid constructors.
- 3. Rename TEST_EXAMPLE as TEST_BIRTHDAY.
- 4. To create an object, you must use the **create** keyword:
 - (Version 1) e.g., create bd.make(1, 31) Alternatively, create an object using an anonymous object on the RHS:
 - (Version 2) e.g., bd := create BIRTHDAY.make (1, 31) The RHS of := (assignment) is an anonymous object: create BIRTHDAY.make (1, 31)
 - Constrast how an anonymous is created in Java: new Birthday(1, 31)
 That is, the way a new object is created in Java is similar to Version 2 above:

 Birthday bd = new Birthday(1, 31)
 - Cross Reference. See Section 17 for specifying a dynamic type.
- 5. On the other hand, writing **bd.make(1, 31)** means: **bd** already points to some **BIRTHDAY** object (i.e., **bd** is *attached*), a change is to be made on that object, and no new object is to be created.
- 6. Show the difference between including and excluding make in the create clause.
 - (6.1) <u>Without</u> explicitly adding make under a create clause:
 - Its use as a constructor is no longer valid (e.g., previously-compiled test no longer compiles).
 - It can **only** be used as a command with a context object (by writing e.g., bd.make(...)). In this case, **no** new object is being created.
 - (6.2) By explicitly adding make under a create clause, it can then be used **both** as a command and as a constructor (by writing e.g., create bd.make(...)).

8 BIRTHDAY: Setting Breaking Points & Launching Debugger

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5H-eOezK_QI&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=9

1. Set breakpoints within a boolean test case query on creating a valid birthday.

e.g., {TEST_BIRTHDAY}.t_create_new_birthday

- 2. Debugging Scenarios:
 - Set a break point on a test case that fails.
 - Set a break point on a feature which you want to see how that line is called (from the stack trace).
- 3. To set a breakpoint:
 - (Approach 1): Switch to the flat view and type the feature in the Feature textfield.
 - (Approach 2): Right-click on the feature and drop it to the bottom Feature panel.
- 4. To launch the debugger, just click on **Run** and you're given 3 options to execute the code:
 - One step at a time
 - Step into a routine (query or command)
 - Step out of a routine (e.g., within the check of class invariant)

9 BIRTHDAY: Writing a Precondition Violation Test

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kX1Idyj_ZgI&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=10

- 1. Write a **boolean test case** (a boolean query with no parameters) which creates a **BIRTHDAY** with invalid day and month.
- 2. A class invariant violation occurs (\Rightarrow a *red bar*).
 - (2.1) Run to show this class invariant violation on the stack trace.
 - (2.2) To prevent the class invariant from happening, add *precondition* to make.
- 3. Re-running the test (via Workbench System),

a precondition violation occurs (\Rightarrow a *red bar*).

- (3.1) Run to show this precondition violation on the stack trace.
- (3.2) The precondition violation occurs as expected \Rightarrow We want a green bar.
- 4. Instead, we add a violation case for testing this expected precondition violation.
 - (4.1) Re-running the test (via Workbench System), a precondition violation occurs but it's expected (\Rightarrow a green bar).

10 BIRTHDAY: Writing a Postcondition Test

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v2c6MBPhEz0&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=11

- 1. As an example, in order to test that the day_set postcondition violation occurs when it should, we need an incorrect implementation such that:
 - It violates the Boolean expression for day_set: month = m
- 2. Define a **violation test case** (a command with no parameters) which calls this version of incorrect implementation.
- 3. To add a violation test (testing if an *exceptional scenario* happens as expected):

In the constructor of a sub-class of ES_TEST, write:

add_violation_case_with_tag("tag_name", agent f)

where **f** is the violation test command, and **tag_name** is the tag of the postcondition under test (spelt *verbatim*, e.g., day_set).

- 4. Test Driven Development (TDD): write a test for a routine as soon as it becomes executable.
 - violation tests for its precondition and postcondition
 - boolean tests for its implementation

11 BIRTHDAY: Reference Equality vs. Object Equality

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AiiJSPLwBSk&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=12

- 1. Write a test that compare references (addresses) of two BIRTHDAY class using =.
- 2. Redefine the is_equal query from the ANY class (\approx the boolean equals method of the Object class in Java).
- 3. The top class ANY (\approx Object in Java) declares the equality query:

```
is_equal(other: like Current)
```

- (3.1) It is different from what happens in Java: boolean equals(Object obj).
- (3.2) It uses an *anchor type*: the type of **other** depends on what the current class is. This is a design choice of Eiffel base library, so as to avoid the trouble of doing what you have to do in Java:

```
1 boolean equals(Object obj) {
2     if(this == obj) { return true; }
3     if(obj == null) { return false; }
4     if(this.getClass() != obj.getClass()) { return false; }
5     BIRTHDAY other = (BIRTHDAY) obj;
6     return this.month == other.month && this.day == other.day;
7 }
```

- (3.3) In Eiffel's case, the use of an *anchor type* allows you to focus on just **L6** in the Java code (assuming that the context object **Current** and the argument object **other** have the same dynamic type).
- (3.4) Cross Reference. See how anchor type is used in Section 23.
- 4. Show the use of \sim :
 - (4.1) When BIRTHDAY does not redefine (override) {ANY}.is_equal,

bd1 \sim bd2 is equivalent to bd1 = bd2.

(Show this in debugger that the version of {ANY}.is_equal is called.)

(4.2) When {ANY}.is_equal is redefined in BIRTHDAY,

bd1 ~ bd2 is equivalent to bd1.is_equal(bd2).

(Show this in debugger that the version of {BIRTHDAY}.is_equal is called.)

12 BIRTHDAY: Logical Pattern for Invariant

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VyDau1Vt77A&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=13

1. Can we replace implies by and in invariant valid_day_month_combination?

That is, can the following revised invariant work?

```
valid_combination:
  (is_month_with_31_days (month) and 1 <= day and day <= 31)
  and
  (is_month_with_30_days (month) and 1 <= day and day <= 30)
  and
  (month = 2 implies 1 <= day and day <= 29)</pre>
```

- 2. No. Conceptually valid dates such as June 23 and January 12 will cause *class invariant violations*.
 - (2.1) **b1** and **b2** evaluates to false if **b1** is false.
 - (2.2) **b1** implies b2 evaluates to true if b1 is false.
- 3. Write a test case to demonstrate this class invariant violation.

13 Basic Operations of Arrays

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pv4AZcWYmmk&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=14

- 1. Use of ARRAY (with a test case):
 - (1.1) make_empty, force vs. put
 - (1.2) lower, upper, count, valid_index , [...], item(...)
 - (1.3) By default, indices start with 1.
 - (1.4) from ... until loop using a loop counter i
- 2. Use of object_comparison:
 - (2.1) It affects queries related to *membership*: e.g., {ARRAY}.has and {ARRAY}.occurrences
 - (2.2) See definitions of {ARRAY}.has and {ARRAY}.occurrences
 - (2.3) Say there's an array a1 with starting index 1. The behaviour a1.has(x) depends on the value of a1.object_comparison:
 - a1.object_comparison is true : a1.has(x) is equivalent to
 (a1[1] ~ x) ∨ (a1[2] ~ x) ∨ ... ∨ (a1[a1.upper] ~ x)
 - a1.object_comparison is false: a1.has(x) is equivalent to (a1[1] = x) ∨ (a1[2] = x) ∨ ... ∨ (a1[a1.upper] = x)
- 3. Use of \sim for collections:
 - See the definition of {ARRAY}.is_equal
 - Say two arrays a1 and a2: a1 \sim a2 evaluates to true if:
 - a1.lower = a2.lower
 - a1.count = a2.count
 - a1.object_comparison = a2.object_comparison
 - Say a1.lower is 1.
 - a1[1] \sim a2[1] \wedge a1[2] \sim a2[2] \wedge ... a1[a1.upper] \sim a2[a2.upper]

14 Basic Operations of Linked Lists

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1FGHBmilVP8&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=15

- 1. Use of LINKED_LIST (with a test case):
 - (1.1) make, extend
 - (1.2) count, valid_index, is_empty, [...], item,
 - (1.3) start, forth, after
 - (1.4) By default, indices start with 1.
 - (1.5) from ... until loop using cursor operations: start, forth, after
- 2. Use of $object_comparison$:
 - (2.1) It affects queries related to *membership*: e.g., {ARRAY}.has and {ARRAY}.occurrences
 - (2.2) See definitions of {ARRAY}.has and {ARRAY}.occurrences
 - (2.3) Say there's a list 11 with starting index 1. The behaviour l1.has(x) depends on the value of l1.object_comparison:
 - 11.object_comparison is true : 11.has(x) is equivalent to
 (11[1] ~ x) ∨ (11[2] ~ x) ∨ ... ∨ (11[11.count] ~ x)
 - 11.object_comparison is false: 11.has(x) is equivalent to (11[1] = x) ∨ (11[2] = x) ∨ ... ∨ (11[11.count] = x)
- 3. Use of \sim for collections:
 - See the definition of {LINKED_LIST}.is_equal
 - Say two arrays 11 and 12: 11 \sim 12 evaluates to true if:
 - 11.count = 12.count
 - 11.object_comparison = 12.object_comparison
 - 11[1] ~ 12[1] \land 11[2] ~ 12[2] \land ... 11[11.count] ~ 12[12.upper]

15 Use of across as Loop Instructions

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zKT8UlqxInO&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=16

- 1. Use of across (with test cases):
 - (1.1) Code completion:

across as (default, which denotes a cursor) vs. across is (denotes a value)

- (1.2) across over integer interval (e.g., 1 ... (a.count 1))
- (1.3) across over iterable collection
- (1.4) Instruction: across ... is ... loop ... end

16 Use of across as Boolean Expressions

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DYnrsLbj5CY&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=17

- 1. Use of across (with test cases):
 - (1.1) Code completion:

across as (default, which denotes a cursor) vs. across is (denotes a value)

- (1.2) across over integer interval (e.g., 1 ... (a.count 1))
- (1.3) across over iterable collection
- (1.4) Boolean Expressions:

across ... is ... all ... $(\approx \forall)$ vs. across ... is ... some ... $(\approx \exists)$

17 BIRTHDAY_BOOK Class: Attributes, Constructor, Void Safety

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jcpFE5FrsVA&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=18

- 1. Add attributes count, names, and birthdays.
 - (1.1) LIST[BIRTHDAY] denotes the set of all possible lists (of size 0, 1, 2, ...), where each slot stores the reference of a *valid* BIRTHDAY object (i.e., one satisfying the invariant of BIRTHDAY).
 - (1.2) When you write birthdays: LIST[BIRTHDAY], it has the mathematical meaning:

 $birthdays \in LIST[BIRTHDAY]$

That is, at runtime, birthdays stores the beginning address of an array from the LIST[BIRTHDAY] set.

- 2. Design principle: *Program from the Interface, Not from the Implementation*
 - (2.1) The static type of birthdays is the deferred (abstract) class LIST.
 - (2.2) In order to instantiate birthdays, we must use an effective (concrete) class that is a descendant of LIST (recall the exercise done previously on looking up the descendants of LIST!).
 - (2.3) Say we instantiate it to a LINKED_LIST (called an *implementation secret*), by writing:

```
create LINKED_LIST[BIRTHDAY] birthdays.make
```

- What goes between the curly brackets denotes the dynamic type of **birthdays**: LINKED_LIST[BIRTHDAY].
- Contrast how object creations are done (particularly for reference types) in Java:

```
List<Birthday> birthdays;
birthdays = new LinkedList<Birthday>();
```

and in Eiffel:

```
birthdays: LIST[BIRTHDAY]
...
create {LINKED_LIST[BIRTHDAY]} birthdays.make
-- equivalent to:
-- birthdays := create {LINKED_LIST[BIRTHDAY]}.make
-- (anonymous type)
```

3. *Void Safety*. All referene attributes (names and birthdays, not count) must be initialized.

- 4. **Void Safety**. Object variables are **attached** by default:
 - (4.1) When we declare a variable of some reference type, e.g.:

name: STRING

names: ARRAY[STRING]

- (4.2) We implicitly declare to the Eiffel compiler—so that the compiler will perform *automatic* checks for us before allowing a given piece of code to be *executed*—that name and names will always be attached.
- (4.3) An object variable x being **attached** means that x is <u>not void</u> (i.e., non-null, or pointing to some object stored in the memory).
- (4.4) This is different from Java, where object variables are not always non-null (hence the notorious phenomenon of *NullPointerException*!). Moreover, there is no way for you to ask the Java compiler to perform static checks on your Java code, so that a *compilable* Java code is free from *NullPointerException*.
- (4.5) On the other hand, the *void safety* feature of the Eiffel compiler guarantees that, if your code passes it checks and compiles, there will be no *runtime errors* related to null pointers.

 \Rightarrow What's the catch?

- In <u>Java</u>, you run into *NullPointerException* at runtime (meaning that there is no way for you to be aware of such an issue during development).
- In <u>Eiffel</u>, you run into *compile-time* errors related to void safety, and you are forced to fix these compile-time errors before you can run your software.
 A typical *void-safety error* is the use of an uninitialized object variable obj as the context object of some call: e.g., obj.f(...).
- Analogy.
 - In Java, a problem of a plane's landing gear is discovered after its takeoff.
 - In <u>Eiffel</u>, the landing gear's problem would have been discovered and forced to fix before the takeoff.
- (4.6) More precisely:
 - Declaring object variables such as <u>String name</u> and <u>String[] names</u> in <u>Java</u> is as if you added an explicit modifier detachable in the case of <u>Eiffel</u>:

name: detachable STRING and names: detachable ARRAY[STRING].

- In this case, the Java compiler let problems manifest themselves when a *NullPointerException* causes your program to crash at *runtime*, whereas the Eiffel compiler imposes constraints related to *void safety* at the *compile-time*.
- In this case, both Java and Eiffel allow the developer to perform <u>manual</u> checks.
 - In Java, you write Boolean expressions such as <u>name != null</u> and <u>names != null</u>.
 - In Eiffel, you write Boolean expressions such as <u>attached name</u> and <u>attached names</u>.
- Declaring objet variables that are always **attached** in Eiffel (e.g., <u>name: STRING</u> and <u>names: ARRAY[STRING]</u>) has no equivalent support in Java.

18 BIRTHDAY_BOOK: Class Invariant

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3MnIO3oJWew&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=19

- 1. Always first think about the *class invariant*: how should a legitimate BIRTHDAY object be characterized (in terms of its attribute values and query return values)?
- $2. \ {\tt consistent_counts}$
- 3. no_duplicate_names uses nested across.

19 BIRTHDAY_BOOK: Command add – Debugging Precondition

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ubrFBmbURKI&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=20

- 1. Add precondition: name_does_not_exist.
 - (Approach 1) Use of {ARRAY}.has
 - Add a precondition violation test (e.g., adding a name which already exists).
 - In order to inquire membership in the names array, names.object_comparison must be set to true.
 - (Approach 2) Use of across ... is ... some ...
 - Conversion between \forall and \exists : $(\forall x : P(X)) \equiv \neg(\exists x : \neg P(X)).$
- 2. The video also demonstrates a valuable process of debugging a test. Please make sure you take the time to understand and re-produce the process.

20 BIRTHDAY_BOOK: Command add – Testing Postcondition

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OPwlNxt9Lqc&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=21

- 1. Add postconditions, assuming that the new name and new birthday are always added to the end of the two linear structures.
- 2. Add an implementation that satisfies the postconditions.
- 3. Add a boolean test for testing the implementation of command add.
- 4. Add a postcondition violation test.
 - In order to test that the name_added postcondition violation occurs when it should, we need an incorrect implementation such that:
 - It satisfies all postconditions are satisfied: one_more_name and one_more_birthday
 - It violates the Boolean expression for name_added: $|names[count] \sim p_n$

21 BIRTHDAY_BOOK: Get Birthday – detachable? [Supplier]

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TTpbrlvDIOw&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=22

- 1. get_birthday_book(name: STRING): BIRTHDAY
 - (1.1) **Void Safety**. The return value **Result** is always *attached* and must thus be explicitly initialized.
 - (1.2) The from ... until ... loop requires Result to be initialized.
- 2. get_birthday_book(name: STRING): detachable BIRTHDAY
 - (2.1) **Void Safety** The return value **Result** is **detachable** and may thus be uninitialized, i.e., storing **void** by default.
 - (2.2) The from ... until ... loop does not require Result to be initialized.
- 3. We define an auxiliary query index_of_name to help specify the postcondition.

22 BIRTHDAY_BOOK: Get Birthday – detachable? [Client]

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eCxI48msewU&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=23

- 1. Write tests on add using get_birthday.
- 2. Write tests on add using get_detachable_birthday.
 - (2.1) **Void Safety** Calling a feature on the return value of get_detachable_birthday requires the use of a developer's assertion:

check attached get_detachable_birthday(...) as ... then ... end

23 BIRTHDAY_BOOK: Exercise - Implement & Specify celebrate

LINK: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZeCqOIJulFM&list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4bxISJrwPoBrzVdCfVgVnN&index=24

- 1. The return value uses the anchor type: remind (today: BIRTHDAY): like names
 - (1.1) It is as if you declared remind (today: BIRTHDAY): ARRAY[STRING]
 - (1.2) What's the advantage of using an anchor type here?
 - The use of anchor type for **remind**'s return type indicates a designer's intention that **names** and **remind(...)** are always of the same type.
 - When the type of names changes, say from ARRAY[NAME] to LIST[NAME], is there any manual change necessary to still satisfy this designer's intention?
 - No. It will be updated automatically.
 - (1.3) Cross Reference. See how anchor type is used in Section 11.
- 2. TODO: Complete the implementation of this query.
- 3. TODO:
 - every_name_in_result_is_an_existing_name
 - every_name_in_result_has_birthday_today
- 4. You should write boolean test queries for testing the implementation.
- 5. You should write violation test commands for testing each of the postconditions.