

Review of Math



EECS4315 Z:
Mission-Critical Systems
Winter 2023

CHEN-WEI WANG

Learning Outcomes of this Lecture

This module is designed to help you review:

- Propositional Logic
- Predicate Logic

Propositional Logic (1)

- A **proposition** is a statement of claim that must be of either *true* or *false*, but not both.
- Basic logical operands are of type Boolean: *true* and *false*.
- We use logical operators to construct compound statements.
 - Unary logical operator: negation (\neg)

p	$\neg p$
<i>true</i>	<i>false</i>
<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>

- Binary logical operators: conjunction (\wedge), disjunction (\vee), implication (\Rightarrow), equivalence (\equiv), and if-and-only-if (\iff).

p	q	$p \wedge q$	$p \vee q$	$p \Rightarrow q$	$p \iff q$	$p \equiv q$
<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>
<i>true</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>
<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>
<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>

Propositional Logic: Implication (1)

- Written as $p \Rightarrow q$ [pronounced as “p implies q”]
 - We call p the antecedent, assumption, or premise.
 - We call q the consequence or conclusion.
- Compare the *truth* of $p \Rightarrow q$ to whether a contract is *honoured*:
 - antecedent/assumption/premise $p \approx$ promised terms [e.g., salary]
 - consequence/conclusion $q \approx$ obligations [e.g., duties]
- When the promised terms are met, then the contract is:
 - *honoured* if the obligations fulfilled. [$(true \Rightarrow true) \iff true$]
 - *breached* if the obligations violated. [$(true \Rightarrow false) \iff false$]
- When the promised terms are not met, then:
 - Fulfilling the obligation (q) or not ($\neg q$) does *not breach* the contract.

p	q	$p \Rightarrow q$
<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>
<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>

Propositional Logic: Implication (2)

There are alternative, equivalent ways to expressing $p \Rightarrow q$:

- q **if** p
 q is *true* if p is *true*
- p **only if** q
If p is *true*, then for $p \Rightarrow q$ to be *true*, it can only be that q is also *true*.
Otherwise, if p is *true* but q is *false*, then $(\text{true} \Rightarrow \text{false}) \equiv \text{false}$.

Note. To prove $p \equiv q$, prove $p \iff q$ (pronounced: “p if and only if q”):

- p **if** q [$q \Rightarrow p$]
- p **only if** q [$p \Rightarrow q$]
- p is **sufficient** for q
For q to be *true*, it is sufficient to have p being *true*.
- q is **necessary** for p [similar to p **only if** q]
If p is *true*, then it is necessarily the case that q is also *true*.
Otherwise, if p is *true* but q is *false*, then $(\text{true} \Rightarrow \text{false}) \equiv \text{false}$.
- q **unless** $\neg p$ [When is $p \Rightarrow q$ *true*?]
If q is *true*, then $p \Rightarrow q$ *true* regardless of p .
If q is *false*, then $p \Rightarrow q$ cannot be *true* unless p is *false*.

Propositional Logic: Implication (3)

Given an implication $p \Rightarrow q$, we may construct its:

- **Inverse:** $\neg p \Rightarrow \neg q$ [negate antecedent and consequence]
- **Converse:** $q \Rightarrow p$ [swap antecedent and consequence]
- **Contrapositive:** $\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$ [inverse of converse]

Propositional Logic (2)

- **Axiom:** Definition of \Rightarrow

$$p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$$

- **Theorem:** Identity of \Rightarrow

$$\text{true} \Rightarrow p \equiv p$$

- **Theorem:** Zero of \Rightarrow

$$\text{false} \Rightarrow p \equiv \text{true}$$

- **Axiom:** De Morgan

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

- **Axiom:** Double Negation

$$p \equiv \neg(\neg p)$$

- **Theorem:** Contrapositive

$$p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$$

Predicate Logic (1)

- A **predicate** is a *universal* or *existential* statement about objects in some universe of disclosure.
- Unlike propositions, predicates are typically specified using **variables**, each of which declared with some **range** of values.
- We use the following symbols for common numerical ranges:
 - \mathbb{Z} : the set of integers $[-\infty, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, +\infty]$
 - \mathbb{N} : the set of natural numbers $[0, 1, \dots, +\infty]$
- Variable(s) in a predicate may be **quantified**:
 - **Universal quantification** :
All values that a variable may take satisfy certain property.
 e.g., Given that i is a natural number, i is **always** non-negative.
 - **Existential quantification** :
Some value that a variable may take satisfies certain property.
 e.g., Given that i is an integer, i **can be** negative.

Predicate Logic (2.1): Universal Q. (\forall)

- A **universal quantification** has the form $(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow P)$
 - X is a comma-separated list of variable names
 - R is a **constraint on types/ranges** of the listed variables
 - P is a **property** to be satisfied
- **For all** (combinations of) values of variables listed in X that satisfies R , it is the case that P is satisfied.
 - $\forall i \bullet i \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow i \geq 0$ [true]
 - $\forall i \bullet i \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow i \geq 0$ [false]
 - $\forall i, j \bullet i \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge j \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow i < j \vee i > j$ [false]
- **Proof Strategies**
 1. How to prove $(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow P)$ **true**?
 - **Hint.** When is $R \Rightarrow P$ **true**? [true \Rightarrow true, false \Rightarrow -]
 - Show that for all instances of $x \in X$ s.t. $R(x)$, $P(x)$ holds.
 - Show that for all instances of $x \in X$ it is the case $\neg R(x)$.
 2. How to prove $(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow P)$ **false**?
 - **Hint.** When is $R \Rightarrow P$ **false**? [true \Rightarrow false]
 - Give a **witness/counterexample** of $x \in X$ s.t. $R(x)$, $\neg P(x)$ holds.

Predicate Logic (2.2): Existential Q. (\exists)

- An **existential quantification** has the form $(\exists X \bullet R \wedge P)$
 - X is a comma-separated list of variable names
 - R is a **constraint on types/ranges** of the listed variables
 - P is a **property** to be satisfied
- **There exist** (a combination of) values of variables listed in X that satisfy both R and P .
 - $\exists i \bullet i \in \mathbb{N} \wedge i \geq 0$ [true]
 - $\exists i \bullet i \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge i \geq 0$ [true]
 - $\exists i, j \bullet i \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge j \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge (i < j \vee i > j)$ [true]
- **Proof Strategies**
 1. How to prove $(\exists X \bullet R \wedge P)$ **true**?
 - **Hint.** When is $R \wedge P$ **true**? [true \wedge true]
 - Give a **witness** of $x \in X$ s.t. $R(x), P(x)$ holds.
 2. How to prove $(\exists X \bullet R \wedge P)$ **false**?
 - **Hint.** When is $R \wedge P$ **false**? [true \wedge false, false \wedge -]
 - Show that for all instances of $x \in X$ s.t. $R(x), \neg P(x)$ holds.
 - Show that for all instances of $x \in X$ it is the case $\neg R(x)$.

Predicate Logic (3): Exercises

- Prove or disprove: $\forall x \bullet (x \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge 1 \leq x \leq 10) \Rightarrow x > 0$.
All 10 integers between 1 and 10 are greater than 0.
- Prove or disprove: $\forall x \bullet (x \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge 1 \leq x \leq 10) \Rightarrow x > 1$.
Integer 1 (a witness/counterexample) in the range between 1 and 10 is *not* greater than 1.
- Prove or disprove: $\exists x \bullet (x \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge 1 \leq x \leq 10) \wedge x > 1$.
Integer 2 (a witness) in the range between 1 and 10 is greater than 1.
- Prove or disprove that $\exists x \bullet (x \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge 1 \leq x \leq 10) \wedge x > 10$?
All integers in the range between 1 and 10 are *not* greater than 10.

Predicate Logic (4): Switching Quantifications

Conversions between \forall and \exists :

$$(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow P) \iff \neg(\exists X \bullet R \wedge \neg P)$$

$$(\exists X \bullet R \wedge P) \iff \neg(\forall X \bullet R \Rightarrow \neg P)$$

Index (1)

Learning Outcomes of this Lecture

Propositional Logic (1)

Propositional Logic: Implication (1)

Propositional Logic: Implication (2)

Propositional Logic: Implication (3)

Propositional Logic (2)

Predicate Logic (1)

Predicate Logic (2.1): Universal Q. (\forall)

Predicate Logic (2.2): Existential Q. (\exists)

Predicate Logic (3): Exercises

Predicate Logic (4): Switching Quantifications