# Aggregation and Composition Consider the general form of m, with context object co an context context object co an context c

1 all 2024

CHEN-WEI WANG

# Call by Value (1)

• Consider the general form of a call to some *mutator method* m, with *context object* co and argument value arg:

### co.m(arg)

- Argument variable arg is <u>not</u> passed directly to the method call.
- Instead, argument variable arg is passed <u>indirectly</u>: a <u>copy</u> of the value stored in arg is made and passed to the method call.
- What can be the type of variable arg? [ Primitive or Reference ]
  - arg is primitive type (e.g., int, char, boolean, etc.):
     Call by Value: Copy of arg's stored value
     (e.g., 2, 'j', true) is made and passed.
  - arg is reference type (e.g., String, Point, Person, etc.): *Call by Value*: Copy of arg's stored reference/address (e.g., Point@5cb0d902) is made and passed.

3 of 37

**Learning Outcomes** 

UNIVERS



This module is designed to help you learn about:

- Call by Value: Primitive vs. Reference Argument Values
- Aggregation vs. Composition: Terminology and Modelling
- Aggregation: Building Sharing Links & Navigating Objects
- Composition: Implementation via Copy Constructors
- **Design Decision**: Aggregation or Composition?

# Call by Value (2.1)

LASSONDE

For illustration, let's assume the following variant of the  ${\tt Point}$  class:

```
public class Point {
    private int x;
    private int y;
    public Point(int x, int y) {
        this.x = x;
        this.y = y;
    }
    public int getX() { return this.x; }
    public int getY() { return this.y; }
    public void moveVertically(int y) { this.y += y; }
    public void moveHorizontally(int x) { this.x += x; }
```

# Call by Value (2.2.1)





- **Before** the mutator call at L6, primitive variable i stores 10.
- When executing the mutator call at L6, due to call by value, a copy of variable i is made.
  - $\Rightarrow$  The assignment i = i + 1 is only effective on this copy, not the original variable i itself.
- .: After the mutator call at L6, variable i still stores 10.

#### 5 of 37

1 @Test public class Util { 2 public void testCallByRef\_1() { void reassignInt(int j) { 3 Util u = new Util(); j = j + 1; } 4 Point p = new Point(3, 4);void reassignRef(Point q) { 5 Point refOfPBefore = p; Point np = new Point(6, 8); 6 u.reassignRef(p); 7 assertTrue(p == refOfPBefore); void changeViaRef(Point q) { 8 assertTrue(p.getX() == 3); q.moveHorizontally(3); 9 assertTrue(p.getY() == 4);

• Before the mutator call at L6, reference variable p stores the address of some Point object (whose x is 3 and y is 4).

10

• When executing the mutator call at L6, due to call by value, a

*copy of address* stored in p is made.

Call by Value (2.3.1)

q.moveVertically(4); } }

q = np; }

- $\Rightarrow$  The assignment p = np is only effective on this copy, not the original variable p itself.
- .: After the mutator call at L6, variable p still stores the original address (i.e., same as refOfPBefore).

7 of 37

Call by Value (2.2.2)



#

LASSONDE

Before reassignInt	During reassignInt	After reassignInt	
	<i>i</i> int 10	<i>i</i> int 10	
<i>i</i> <b>int</b> 10	j <b>int 1</b> 0	j int 11	

<b>Before</b> reassignRef	During reassignRef	After reassignRef	
Point x 3 y 4	Point P y 4	Point x 3 y 4 q Point x 6 y 8	



11 of 37

Call by Value (2.4.2)



# Aggregation: Independent Containees Shared by Containers (1.1)







# Aggregation: Independent Containees Shared by Containers (1.2)



LASSONDE

#### @Test

public void testAggregation1() {
 Course eecs2030 = new Course("Advanced OOP");
 Course eecs3311 = new Course("Software Design");
 Faculty prof = new Faculty("Jackie");
 eecs2030.setProf(prof);
 eecs3311.setProf(prof);
 assertTrue(eecs2030.getProf() == eecs3311.getProf());
 /\* aliasing \*/
 prof.setName("Jeff");
 assertTrue(eecs2030.getProf() == eecs3311.getProf());
 assertTrue(eecs2030.getProf() == eecs3311.getProf());
 Faculty prof2 = new Faculty("Jonathan");

#### eecs3311.setProf(prof2);

assertTrue(eecs2030.getProf() != eecs3311.getProf()); assertTrue(eecs2030.getProf().getName().equals("Jeff")); assertTrue(eecs3311.getProf().getName().equals("Jonathan"));

#### 13 of 37

# Aggregation: Independent Containees Shared by Containers (2.2)

## @Test

```
public void testAggregation2() {
 Faculty p = new Faculty("Jackie");
 Student s = new Student("Jim");
 Course eecs2030 = new Course("Advanced OOP");
 Course eecs3311 = new Course("Software Design");
 eecs2030.setProf(p);
 eecs3311.setProf(p);
 p.addTeaching(eecs2030);
 p.addTeaching(eecs3311);
 s.addCourse(eecs2030);
 s.addCourse(eecs3311);
 assertTrue(eecs2030.getProf() == s.getCS()[0].getProf());
 assertTrue(s.getCS()[0].getProf()
              == s.getCS()[1].getProf());
 assertTrue(eecs3311 == s.getCS()[1]);
 assertTrue(s.getCS()[1] == p.getTE()[1]);
15 of 37
```

# Aggregation: Independent Containees Shared by Containers (2.1)



# The Dot Notation (3.1)



LASSONDE

In real life, the relationships among classes are sophisticated.



- <u>Assume</u>: *private* attributs and *public* accessors
- *Aggregation links* between classes constrain how you can *navigate* among these classes.
- In the context of class Student:
  - Writing *cs* denotes the array of registered courses.
  - Writing *cs[i]* (where i is a valid index) navigates to the class Course, which changes the context to class Course.

```
16 of 37
```

# **OOP: The Dot Notation (3.2)**



LASSONDE



# **OOP:** The Dot Notation (3.4)



LASSONDE



**OOP:** The Dot Notation (3.3)

public class Student { private String id; private Course[] cs;

18 of 37

public class Course { public class Faculty { private String title; private Faculty prof;

private String name; private Course[] te;

public class Course ... /\* attributes \*/ /\* Get the course's title \*/ public String getTitle() { return this.title; } /\* Get the instructor's name \*/ public String getName() { return this.prof.getName(); /\* Get title of ith teaching course of the instructor \*/ public String getTitle(int i) { return this.prof.getTE()[i].getTitle(); }

# **Composition: Dependent Containees Owned by Containers (1.1)**



Requirement: Files are not shared among directories.

#### Assume: *private* attributs and *public* accessors class File { String name: File(String name) { this.name = name;

#### **class** Directory { String name; File[] files; int nof; /\* num of files \*/ Directory(String name) { this.name = name; files = new File[100]; void addFile(String fileName) files[nof] = new File(fileName); nof ++;

20 of 37

}

# **Composition: Dependent Containees Owned by Containers (1.2.1)**



- L4: 1st File object is created and owned exclusively by d1. No other directories are sharing this File object with d1.
- L5: 2nd File object is created and owned exclusively by d1. No other directories are sharing this File object with d1.
- L6: 3rd File object is created and owned exclusively by d1. No other directories are sharing this File object with d1. 21 of 37

# **Composition: Dependent Containees Owned by Containers (1.3)**



LASSONDE

LASSONDE

[**NO**]

#### **class** Directory {

```
Directory (Directory other) {
  /* Initialize attributes via attributes of 'other'. */
```

#### Hints:

24 of 37

LASSONDE

LASSONDE

- The implementation should be consistent with the effect of copying and pasting a directory.
- · Separate copies of files are created. 23 of 37

# **Composition: Dependent Containees Owned by Containers (1.2.2)**





**Version 1**: Shallow Copy by copying all attributes using =.

#### **class** Directory { **Directory** (Directory other) { /\* value copying for primitive type \*/ nof = other.nof; /\* address copying for reference type \*/ name = other.name; files = other.files; } )

Is a shallow copy satisfactory to support composition? i.e., Does it still forbid sharing to occur? ØTest

public void testShallowCopyConstructor() { Directory d1 = new Directory("D"); dl.addFile("fl.txt"); dl.addFile("f2.txt"); dl.addFile("f3.txt"); Directory d2 = new Directory(d1); assertTrue(dl.getFiles() == d2.getFiles()); /\* violation of composition \*/ d2.getFiles()[0].changeName("fll.txt"); assertFalse(d1.getFiles()[0].getName().equals("f1.txt"));

# Composition: Dependent Containees Owned by Containers (1.4.2)

Right before test method testShallowCopyConstructor terminates:



# Composition: Dependent Containees Owned by Containers (1.5.2)

Right before test method testDeepCopyConstructor terminates:

LASSONDE







LASSONDE

# Composition: Dependent Containees Owned by Containers (1.6)

#### Exercise: Implement the accessor in class Directory

<b>class</b> Directory {	
File[] files;	
<pre>int nof;</pre>	
<pre>File[] getFiles() {</pre>	
/* Your Task */	
}	
}	

so that it *preserves composition*, i.e., does not allow references of files to be shared.

#### 29 of 37

# Aggregation vs. Composition (2)



LASSONDE

[ aggregations ]

*Aggregations* and *Compositions* may exist at the same time! e.g., Consider a workstation:

- Each workstation owns CPU, monitor, keyword. [ compositions ]
- All workstations share the same network.



31 of 37

# Aggregation vs. Composition (1)

Terminology:

- Container object: an object that contains others.
- Containee object: an object that is contained within another.

#### Aggregation :

- Containees (e.g., Course) may be *shared* among containers (e.g., Student, Faculty).
- · Containees exist independently without their containers.
- When a container is destroyed, its containees still exist.

#### Composition :

- Containers (e.g, Directory, Department) *own* exclusive access to their containees (e.g., File, Faculty).
- Containees cannot exist without their containers.
- Destroying a container destroys its containeees *cascadingly*.

# Aggregation vs. Composition (3)

**Problem**: Every published book has an author. Every author may publish more than one books. Should the author field of a book be implemented as an *aggregation* or a *composition*?



# Beyond this lecture...



# Reproduce the *aggregation* and *composition* code examples in Eclipse.

Tip. Use the debugger to verify whether or not there is *sharing*.

# Index (2)



LASSONDE

LASSONDE

Index (1)	
Learning Outcomes	
Call by Value (1) Call by Value (2.1)	
Call by Value (2.2.1) Call by Value (2.2.2)	
Call by Value (2.3.1) Call by Value (2.3.2)	
Call by Value (2.4.1)	
Aggregation vs. Composition: Terminology	

# Index (3)

36 of 37

Composition: Dependent Containees
Owned by Containers (1.1)
Composition: Dependent Containees
Owned by Containers (1.2.1)
Composition: Dependent Containees
Owned by Containers (1.2.2)
Composition: Dependent Containees
Owned by Containers (1.3)
Composition: Dependent Containees
Owned by Containers (1.4.1)
Composition: Dependent Containees
Owned by Containers (1.4.2)

34 of 37

# Index (4)

Composition: Dependent Containees

LASSONDE

Owned by Containers (1.5.1)

Composition: Dependent Containees

Owned by Containers (1.5.2)

Composition: Dependent Containees

Owned by Containers (1.5.3)

Composition: Dependent Containees

Owned by Containers (1.6)

Aggregation vs. Composition (1)

Aggregation vs. Composition (2)

Aggregation vs. Composition (3)

Beyond this lecture...