

# Eiffel Testing Framework (ETF): Automated Regression & Acceptance Testing



EECS3311 A & E: Software Design  
Fall 2020

CHEN-WEI WANG

## Learning Objectives



Upon completing this lecture, you are expected to understand:

1. **User Interface**: Concrete vs. **Abstract**
2. **Use Case**: Interleaving Model, Events & (**Abstract**) **States**
3. **Acceptance Tests** vs. Unit Tests
4. **Regression Tests**

2 of 21

## Required Tutorial



All technical details of ETF are discussed in this tutorial series:

[https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL5dxAmCmjv\\_5unIqLB9XiLwBey105y3kI](https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL5dxAmCmjv_5unIqLB9XiLwBey105y3kI)

3 of 21

## Take-Home Message



- Your remaining assignments are related to ETF: Lab3 & Project.
- You are no longer just given **partially** implemented classes:
  - Design decisions have already been made for you.
  - You are just to fill in the blanks (to-do's).
- ETF is in Eiffel, but try to see beyond what it allows you do:
  1. Design **your own classes and routines**.
  2. Practice **design principles**:  
e.g., DbC, modularity, information hiding, single-choice, cohesion.
  3. Practice **design patterns**:  
e.g., iterator, singleton.
  4. Practice **acceptance** testing and **regression** testing.

4 of 21

## Bank ATM: Concrete User Interfaces




An ATM app has many **concrete** (implemented, functioning) UIs.

PHYSICAL INTERFACE



MOBILE INTERFACE



5 of 21

## Prototyping System with Abstract UI



- For you to quickly **prototype** a working system, you do not need to spend time on developing an elaborate, full-fledged GUI.
- The **Eiffel Testing Framework (ETF)** allows you to:
  - Generate a starter project from the specification of an **abstract UI**.
  - Focus on developing the business **model**.
  - Test your business model as if it were a real app.
- **Q.** What is an **abstract UI**?

**Events abstracting** observable interactions with the concrete GUI (e.g., button clicks, text entering).

- **Q.** Events vs. Features (attributes & routines)?

Events	Features
interactions	computations
external	internal
observable	hidden
acceptance tests	unit tests
users, customers	programmers, developers

7 of 21

## UI, Model, TDD



### • Separation of Concerns

- The **(Concrete)** User Interface  
Users typically interact with your application via some GUI.  
e.g., web app, mobile app, or desktop app
- The **Model** (Business Logic)  
Develop an application via classes and features.  
e.g., a bank storing, processing, retrieving accounts & transactions

### • Test Driven Development (TDD) In practice:

- The model should be **independent** of the UI or View.
  - Do **not** wait to test the **model** when the **concrete** UI is built.
- ⇒ Test your software as if it was a real app  
**way before** dedicating to the design of an actual GUI.  
⇒ Use an **abstract** UI (e.g., a cmd-line UI) for this purpose.

6 of 21

## Bank ATM: Abstract UI



**Abstract UI** is the list of **events abstracting** observable interactions with the concrete GUI (e.g., button clicks, text entering).

```

system bank

new(id: STRING)
  -- create a new bank account for "id"
deposit(id: STRING; amount: INTEGER)
  -- deposit "amount" into the account of "id"
withdraw(id: STRING; amount: INTEGER)
  -- withdraw "amount" from the account of "id"
transfer(id1: STRING; id2: STRING; amount: INTEGER)
  -- transfer "amount" from "id1" to "id2"
    
```

8 of 21

## Bank ATM: Abstract States

**Abstract State** is a representation of the system:

- **Including** relevant details of functionalities under **testing**
- **Excluding** other irrelevant details

e.g., An **abstract state** may show each account's owner:

```
{alan, mark, tom}
```

e.g., An **abstract state** may also show each account's balance:

```
{alan: 200, mark: 300, tom: 700}
```

e.g., An **abstract state** may show account's transactions:

```
Account Owner: alan
List of transactions:
+ deposit (Oct 15): $100
- withdraw (Oct 18): $50
Account Owner: mark
List of transactions:
```

9 of 21

## Bank ATM: Outputs of Acceptance Tests (1)

Output from running an **acceptance test** is a sequence interleaving **abstract states** and **abstract events**:

$$S_0 \rightarrow e_1 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow e_2 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

where:

- $S_0$  is the **initial state**.
- $S_i$  is the **pre-state** of event  $e_{i+1}$  [  $i \geq 0$  ]  
e.g.,  $S_0$  is the pre-state of  $e_1$ ,  $S_1$  is the pre-state of  $e_2$
- $S_i$  is the **post-state** of event  $e_i$  [  $i \geq 1$  ]  
e.g.,  $S_1$  is the post-state of  $e_1$ ,  $S_2$  is the post-state of  $e_2$

11 of 21

## Bank ATM: Inputs of Acceptance Tests

An **acceptance test** is a **use case** of the system under test, characterized by sequential occurrences of **abstract events**.

For example:

```
new("alan")
new("mark")
deposit("alan", 200)
deposit("mark", 100)
transfer("alan", "mark", 50)
```

10 of 21

## Bank ATM: Outputs of Acceptance Tests (2)

Consider an example acceptance test output:

```
{
->new("alan")
{alan: 0}
->new("mark")
{alan: 0, mark: 0}
->deposit("alan", 200)
{alan: 200, mark: 0}
->deposit("mark", 100)
{alan: 200, mark: 100}
->transfer("alan", "mark", 50)
{alan: 150, mark: 150}
```

- **Initial State?** { }
- What role does the state {alan: 200, mark: 0} play?
  - **Post-State** of deposit("alan", 200)
  - **Pre-State** of deposit("mark", 100)

12 of 21

## Bank ATM: Acceptance Tests vs. Unit Tests




Q. Difference between an **acceptance test** and a **unit test**?

```
{
->new("alan")
{alan: 0}
->deposit("alan", 200)
{alan: 200}
```

```
test: BOOLEAN
local acc: ACCOUNT
do create acc.make("alan")
acc.add(200)
Result := acc.balance = 200
end
```

- A.
- Writing a **unit test** requires knowledge about the **programming language** and details of **implementation**.  
⇒ Written and run by developers
  - Writing an **acceptance test** only requires familiarity with the **abstract UI** and **abstract state**.  
⇒ Written and run by customers [ for communication ]  
⇒ Written and run by developers [ for testing ]

## Workflow: Develop-Connect-Test



## ETF in a Nutshell



- Eiffel Testing Framework (ETF)** facilitates engineers to write and execute **input-output-based acceptance tests**.
  - Inputs** are specified as traces of events (or sequences).
  - The **abstract UI** of the system under development (SUD) is defined by declaring the list of input events that might occur.
  - Outputs** are interleaved states and events logged to the terminal, and their formats may be customized.
- An **executable** ETF project tailored for the SUD can already be generated, using these **event declarations** (specified in a plain text file), with a default **business model**.
  - Once the **business model** is implemented, there is a small number of steps to follow for developers to connect it to the generated ETF.
  - Once connected, developers may **re-run** all **acceptance tests** and observe if the expected state effects occur.

## ETF: Abstract UI and Acceptance Test



**Input Grammar**

```
system bank
type NAME = STRING

new(name1: NAME)
-- create a new bank account for "id"

deposit(name1: NAME; amount: VALUE)
-- deposit "amount" into the account of "id"

withdraw(name1: NAME; amount: VALUE)
-- withdraw "amount" from the account of "id"


transfer(name1: NAME; name2: NAME; amount: VALUE)
-- transfer "amount" from "id1" to "id2"
```

```
%bank -b at1.txt
init
->new("Steve")
name: Steve, balance: 0.00
->new("Bill")
name: Bill, balance: 0.00
name: Steve, balance: 0.00
->deposit("Steve",520)
name: Bill, balance: 0.00
name: Steve, balance: 520.00
->new("Pam")
name: Bill, balance: 0.00
name: Pam, balance: 0.00
name: Steve, balance: 520.00
->deposit("Bill",100)
name: Bill, balance: 100.00
name: Pam, balance: 0.00
name: Steve, balance: 520.00
->withdraw("Steve",20)
name: Bill, balance: 100.00
name: Pam, balance: 0.00
name: Steve, balance: 500.00
```

## ETF: Generating a New Project



`etf -new bank.input.txt <directory>`



17 of 21


## ETF: Implementing an Abstract Command



```
class
  ETF_DEPOSIT
inherit
  ETF_DEPOSIT_INTERFACE
  redefine deposit end
create
  make
feature -- command
  deposit(id: STRING ; amount: REAL_64)
  do
    if not model.has_user (id) then
      -- Set some error message
    elseif not amount <= model.get_balance (id) then
      -- Set some other error message
    else
      -- perform some update on the model state
      model.deposit (id, amount)
    end
    -- Publish model update
    etf_cmd_container.on_change.notify ([Current])
  end
end
```

19 of 21

## ETF: Architecture



- Classes in the `model` cluster are hidden from the users.
- All commands reference to the same model (bank) instance.
- When a user's request is made:
  - A **command object** of the corresponding type is created, which invokes relevant feature(s) in the `model` cluster.
  - Updates to the model are published to the output handler.

18 of 21

## Beyond this lecture



The *singleton* pattern is instantiated in the ETF framework:

- ETF\_MODEL (shared data)
- ETF\_MODEL\_ACCESS (exclusive once access)
- ETF\_COMMAND and its effective descendants:

```
deferred class
  ETF_COMMAND
feature -- Attributes
  model: ETF_MODEL
feature {NONE}
  make(...)
  local
    ma: ETF_MODEL_ACCESS
  do
    ...
    model := ma.m
  end
end
```

```
class
  ETF_DEPOSIT
inherit
  ETF_DEPOSIT_INTERFACE
  -- which inherits ETF_COMMAND
feature -- command
  deposit(...)
  do
    ...
    model.some_routine (...)
    ...
  end
end
```

20 of 21

## Index (1)



**Learning Objectives**

**Required Tutorial**

**Take-Home Message**

**Bank ATM: Concrete User Interfaces**

**UI, Model, TDD**

**Prototyping System with Abstract UI**

**Bank ATM: Abstract UI**

**Bank ATM: Abstract States**

**Bank ATM: Inputs of Acceptance Tests**

**Bank ATM: Outputs of Acceptance Tests (1)**

**Bank ATM: Outputs of Acceptance Tests (2)**

21 of 21

## Index (2)



**Bank ATM: Acceptance Tests vs. Unit Tests**

**ETF in a Nutshell**

**Workflow: Develop-Connect-Test**

**ETF: Abstract UI and Acceptance Test**

**ETF: Generating a New Project**

**ETF: Architecture**

**ETF: Implementing an Abstract Command**

**Beyond this lecture**

22 of 21