

Uniform Access Principle




EECS3311 A: Software Design
Fall 2018

CHEN-WEI WANG

Uniform Access Principle (1)

- We may implement `Point` using two representation systems:



- The *Cartesian system* stores the *absolute* positions of x and y .
 - The *Polar system* stores the *relative* position: the angle (in radian) ϕ and distance r from the origin $(0,0)$.
- How the `Point` is implemented is irrelevant to users:
 - Imp. 1:** Store x and y . [Compute r and ϕ on demand]
 - Imp. 2:** Store r and ϕ . [Compute x and y on demand]
- As far as users of a `Point` object p is concerned, having a **uniform access** by always being able to call $p.x$ and $p.y$ is what matters, despite **Imp. 1** or **Imp. 2** being current strategy.

Uniform Access Principle (2)

```

class
  POINT
create
  make_cartisian, make_polar
feature -- Public, Uniform Access to x- and y-coordinates
  x : REAL
  y : REAL
end
  
```

- A class `Point` declares how users may access a point: either get its `x` coordinate or its `y` coordinate.
- We offer two possible ways to instantiating a 2-D point:
 - `make_cartisian (nx: REAL; ny: REAL)`
 - `make_polar (nr: REAL; np: REAL)`
- Features `x` and `y`, from the client's point of view, cannot tell whether it is implemented via:
 - **Storage** [`x` and `y` stored as real-valued **attributes**]
 - **Computation** [`x` and `y` defined as **queries** returning real values]

Uniform Access Principle (3)

Let's say the supplier decides to adopt strategy **Imp. 1**.

```
class POINT -- Version 1
feature -- Attributes
  x : REAL
  y : REAL
feature -- Constructors
  make_cartisian(nx: REAL; ny: REAL)
  do
    x := nx
    y := ny
  end
end
```

- Attributes x and y represent the *Cartesian system*
- A client accesses a point p via $p.x$ and $p.y$.
 - **No Extra Computations**: just returning current values of x and y .
- However, it's harder to implement the other constructor: the body of `make_polar` (`nr: REAL; np: REAL`) has to compute and store x and y according to the inputs `nr` and `np`.

Uniform Access Principle (4)


Let's say the supplier decides (**secretly**) to adopt strategy **Imp. 2**.

```
class POINT -- Version 2
feature -- Attributes
  r : REAL
  p : REAL
feature -- Constructors
  make_polar(nr: REAL; np: REAL)
  do
    r := nr
    p := np
  end
feature -- Queries
  x : REAL do Result := r * cos(p) end
  y : REAL do Result := r * sin(p) end
end
```

- Attributes r and p represent the *Polar system*
- A client **still** accesses a point p via $p.x$ and $p.y$.
 - **Extra Computations**: computing x and y according to the current values of r and p .

Uniform Access Principle (5.1)

Let's consider the following scenario as an example:



Note: $360^\circ = 2\pi$

Uniform Access Principle (5.2)

```

1  test_points: BOOLEAN
2  local
3    A, X, Y: REAL
4    p1, p2: POINT
5  do
6    comment("test: two systems of points")
7    A := 5; X := A * sqrt(3); Y := A
8    create {POINT} p1.make_cartisian (X, Y)
9    create {POINT} p2.make_polar (2 * A, 1/6 * pi)
10   Result := p1.x = p2.x and p1.y = p2.y
11  end
  
```

- If strategy **Imp. 1** is adopted:
 - **L8** is computationally cheaper than **L9**. [x and y attributes]
 - **L10** requires no computations to access x and y.
- If strategy **Imp. 2** is adopted:
 - **L9** is computationally cheaper than **L8**. [r and p attributes]
 - **L10** requires computations to access x and y.

UAP in Java: Interface (1)

```
interface Point {  
    double getX();  
    double getY();  
}
```

- An interface `Point` defines how users may access a point: either get its `x` coordinate or its `y` coordinate.
- Methods `getX()` and `getY()` have no implementations, but *signatures* only.
- \therefore `Point` cannot be used as a *dynamic type*
- Writing `new Point(...)` is forbidden!

UAP in Java: Interface (2)

```
public class CartesianPoint implements Point {  
    private double x;  
    private double y;  
    public CartesianPoint(double x, double y) {  
        this.x = x;  
        this.y = y;  
    }  
    public double getX() { return x; }  
    public double getY() { return y; }  
}
```

- CartesianPoint is a possible implementation of Point.
- Attributes `x` and `y` declared according to the *Cartesian system*
- CartesianPoint can be used as a **dynamic type**
 - Point `p = new CartesianPoint(3, 4)` allowed!
 - `p.getX()` and `p.getY()` return storage values

UAP in Java: Interface (3)

```
public class PolarPoint implements Point {
    private double phi;
    private double r;
    public PolarPoint(double r, double phi) {
        this.r = r;
        this.phi = phi;
    }
    public double getX() { return Math.cos(phi) * r; }
    public double getY() { return Math.sin(phi) * r; }
}
```

- PolarPoint is a possible implementation of Point.
- Attributes phi and r declared according to the *Polar system*
- PolarPoint can be used as a **dynamic type**
 - Point p = new PolarPoint(3, $\frac{\pi}{6}$) allowed! [360° = 2π]
 - p.getX() and p.getY() return computation results

UAP in Java: Interface (4)

```
1  @Test
2  public void testPoints() {
3      double A = 5;
4      double X = A * Math.sqrt(3);
5      double Y = A;
6      Point p1 = new CartesianPoint(X, Y); /* polymorphism */
7      Point p2 = new PolarPoint(2 * A, Math.toRadians(30)); /* polymorphism
8      assertEquals(p1.getX(), p2.getX());
9      assertEquals(p1.getY(), p2.getY());
10 }
```

How does *dynamic binding* work in **L9** and **L10**?

- `p1.getX()` and `p1.getY()` return storage values
- `p2.getX()` and `p2.getY()` return computation results

Uniform Access Principle (6)

The **Uniform Access Principle** :

- Allows clients to use services (e.g., $p.x$ and $p.y$) regardless of how they are implemented.
- Gives suppliers complete freedom as to how to implement the services (e.g., Cartesian vs. Polar).
 - No right or wrong implementation; it depends!

| | | |
|----------------------|---|-------------|
| access \ calculation | efficient | inefficient |
| | frequent | COMPUTATION |
| infrequent | STORAGE if "convenient" to keep its value up to date COMPUTATION otherwise | |

- Whether it's storage or computation, you can always change **secretly**, since the clients' access to the services is **uniform**.

Index (1)

Uniform Access Principle (1)

Uniform Access Principle (2)

Uniform Access Principle (3)

Uniform Access Principle (4)

Uniform Access Principle (5.1)

Uniform Access Principle (5.2)

UAP in Java: Interface (1)

UAP in Java: Interface (2)

UAP in Java: Interface (3)

UAP in Java: Interface (4)

Uniform Access Principle (6)