

Consider two problems:

1. Bank accounts share a set of data. e.g., interest and exchange rates, minimum and maximum balance, etc.
2. Processes are regulated to access some shared, limited resources.

What are design patterns? LASSONDE

- Solutions to problems that arise when software is being developed within a particular context.
- Heuristics for structuring your code so that it can be systematically maintained and extended.
- Caveat : A pattern is only suitable for a particular problem.
- Therefore, always understand problems before solutions!
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Shared Data through Inheritance LASSONDE

Client:

| ```class DEPOSIT inherit SHARED_DATA end``` |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| class | WITHDRAW inherit SHARED_DATA |
| end |  |
| ```class ACCOUNT inherit SHARED_DATA feature``` |  |
|  |  |
|  | deposits: DEPOSIT_LIST <br> withdraws: WITHDRAW_LIST |
| end |  |

Supplier:

## class <br> SHARED_DATA

feature
interest_rate: REAL
exchange_rate: REAL minimum_balance: INTEGER maximum_balance: INTEGER
end

Problems?

## Sharing Data through Inheritance:

## Architecture



- Irreverent features are inherited, breaking descendants' cohesion.
- Same set of data is duplicated as instances are created.
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Sharing Data through Inheritance: Limitation

- Each instance at runtime owns a separate copy of the shared data.
- This makes inheritance not an appropriate solution for both problems:
- What if the interest rate changes? Apply the change to all instantiated account objects?
- An update to the global lock must be observable by all regulated processes.


## Solution:

- Separate notions of data and its shared access in two separate classes.
- Encapsulate the shared access itself in a separate class.

Introducing the Once Routine in Eiffel (1.1)

```
class A
create make
feature -
make do end
feature -- Query
new_once_array (s: STRING): ARRAY[STRING]
    once
        create {ARRAY[STRING]} Result.make empty
        Result.force (s, Result.count + 1)
    end
    new_array (s: STRING): ARRAY[STRING]
        do
        create {ARRAY[STRING]} Result.make_empty
        Result.force (s, Result.count + 1)
        end
end
    L9 & L10 executed only once for initialization.
    L15 & L16 executed whenever the feature is called.
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```

Introducing the Once Routine in Eiffel (1.2)

```
test_query: BOOLEA
    local
        a: A
    arr1, arr2: ARRAY[STRING]
    do
        create a.make
    arr1 := a.new_array ("Alan")
    Result := arrl.count = 1 and arrl[1] ~ "Alan"
    check Result end
    arr2 := a.new_array ("Mark")
    Result := arr2.count = 1 and arr2[1] ~ "Mark"
    check Result end
    Result := not (arr1 = arr2)
    check Result end
    end
```

Introducing the Once Routine in Eiffel (1.3)

```
test_once_query: BOOLEAN
    local
        a: A
        arr1, arr2: ARRAY[STRING]
    do
        create a.make
    arr1 := a.new_once_array ("Alan")
    Result := arrl.count = 1 and arrl[1] ~ "Alan"
    check Result end
    arr2 := a.new_once_array ("Mark")
    Result := arr2.count = 1 and arr2[1] ~ "Alan"
    check Result end
    Result := arrl = arr2
    check Result end
end
```
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## Introducing the Once Routine in Eiffel (2)

 LASSONDE| $r(\ldots): T$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | $\ldots$ |

- The ordinary do ... end is replaced by once ... end.
- The first time the once routine $r$ is called by some client, it executes the body of computations and returns the computed result.
- From then on, the computed result is "cached".
- In every subsequent call to $r$, possibly by different clients, the body of $r$ is not executed at all; instead, it just returns the "cached" result, which was computed in the very first call.
- How does this help us?

Cache the reference to the same shared object! 10 of 31

Introducing the Once Routine in Eiffel (3)
LASSONDE

- In Eiffel, the once routine:
- Initializes its return value Result by some computation.
- The initial computation is invoked only once.
- Resulting value from the initial computation is cached and returned for all later calls to the once routine.
- Eiffel once routines are different from Java static accessors In Java, a static accessor
- Does not have its computed return value "cached"
- Has its computation performed freshly on every invocation
- Eiffel once routines are different from Java stat ic attributes In Java, a stat ic attribute
- Is a value on storage
- May be initialized via some simple expression e.g., static int counter = 20;
but cannot be initialized via some sophisticated computation.
- Note. By putting such initialization computation in a constructor, there would be a fresh computation whenever a new object is created.

Singleton Pattern in Eiffel
Supplier:

| class BANK_DATA |
| :--- |
| create \{BANK_DATA_ACCESS\} make |
| feature \{BANK_DATA_ACCESS\} |
| make do... end |
| feature -- Data Attributes |
| interest_rate: REAL |
| set_interest_rate (r: REAL) |
| end |

```
expanded class
    BANK_DATA_ACCESS
feature
    data: BANK_DATA
```

        The one and only access
        once create Result.make end
    invariant data $=$ data

Client:

| class |
| :--- |
| ACCOUNT |
| feature |
| data: BANK_DATA |
| make (...) |
| $\quad-\quad$ Init. access to bank data. |
| local |
| data_access: BANK_DATA_ACCESS |
| do |
| data := data_access.data |
| $\ldots$ |
| end |
| end |

Writing create data.make in client's make feature does not compile. Why?

```
test_bank_shared_data: BOOLEAN
    local
        acc1, acc2: ACCOUNT
    do
        comment("t1: test that a single data object is shared")
        create accl.make ("Bill")
        create acc2.make ("Steve")
        Result := acc1.data ~ acc2.data
        check Result end
        Result := accl.data = acc2.data
        check Result end
        accl.data.set_interest_rate (3.11)
        Result := accl.data.interest_rate = acc2.data.interest_rate
    end
```


## Singleton Pattern: Architecture

 LASSONDE

Important Exercises: Instantiate this architecture to both problems of shared bank data and shared lock. Draw them in draw.io.
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Iterator Pattern: Motivation

|  | Client: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Supplier: | class SHOP |
| ```class CART feature orders: ARRAY [ORDER] end class ORDER feature price: INTEGER quantity: INTEGER end``` <br> Problems? | ```feature cart: CART checkout: INTEGER do from i := cart.orders.lower until i > cart.orders.upper do Result := Result + cart.orders[i].price cart.orders[i].quantity i := i + 1 end end end``` |

class
feature
cart: CART
do
i := cart.orders.lower until
i > cart.orders.upper
R
esult := Result +
cart.orders[i].price
cart.orders[i].quantity end
end


- Information hiding: changing the secret, internal workings of data structures should not affect any existing clients.
e.g., changing from ARRAY to LINKED_LIST in the CART class
- Steps:

1. Let the supplier class inherit from the deferred class ITERABLE[G].
2. This forces the supplier class to implement the inherited feature: new_cursor: ITERATION_CURSOR [G], where the type parameter G may be instantiated (e.g., ITERATION_CURSOR[ORDER]).
2.1 If the internal, library data structure is already iterable
e.g., imp: ARRAY[ORDER], then simply return imp.new_cursor.
2.2 Otherwise, say imp: MY_TREE[ORDER], then create a new class MY_TREE_ITERATION_CURSOR that inherits from ITERATION_CURSOR[ORDER], then implement the 3 inherited features after, item, and forth accordingly.
```
Class
inherit
    ITERABLE[ORDER]
feature {NONE} -- Information Hiding
    orders: ARRAY [ORDER]
feature -- Iteration
    new_cursor: ITERATION_CURSOR[ORDER]
    do
        Result := orders.new_cursor
    end
```

When the secrete implementation is already iterable, reuse it!

```
class
GENERIC_BOOK[G]
inherit
    ITERABLE[ TUPLE[STRING, G] ]
feature {NONE} -- Information Hiding
    names: ARRAY[STRING]
    records: ARRAY[G]
feature -- Iteration
    new_cursor: ITERATION_CURSOR[ TUPLE[STRING, G] ]
        local
            cursor: MY_ITERATION_CURSOR[G]
        do
            create cursor.make (names, records)
            Result := cursor
            end
```

No Eiffel library support for iterable arrays $\Rightarrow$ Implement it yourself!

```
class
    MY_ITERATION_CURSOR[G]
inherit
ITERATION_CURSOR[ TUPLE[STRING, G] ]
feature -- Constructor
    make (ns: ARRAY[STRING]; rs: ARRAY[G])
        do ... end
feature {NONE} -- Information Hiding
    i: cursor_position
    names: ARRAY[STRING]
    records: ARRAY[G]
feature -- Cursor Operations
        item: TUPLE[STRING, G]
        do ... end
        after: Boolean
        do ... end
        forth
```

        do ... end
        You need to implement the three inherited features:
        item, after, and forth.
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Clients using across for Contracts (1)

```
class
CHECKER
feature -- Attributes
collection: ITERABLE [INTEGER]
feature -- Queries
    is_all_positive: BOOLEAN
        -- Are all items in collection positive?
        do
        ensure
            across
                collection as cursor
            all
            cursor.item > 0
        end
    end
```

- Using all corresponds to a universal quantification (i.e., $\forall$ ).
- Using some corresponds to an existential quantification (i.e., ヨ).
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## Iterator Pattern:

Clients using across for Contracts (2)

```
class BANK
    accounts: LIST [ACCOUNT]
    binary_search (acc_id: INTEGER): ACCOUNT
        -- Search on accounts sorted in non-descending order.
        require
        across
        1 |..| (accounts.count - 1) as cursor
        all
        accounts [cursor.item].id <= accounts [cursor.item + 1].id
        end
        do
        ensure
        Result.id = acc_id
        end
```

This precondition corresponds to:
$\underset{24 \text { of } 31}{\forall i}:$ INTEGER $\mid 1 \leq i<$ accounts.count $\bullet$ accounts[i].id $\leq \operatorname{accounts[i+1].id~}$

## Iterator Pattern:

Clients using across for Contracts (3)

```
class BANK
    accounts: LIST [ACCOUNT]
    contains_duplicate: BOOLEAN
    Does the account list contain duplicate?
    do
    ensure
        \foralli,j: INTEGER
            1\leqi\leq accounts.count ^ 1\leqj\leqaccounts.count \bullet
                accounts[i] ~ accounts[j] => i=j
    end
```

- Exercise: Convert this mathematical predicate for postcondition into Eiffel.
- Hint: Each across construct can only introduce one dummy variable, but you may nest as many across constructs as necessary.


## Iterator Pattern:

Clients using Iterable in Imp. (1)


Iterator Pattern:

## Clients using Iterable in Imp. (2)

```
class SHOP
    cart: CART
    checkout: INTEGER
    requ
    local
    order: ORDER
    do
        across
            cart as cursor
            loop
                order := cursor. item
            Result := Result + order.price * order.quantity
        end
        ensure ??
    end
    - Class CART should inherit from ITERABLE[ORDER].
    27 Lf 310 implicitly declares: cursor: ITERATION_CURSOR[ORDER]
```


## Iterator Pattern:

Clients using Iterable in Imp. (3)

```
class BANK
    accounts: ITERABLE [ACCOUNT]
    max_balance: ACCOUNT
    -- Account with the maximum balance value.
    require
        local
        max: ACCOUNT
        do
            max := accounts [1]
            across
            accounts as cursor
            loop
            if cursor.item.balance > max.balance then
                max := cursor. item
            end
        end
        ensure
        end
```
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