

EECS 2001N: Introduction to the Theory of Computation

Suprakash Datta
Office: LAS 3043

Course page: <http://www.eecs.yorku.ca/course/2001N>
Also on Moodle

Recap: Countably Infinite Sets

- A set S is infinite if there exists a surjective function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$:
“The set S has at least as many elements as \mathbb{N} ”
- A set S is countable if there exists a surjective function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$: “The set S has at most as many elements as \mathbb{N} ”
- A set S is countably infinite if there exists a bijective function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$: “The sets \mathbb{N} and S are of the same cardinality”

Countably Infinite Languages

- Let $\Sigma = \{0\}$. Then Σ^* is countable
 $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Sigma^*$, $f(i) = a^{i-1}$
- Let Σ be a finite alphabet. Then Σ^* is countable
 Idea: We list Σ^* in increasing order of length and for strings of the same length we list them in lexicographic order
 E.g.: $\{0, 1\} = \{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, \dots\}$
 Then each finite length string gets a unique finite label
- **IMPORTANT:** Set of all Turing machines \mathcal{T} is countable:
 Idea: Every TM can be encoded as a string over some Σ . There is a surjective map from Σ^* to \mathcal{T} .

Countably Infinite Languages - 2

- We just argued that the set of all Turing machines T is countable
- What about the set of all languages (problems)?
We have argued before that this set is $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$
- We will show next that $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$ and some other sets (e.g., \mathbb{R} , $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$) are not countable!

$\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$ is not Countable

Claim: There is no surjection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$

Proof by contradiction. Assume there is a surjection f .

- $f(1), f(2), \dots$ are all infinite bit strings in $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$
- Define the infinite string $y = y_1y_2 \dots$ by
 $y_j = \text{NOT}(\text{j-th bit of } f(j))$
- On the one hand $y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, but on the other hand: for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we know that $f(j) \neq y$ because $f(j)$ and y differ in the j -th bit
- f cannot be a surjection: $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is uncountable.

Diagonalization

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|
| s_1 | = | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| s_2 | = | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ... |
| s_3 | = | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ... |
| s_4 | = | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | ... |
| s_5 | = | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | ... |
| s_6 | = | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | ... |
| s_7 | = | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ... | |
| s_8 | = | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ... |
| s_9 | = | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | ... |
| s_{10} | = | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | ... |
| s_{11} | = | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ... |
| \vdots | | \vdots | \vdots | \vdots | \vdots | \vdots | \vdots | \vdots | \vdots | \vdots | \vdots | \ddots | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|
| s | = | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | ... |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|

- Look at the bit string on the diagonal of this table: $s_d = 0100\dots$
- The negation of s_d , given by $s = 1011\dots$, does not appear in the table

Diagonalization: Recap

- We looked at a very innovative technique for proving that a set S is uncountable
- It is a proof by contradiction and starts off by assuming S is countable
- The argument does not (and should not) assume any specific ordering of the set S
- Rather it says: “Give me any enumeration/listing (or labeling with \mathbb{N} , or bijection with \mathbb{N}), and I will construct an element that is not listed/enumerated/labeled..., and that is a contradiction”

More Diagonalization: $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is not countable

- The set $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ contains all the subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots\}$
- Each subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ can be identified by an infinite string of bits $x_1x_2\dots$ such that $x_j = 1$ iff $j \in X$
- There is a bijection between $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ and $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ - each bit string represents a unique subset of \mathbb{N} and each subset of \mathbb{N} corresponds to a unique bit string
- We could stop here and invoke the last slide, but let us rework the proof in the last slide
- Proof by contradiction: Assume $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ countable. Hence there must exist a surjection f from \mathbb{N} to the set of infinite bit strings $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, or
 “There is a list of all infinite bit strings”
- Make the exact same diagonalization argument

More Diagonalization: \mathbb{R} is not countable

- Will use diagonalization to prove $R' = [0, 1)$ is uncountable
- Let f be a function $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow R'$. So $f(1), f(2), \dots$ are all infinite digit strings (padded with zeroes if required), and let $f(i)_j$ be the j -th bit of $f(i)$
- Define the infinite string of digits $y = y_1y_2\dots$ by

$$\begin{aligned} y_j &= f(i)_i + 1 \text{ if } f(i)_i < 8 \\ &= 7 \text{ if } f(i)_i \geq 8 \end{aligned}$$

- Invoke diagonalization to get a contradiction
- So $R' \subset \mathbb{R}$ is not countable, and therefore \mathbb{R} is not countable