

EECS 3101 M: Design and Analysis of Algorithms

Suprakash Datta
Office: LAS 3043

Course page: <http://www.eecs.yorku.ca/course/3101M>
Also on Moodle

More on Correctness of Algorithms

Let us prove the correctness of the following algorithm for computing the n -th power of a given real number.

POWER(y, z)

```
1  // return  $y^z$  where  $y \in R, z \in \mathbb{N}$ 
2   $x = 1$ 
3  while  $z > 0$ 
4      if ODD( $z$ )
5           $x = x * y$ 
6           $z = \lfloor z/2 \rfloor$ 
7           $y = y^2$ 
8  return  $x$ 
```

Formulating the Loop Invariant

```
POWER( $y, z$ )  
1   $x = 1$   
2  while  $z > 0$   
3      if ODD( $z$ )  
4           $x = x * y$   
5           $z = \lfloor z/2 \rfloor$   
6           $y = y^2$   
7  return  $x$ 
```

How does the algorithm proceed?

The loop invariant for this code segment needs to capture the entire state of the program, viz., variables x, y, z . Otherwise proving the invariant may be difficult.

Formulating the Loop Invariant

```
POWER( $y, z$ )  
1   $x = 1$   
2  while  $z > 0$   
3      if ODD( $z$ )  
4           $x = x * y$   
5           $z = \lfloor z/2 \rfloor$   
6           $y = y^2$   
7  return  $x$ 
```

Since y, z are changed in the program, let y_0, z_0 denote the initial values of y, z respectively. We want to express the fact that in each iteration the loop stores in x , y_0 raised to the power “the last $i - 1$ digits of z_0 ”. The part in quotes can be compactly expressed as $z_0 \bmod 2^{i-1}$.

Formulating the Loop Invariant

- Suppose the number of bits in z is n . Suppose also that the initial values of x, y, z are x_0, y_0, z_0 respectively. We see that the while loop goes from $i = 1$ to n . After studying the program the following loop invariant seems reasonable:

LI: Before iteration i , $z = \lfloor \frac{z_0}{2^{i-1}} \rfloor$, $x = y_0^{z_0 \bmod 2^{i-1}}$ and $y = y_0^{2^{i-1}}$.

- We prove Initialization, correctness and termination

Proving Correctness: Initialization

Initialization: Before the first iteration, the invariant yields $z = \lfloor \frac{z_0}{2^0} \rfloor = z_0$, $x = y_0^{z_0 \bmod 2^0} = y_0^0 = 1$ and $y = y_0^{2^0} = y_0$. All these values match the code – x is initialized to 1 in line 1 and y, z are unchanged.

Proving Correctness: Maintenance

Assume that the loop invariant holds at the beginning of iteration i . We want to show that it holds at the beginning of iteration $i + 1$. So before the current iteration, we have

$$z = \lfloor \frac{z_0}{2^{i-1}} \rfloor, x = y_0^{z_0 \bmod 2^{i-1}} \text{ and } y = y_0^{2^{i-1}}.$$

Lines 4 and 5 (potentially) change x . Notice that $z_0 \bmod 2^i = 2^i + z_0 \bmod 2^{i-1}$ if the i^{th} bit of z_0 is a 1; otherwise $z_0 \bmod 2^i = z_0 \bmod 2^{i-1}$. Notice also that the i^{th} bit of z_0 is a 1 iff $z = \lfloor \frac{z_0}{2^{i-1}} \rfloor$ is odd. Therefore if the i^{th} bit of z_0 is a 0 x is unchanged. This is what lines 4,5 do.

Otherwise,

$$x = x * y = y_0^{z_0 \bmod 2^{i-1}} * y_0^{2^{i-1}} = y_0^{2^i + z_0 \bmod 2^{i-1}} = y_0^{z_0 \bmod 2^i},$$

which is exactly the loop invariant for y at the beginning of the next iteration.

Proving Correctness: Maintenance

Line 6 changes z to $\lfloor z/2 \rfloor = \lfloor \lfloor \frac{z_0}{2^{i-1}} \rfloor / 2 \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{z_0}{2^i} \rfloor$, and line 7 changes y to $(y_0^{2^{i-1}})^2 = y_0^{2^i}$. Thus the maintenance proof is complete.

Proving Correctness: Termination and Correctness

The loop terminates with $i = n + 1$.

Plugging this value of i into the invariant we get

$$x = y_0^{z_0 \bmod 2^{n+1-1}} = y_0^{z_0}.$$

This is what the program was meant to do and it is therefore proven correct.

Note that the final values of y, z are not really important, since x is what the program returns.

Correctness of Insertion Sort

INSERTION-SORT(A)

```
1  for  $j = 2$  to  $A.length$ 
2       $key = A[j]$ 
3      // Insert  $A[j]$  into the sorted sequence  $A[1..j-1]$ .
4       $i = j - 1$ 
5      while  $i > 0$  and  $A[i] > key$ 
6           $A[i+1] = A[i]$ 
7           $i = i - 1$ 
8       $A[i+1] = key$ 
```

- What is a good loop invariant?
- It is easy to write a loop invariant if you understand what the algorithm does.

Forming Loop Invariants

- LI for outer loop:

Forming Loop Invariants

- LI for outer loop: LI1: at the start of for loop iteration j , $A[1..j-1]$ consists of elements originally in $A[1..j-1]$ but in sorted order

Forming Loop Invariants

- LI for outer loop: LI1: at the start of for loop iteration j , $A[1..j-1]$ consists of elements originally in $A[1..j-1]$ but in sorted order
- The inner while loop moves elements finds the highest position k so that $A[k] \leq \text{key}$ and then moves $A[k..j-1]$ one position right without changing their order.
Then, in the outer loop, the *key* element is inserted into $A[k]$ so that $A[k-1] \leq A[k] \leq A[k+1]$.

Forming Loop Invariants

- LI for outer loop: LI1: at the start of for loop iteration j , $A[1..j-1]$ consists of elements originally in $A[1..j-1]$ but in sorted order
- The inner while loop moves elements finds the highest position k so that $A[k] \leq \text{key}$ and then moves $A[k..j-1]$ one position right without changing their order.

Then, in the outer loop, the *key* element is inserted into $A[k]$ so that $A[k-1] \leq A[k] \leq A[k+1]$.

LI2: at the start of for loop iteration i , *key* contains $A[j]$ and $A[i+2..j]$ consists of elements originally in $A[i+1..j-1]$ but moved one spot to the right and the original $A[i+1] \geq \text{key}$

Correctness of LI2: Initialization

Putting $i = j - 1$ in LI2, we get the statement
 key contains $A[j]$ and $A[j + 1..j]$ consists of elements
originally in $A[j..j - 1]$ but moved one spot to the right and
the original $A[j] \geq key$
This is true, because of line 2.

Correctness of LI2: Maintenance

LI2: at the start of for loop iteration i , key contains $A[j]$ and $A[i + 2..j]$ consists of elements originally in $A[i + 1..j - 1]$ but moved one spot to the right and the original $A[i + 1] \geq key$

We assume that LI2 holds before iteration i , the loop body executes and will show that LI2 holds before iteration $i - 1$ (the loop index is decreasing).

Since the loop body executes we know that $i > 0$ and $A[i] > key$. Also, key contains $A[j]$. The loop body moves (copies) $A[i]$ to $A[i + 1]$, and decrements i . So LI2 holds before iteration $i - 1$.

Correctness of LI2: Termination and Correctness

The loop terminates when $i = 0$ or $A[i] \leq \text{key}$

Case 1: $i = 0$: Plugging $i = 0$ into LI2 we get:

at the start of for loop iteration i , key contains $A[j]$ and $A[2..j]$ consists of elements originally in $A[1..j-1]$ but moved one spot to the right and the original $A[1] \geq \text{key}$

Thus in this case the loop found the correct place $k = 0$.

Case 2: $A[i] \leq \text{key}$. Plugging in this value of i , we get $A[i+2..j]$ consists of elements originally in $A[i+1..j-1]$ but moved one spot to the right and the original $A[i+1] \geq \text{key}$

So in both cases, the loop does what it was meant to do and it is therefore correct.

Proving Correctness of LI1: Initialization

LI1: at the start of for loop iteration j , $A[1..j-1]$ consists of elements originally in $A[1..j-1]$ but in sorted order

Before the first iteration, $j = 2$, LI1 trivially holds because $A[1..1]$ is a sorted array

Proving Correctness of LI1: Maintenance

Since the inner loop is correct, we know it moves elements finds the highest position k so that $A[k] \leq \text{key}$ and then moves $A[k..j-1]$ one position right without changing their order.

Then, in line 8 in the outer loop, the *key* element is inserted into $A[k]$ so that $A[k-1] \leq A[k] \leq A[k+1]$.

Since LI1 held before the current iteration, $A[1..j-1]$ was sorted and $A[j]$ was inserted in the correct place, so $A[1..j]$ consists of elements originally in $A[1..j]$ but in sorted order.

Proving Correctness of LI1: Termination and Correctness

The loop terminates with $j = A.length + 1$

Plugging this value of j into LI1 we get

$A[1 \dots A.length]$ consists of elements originally in $A[1 \dots A.length]$ but in sorted order

This is what the loop (program) was meant to do and it is therefore proven correct.