

# EECS4221/5324: Lab 1 Written Questions

Posted: Sun Jan 14, 2018

Due: in class Wed Jan 25, 2018

1. Find the  $4 \times 4$  homogeneous transformation matrix (showing the numeric values for all 16 elements)  $T_1^0$  where:

- (a)  $\{1\}$  has the same orientation as  $\{0\}$  and the origin of  $\{1\}$  is translated relative to the origin of  $\{0\}$  by  $d_1^0 = [5 \ -5 \ 10]^T$ .
- (b) The origin of  $\{1\}$  is coincident with the origin of  $\{0\}$ , and  $\hat{x}_1^0 = -\hat{z}_0^0$ ,  $\hat{y}_1^0 = -\hat{x}_0^0$ , and  $\hat{z}_1^0 = \hat{y}_0^0$ .
- (c) The origin of  $\{1\}$  is translated relative to the origin of  $\{0\}$  by  $d_1^0 = [0 \ 0 \ -10]^T$ , and the orientation of  $\{1\}$  relative to  $\{0\}$  is the same as in part (b).
- (d) The origin of  $\{0\}$  is translated relative to the origin of  $\{1\}$  by  $d_0^1 = [0 \ 0 \ -10]^T$ , and the orientation of  $\{1\}$  relative to  $\{0\}$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}_1^0 &= [0.9971 \ -0.0292 \ -0.0705]^T, \\ \hat{y}_1^0 &= [-0.0292 \ 0.7083 \ -0.7053]^T, \text{ and} \\ \hat{z}_1^0 &= [0.0705 \ 0.7053 \ 0.7053]^T.\end{aligned}$$

Show how you derived the solution for part (d).

2. Find the missing elements of the following rotation matrices. Show your work, or explain your reasoning. It may be the case that there is no unique solution, in which case you should find all possible solutions. *Hint: Consider using the cross product.*

(a)  $\begin{bmatrix} \cdot & 1 & 0 \\ \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

(b)  $\begin{bmatrix} \cdot & \sqrt{3}/2 & 0 \\ \cdot & 0 & 1 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & \cdot & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

(c)  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

3. Consider the following  $4 \times 4$  homogeneous transformation matrices:

$R_{x,a}$  : rotation about  $x$  by an angle  $a$

$R_{y,a}$  : rotation about  $y$  by an angle  $a$

$R_{z,a}$  : rotation about  $z$  by an angle  $a$

$D_{x,a}$  : translation along  $x$  by a distance  $a$

$D_{y,a}$  : translation along  $y$  by a distance  $a$

$D_{z,a}$  : translation along  $z$  by a distance  $a$

Write the matrix product giving the overall transformation for the following sequences (do not perform the actual matrix multiplications):

(a) The following rotations all occur in the moving frame.

- i. Rotate about the current  $z$ -axis by angle  $\phi$ .
- ii. Rotate about the current  $y$ -axis by angle  $\theta$ .
- iii. Rotate about the current  $z$ -axis by angle  $\psi$ .

*Note: This yields the ZYZ-Euler angle rotation matrix.*

(b) The following rotations all occur in a fixed (world) frame.

- i. Rotate about the world  $x$ -axis by angle  $\psi$ .
- ii. Rotate about the world  $y$ -axis by angle  $\theta$ .
- iii. Rotate about the world  $z$ -axis by angle  $\phi$ .

*Note: This yields the roll, pitch, yaw (RPY) rotation matrix.*

(c) The following transformations all occur in the moving frame.

- i. Rotate about the current  $z$ -axis by angle  $\theta_i$ .
- ii. Translate along the current  $z$ -axis by a distance  $d_i$ .
- iii. Translate along the current  $x$ -axis by a distance  $a_i$ .
- iv. Rotate about the current  $x$ -axis by angle  $\alpha_i$ .

*Note: This is the Denavit-Hartenberg transformation matrix.*

(d) The following transformations occur in a fixed (world) frame and in a moving frame.

- i. Rotate about the world  $x$ -axis by angle  $\phi$ .
- ii. Rotate about the world  $z$ -axis by angle  $\theta$ .
- iii. Rotate about the current  $x$ -axis by angle  $\psi$ .
- iv. Rotate about the world  $z$ -axis by angle  $\alpha$ .

4. Prove or disprove the following statement:


$$D_k R_{k,\theta} = R_{k,\theta} D_k$$

where  $R_{k,\theta}$  is the homogeneous form of the rotation matrix shown on Slide 67 of the first set of lecture slides, and  $D_k$  is the translation matrix:

$$D_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & k_x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & k_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & k_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that  $k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 = 1$ .

5. Consider the figure shown below.



- Suppose that we want to command the robot to align the part that it is holding (having coordinate frame  $\{T\}$ ) with a slot (having coordinate frame  $\{G\}$ ). Assume that the following transformations are known:  $T_W^B$ ,  $T_T^W$ ,  $T_S^B$ , and  $T_G^S$ . Find an expression for  $T_G^T$  (the pose of  $\{G\}$  expressed in  $\{T\}$ ) in terms of the known transformations.
- If you used your answer to part (a) to move the robot, the robot would try to move so that frame  $\{T\}$  was aligned with frame  $\{G\}$  which doesn't work because the direction of the slot is  $y_G$  whereas the corresponding direction on the part is  $x_T$ . Suppose that we want the part aligned with the slot so that the origin of  $\{T\}$  is coincident with the origin of  $\{G\}$ ,  $x_T$  is parallel to  $y_G$ , and  $y_T$  is parallel with  $x_G$ . What transformation should you postmultiply  $T_G^T$  to obtain the desired alignment?
- Suppose that the robot has picked up a part (having coordinate frame  $\{T\}$ ) but it does not know the pose of the part relative to the wrist (having coordinate frame  $\{W\}$ ). One way to find  $T_T^W$  (the pose of the part relative to wrist) is to have the robot align the part so that the part has a known pose relative to the robot. This is known as the tool calibration problem. In the above figure, the calibration jig having coordinate frame  $\{S\}$  has an accurately machined slot having coordinate frame  $\{G\}$ . When the part is aligned with the calibration slot, the origin of  $\{T\}$  is coincident with the origin of  $\{G\}$ ,  $x_T$  is parallel to  $y_G$ , and  $y_T$  is parallel with  $x_G$ . Assume that the transformations  $T_S^B$  and  $T_G^S$  are known and constant, and assume that the robot can measure  $T_W^B$  when the arm is moved. Find an expression for the pose of  $\{T\}$  expressed in  $\{W\}$  in terms of the known and measured transformations. Note that  $\{G\}$  and  $\{T\}$  are not identical when the alignment is achieved; there is a transformation not shown on the diagram that you must account for.

## 6. Graduate students only

A quaternion is another representation of rotation in 3D. The quaternion  $Q = (q_w, q_x, q_y, q_z)$  can be thought of as being a scalar  $q_w$  and a vector  $\vec{q} = [q_x \ q_y \ q_z]^T$ . Given two quaternions  $A = (a_w, \vec{a})$  and  $B = (b_w, \vec{b})$ , the quaternion product  $C = AB$  is defined as

$$c_w = a_w b_w - \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}$$

$$\vec{c} = a_w \vec{b} + b_w \vec{a} + \vec{a} \times \vec{b}$$

where  $\vec{a} \times \vec{b}$  is the cross product of  $\vec{a}$  and  $\vec{b}$ .

- (a) Show that  $Q_I Q = Q Q_I = Q$  for every unit quaternion  $Q$  where  $Q_I = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ , i.e.,  $Q_I$  is the identity quaternion.
- (b) The conjugate  $Q^*$  of a quaternion  $Q = (q_w, \vec{q})$  is given by  $Q^* = (q_w, -\vec{q})$ . Show that  $Q^* Q = Q Q^* = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ , i.e.,  $Q^*$  is the inverse of  $Q$ .
- (c) The quaternion  $Q = (q_w, \vec{q})$  where  $q_w = \cos \frac{\theta}{2}$  and  $\vec{q} = [k_x \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \quad k_y \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \quad k_z \sin \frac{\theta}{2}]^T$  represents the rotation of angle  $\theta$  about the unit vector  $\hat{k} = [k_x \quad k_y \quad k_z]^T$ . A vector  $\vec{p} = [p_x \quad p_y \quad p_z]^T$  can be rotated using the quaternion product  $Q P Q^*$  where  $P$  is the quaternion  $(0, \vec{p})$ . Show that this is true for a rotation of angle  $\theta$  about the  $z$ -axis.

## 7. Graduate students only

Consider a vector  $v$  that is rotated about a unit vector  $\hat{k}$  (passing through the origin) by an angle  $\theta$  to form a new vector  $v'$ :

$$v' = R_{\hat{k}, \theta} v$$

Derive Rodrigues' rotation formula,

$$v' = v \cos \theta + (\hat{k} \times v) \sin \theta + \hat{k}(\hat{k} \cdot v)(1 - \cos \theta)$$

Do not replicate the Wikipedia derivation; instead, use the rotation matrix for rotation about an axis  $\hat{k}$  by an angle  $\theta$  (Slide 67 of the first set of lecture slides).