

# EECS-6421: Assignment #1

---

---

1. (5 points) **First-order Predicate Calculus.** *In theory, at least.*

Consider first-order theory (program)  $\mathcal{T}$ .

- a. Is it possible that  $\mathcal{T} \models \textit{student}(\textit{parke})$  and  $\mathcal{T} \models \neg \textit{student}(\textit{parke})$ ?

If it is possible, provide an example  $\mathcal{T}$  such that it is the case. Otherwise, explain why it is not possible.

- b. Is it possible that  $\mathcal{T} \not\models \textit{student}(\textit{parke})$  and  $\mathcal{T} \not\models \neg \textit{student}(\textit{parke})$ ?

If it is possible, provide an example  $\mathcal{T}$  such that it is the case. Otherwise, explain why it is not possible.

Consider a datalog database  $\mathcal{D}$ .

- c. Is it possible that  $\mathcal{D} \models \neg \textit{student}(\textit{parke})$ ?

Why or why not?

- d. Do  $\mathcal{D} \vdash \neg \textit{student}(\textit{parke})$  and  $\mathcal{D} \not\vdash \textit{student}(\textit{parke})$  mean the same thing?

Why or why not?

- e. Is every first-order propositional theory (“program”) equivalent to some CNF propositional theory (“program”)?

If no, show an example of a first-order theory that cannot be written in CNF. If yes, are there any drawbacks to representing first-order theories in CNF?

2. (5 points) **Rules.** *Bar-flies.* (Thanks to Zahir Tari.)

Suppose that we have the following predicates.

- *frequents* (*Drinker*, *Bar*): The drinker frequently visits this bar.
- *serves* (*Bar*, *Beer*): The bar serves this type of beer.
- *likes* (*Drinker*, *Beer*): The drinker likes this type of beer.

Define the following predicates via rules using the predicates above (and any that you define).

- a. *happy* (*D*): The drinker *D* frequents at least one bar which serves a beer that he / she likes.
- b. *very\_happy* (*D*): Every bar that the drinker *D* visits serves at least one beer he / she likes.
- c. *should\_visit* (*D*, *B*): The bar *B* serves at least one beer that the drinker *D* likes.
- d. *sad* (*D*): No bar that the drinker *D* visits serves a beer that he / she likes.
- e. *very\_sad* (*D*): No bar serves a beer that he / she likes.

You may assume that each drinker at least frequents one bar. Make certain that your rules are safe.

3. (5 points) **Queries in Datalog & Datalog $\neg$** . *Enrol now in Datalog U.!*

EXERCISE

Consider the following schema.

```

student(s#, sname, dob, d#)
    FK (d#) refs dept // Student's major
prof(p#, pname, d#)
    FK (d#) refs dept // Professor's home department
dept(d#, dname, building, p#)
    FK (p#) refs prof // Department's chair
course(d#, no, title)
    FK (d#) refs dept // Course offered by this department
class(d#, no, term, year, section, room, time, p#)
    FK (d#, no) refs course // Class is an offering of this course
    FK (p#) refs prof // Instructor of class
enrol(s#, d#, no, term, year, section, grade)
    FK (s#) refs student // This student is enrolled in
    FK (d#, no, term, year, section) refs class // this class

```

'FK' above stands for *foreign key*. These indicate foreign-key constraints in the schema.

Write the following queries in Datalog (and Datalog $\neg$ ). You may use auxiliary predicates and rules. (You may reuse auxiliary predicates and rules in following sub-questions.)

A common convention is to use '\_' as a variable name when the variable is unimportant for the query; e.g., *class* (*D*, *N*, *-*, *-*, *-*, *-*, *-*). By convention, two occurrences of '\_' are *different* variables and may take on different values (even though they seem to have the same "name"). You may find this convention useful.

Be careful that all your rules are *safe*, including rules that you write that use negation.

- Which students have taken some course twice?
- Which students have taken a course with *a* department chair?  
Note that a professor may teach classes outside of his or her department. Also note that a student may take classes in a department outside of his or her major's department.
- Which students have never taken a course in his or her major (**dept**)?
- Which students have taken all of the courses offered by a department?
- Which students have taken at least five courses in their major (**dept**)?  
You shall need to use arithmetics (e.g., ' $\neq$ ', ' $<$ ') here. Assume that course numbers (**no**) can be compared; e.g.,  $M < N$ . Use the predicate *is* to equate numbers; e.g., *J* is *I* + 1.

4. **Datalog Modeling.** *As easy as rolling off a log.* (5 points)

The puzzle *Sū Doku*—or just *sudoku*—is to fill in the blank cells of a  $9 \times 9$  matrix with the numerals  $1, \dots, 9$  such that no row has the same numeral twice, no column has the same numeral twice, and no *block* has the same numeral twice. The  $9 \times 9$  matrix is tiled by nine  $3 \times 3$  matrices, each called a block.

A typical sudoku puzzle has some of the cells already filled in (the *givens*) so that there exists exactly one solution. For example,

5	3			7				
6			1	9	5			
	9	8					6	
8				6				3
4			8		3			1
7				2				6
	6					2	8	
			4	1	9			5
				8			7	9

⇒

5	3	4	6	7	8	9	1	2
6	7	2	1	9	5	3	4	8
1	9	8	3	4	2	5	6	7
8	5	9	7	6	1	4	2	3
4	2	6	8	5	3	7	9	1
7	1	3	9	2	4	8	5	6
9	6	1	5	3	7	2	8	4
2	8	7	4	1	9	6	3	5
3	4	5	2	8	6	1	7	9

with the solution shown on the right.

Write a Datalog program for sudoku. Let each cell in the sudoku matrix be represented by a variable:

$X_0$	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	$X_4$	$X_5$	$X_6$	$X_7$	$X_8$
$X_9$	$X_{10}$	$X_{11}$	$X_{12}$	$X_{13}$	$X_{14}$	$X_{15}$	$X_{16}$	$X_{17}$
$X_{18}$	$X_{19}$	$X_{20}$	$X_{21}$	$X_{22}$	$X_{23}$	$X_{24}$	$X_{25}$	$X_{26}$
$X_{27}$	$X_{28}$	$X_{29}$	$X_{30}$	$X_{31}$	$X_{32}$	$X_{33}$	$X_{34}$	$X_{35}$
$X_{36}$	$X_{37}$	$X_{38}$	$X_{39}$	$X_{40}$	$X_{41}$	$X_{42}$	$X_{43}$	$X_{44}$
$X_{45}$	$X_{46}$	$X_{47}$	$X_{48}$	$X_{49}$	$X_{50}$	$X_{51}$	$X_{52}$	$X_{53}$
$X_{54}$	$X_{55}$	$X_{56}$	$X_{57}$	$X_{58}$	$X_{59}$	$X_{60}$	$X_{61}$	$X_{62}$
$X_{63}$	$X_{64}$	$X_{65}$	$X_{66}$	$X_{67}$	$X_{68}$	$X_{69}$	$X_{70}$	$X_{71}$
$X_{72}$	$X_{73}$	$X_{74}$	$X_{75}$	$X_{76}$	$X_{77}$	$X_{78}$	$X_{79}$	$X_{80}$

Do not use negation, arithmetics (e.g., “ $\neq$ ”, “ $<$ ”), or function symbols (which are not in Datalog proper anyway).

One predicate should be *sudoku* that takes arguments  $X_0, \dots, X_{80}$ . For a given puzzle, one could then query for the solution; e.g.,

$$\leftarrow \text{sudoku}(5, 3, X_2, X_3, \dots, 9).$$

Note that your sudoku “program” need not be efficient in any way. It just needs to *specify* logically and correctly the problem. So try to keep it quite simple. You may use ellipses (e.g., “...”, “:”) where appropriate and when easily understood to make your answer briefer.

Hint: Be clever in defining the permutations of  $1, \dots, 9$ .