EECS 4441 Human-Computer Interaction

Topic #3a: The Interaction

Steven Castellucci

York University, Canada





Topics

- Soft Controls
- Control-Display Relationships
- Mental Models
- Modes
- Multi-Degree of Freedom Input Devices
- Touch Uls

Soft Controls

• Traditionally, hard, physical controls for system/devices







• Prevalence of computer displays has led to dynamic, on-screen controls, created by software (i.e., soft controls)



200	On-Screen Keyboard								- 🗆 🗙		
^{6e} ~ 1 2	² 3 ⁵ 4 ⁵ 5	^6 ⁸ 7	8 (9	9 ⁾ (o	• *	•	×	Home	PgUp	Nav
Tab q w e	r t	y u i	•	р	۱ ¹	1	$ _{\chi}$	Del	End	PgDn	Mv Up
Capsa s d f g h j k l ⊨ _j ⊸ Enter							Insert	Pause	Mv Dn		
Shift z x	c v	b n m	_> ۱	۶.	27	^	Shi	ft	PrtScn	ScrLk	
Fn 🗠 📲 At			Alt	۲	۲	~	>		Options	Help	Fade



Control-Display Relationships

- Spatial
 - Movement of a device affects movement of a response
- Dynamic
 - Movement of a device affects speed of a response
- Physical
 - Whether movement or force affects a response

Spatial Relationships

- Movement of a control device results in a movement response (e.g., movement of a mouse)
- Latency
 - Time delay between action and response
 - Delays of about 150 millisecond in VOIP calls is tolerable
 - Latency of about 100 ms in online games is tolerable
 - Latency in VR >20 ms typically leads to motion sickness
 - 60 Hz frame rate (60 fps) = a minimum delay of 17 ms

https://www.pubnub.com/blog/2015-02-09-how-fast-is-realtime-human-perception-and-technology/ http://www.chioka.in/what-is-motion-to-photon-latency/

https://www.wareable.com/vr/vr-headset-motion-sickness-solution-777

Natural vs. Learned

- Is there a natural relationship between the control and the response or is it (easily) learned?
 - Does it matter?
 - If it is learned, is it cultural or universal?
- For learned interactions there is often a spatial congruence (mapping) of device motion to response motion

Natural?







Learned (Cultural)



FIGURE 3.17

Culture plays a role. Is the display on or off?



Mapping

 Movement in 3D space can occur along the three linear axes, and also the three rotational axes



FIGURE 3.5

Axis labels. (a) Control space. (b) Display space. (c) Control-display mapping for a mouse and cursor.

Mapping (2)



FIGURE 3.15

A control-display relationship. Learned or natural?



FIGURE 3.16

Spatial congruence: (a) Control and display. (b) Mapping.

Incompatible Mapping



Dynamic Relationships

- Control-display (CD) gain
 - Ratio of displayed movement (e.g., cursor) to control movement (e.g., mouse)
 - Low gain allows for more precise movement
 - High gain allows for faster movement and greater range of movement in a limited area (e.g., less need to reposition mouse)
- Non-linear (power) gain
 - The speed of moving the device (e.g., mouse) affects the CD gain and resulting movement of the pointer on screen

Physical Relationships

- Position based tracking
 - Absolute position is used as input
 - E.g.: touchscreen, digitizer, trackpads in absolute mode
- Displacement based tracking
 - Relative movement is used as input
 - E.g.: mouse, trackpad
- Force based tracking
 - Applied force is used as input
 - E.g.: Isometric joystick
- Input could control the position of movement (e.g., mouse) or velocity of movement (e.g., joystick)
 - Position control best for position tracking, velocity control best for force sensing device [Zhai, 1995]

Mental Models and Metaphor

- Mental model (conceptual model)
 - Perception of how a system works
- Metaphor
 - Mimicking system interaction using similarities to real-word interactions
 - Help facilitate mental models of interaction that are easily learned (e.g., deleting a file by putting it in the recycle bin)

• Opposite: implementation model, where interactions are imposed on the user, based on what is easiest for system implementation, rather than user interaction

Gulf of Execution and Evaluation

Gulf of execution

- Disparity between what the system actually provides and what the user expects it to provide
- Gulf of evaluation
 - Difficulty of the user to perceive the current state of the system

• Further description:

<u>https://www.interaction-design.org/literature/book/the-glossary-of-human-computer-interaction/gulf-of-evaluation-and-gulf-of-execution</u>

Modes

Space multiplexing

- Dedicated hardware for each control (e.g., light switch)
- Requires the most space and hardware
- Immediate control at any time
- Time multiplexing
 - Same hardware for multiple controls (e.g., keyboard)
 - Allows for more tasks than there are controls
 - Requires the lease space and hardware (i.e., less expensive)
 - Control requires a combination of actions (e.g., sequence of mode selections, or simultaneous key presses)

Degrees of Freedom

- Independently controlled parameters of motion
- Most input devices track motion on the xy-plane (2 DOF)
- Some track in 3D space along x- y- and z-axes (3 DOF)
- Others also track in the rotational axes yaw, pitch, roll (6 DOF)

Congruence

 Movement in control space maps to corresponding movement is display space

Input Devices





Transition to touch interfaces

- No separate input devices your finger is the pointer
- Width of finger can lead to imprecise selection
- Interaction occludes screen
- Larger widgets (e.g., tiles instead of icons)
- Gestures (e.g., swiping, tilting)
- Magnifiers for selection

Course: EECS 4441 3.0, Human-Computer Interaction Course Webpage: Term: Winter 2017 This course introduces the concepts and technology necessary to design, manage and implement interactive software. Students work in srift groups and learn how to design user interfaces, how to realize them and how to evaluate the end result. Both design and evaluation are emphasized.



Thank You