

Homework Assignment #5

Due: November 17, 2016 at 1:00 p.m.

1. Consider an asynchronous system of n processes, where processes may experience halting failures.

We can define the **1-2-Counter** type as follows. The state of the object stores a natural number. It provides three operations: **READ** returns the state of the object without changing it, **INC** increases the state of the object by 1 and returns ack, **INC-BY-2** increases the state of the object by 2 and returns ack.

- (a) Here is a proposed implementation of a **1-2-Counter** for n processes with ids $1..n$ from n shared **read/write registers**, $A[1], \dots, A[n]$. The process with id i would execute the following code to perform an operation on the **1-2-counter**. (Here, x and v are local variables of the process performing the operation.)

```

1  READ
2       $v \leftarrow 0$ 
3      for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $n$ 
4           $v \leftarrow v + \text{read}(A[j])$ 
5      end for
6      return  $v$ 
7  end READ

8  INC
9       $x \leftarrow \text{read}(A[i])$ 
10     write  $x + 1$  into  $A[i]$ 
11 end INC

12 INC-BY-2
13      $x \leftarrow \text{read}(A[i])$ 
14     write  $x + 2$  into  $A[i]$ 
15 end INC-BY-2

```

Prove this is *not* a linearizable implementation.

- (b) Show that it is possible to build a wait-free, linearizable implementation of a **1-2-counter** from registers. Make your answer as simple as possible, and prove your answer is correct.
- (c) Is there a non-blocking, linearizable, *anonymous* implementation of a **1-2-counter** from registers? Prove your answer is correct. Recall that in an anonymous implementation, all processes are programmed identically and do not have ids. Hint: think about what happens when two processes trying to do the same operation run at exactly the same speed.