# Defining Binary & Unary Operators

# **English-French Dictionary**

- Can use compound terms to represent a dictionary
  - > list is a structure that contains an entry followed by the rest of the list

Illustrates how compound terms can be used

# **English-French Dictionary – 2**

Define a custom member function for the list structure

```
member (X, list (X, _ )).
member (X, list (_, L)) :- member (X, L).
```

# **English-French Dictionary – 3**

 Here is a predicate that defines the correspondence between English and French words.

# **English-French Using Standard Lists**

We could use the standard list structure.

```
> The standard member predicate
 member (X, [XI]).
 member (X, [\_IR]):- member (X, R).
> The translation predicate
 englishFrench2 (English, French):-
      member (entry (English, French),
              [ entry ( book , livre ) ,
               entry (man, homme),
               entry (apple, pomme)]).
```

#### **English-French Different Dictionaries**

- We could change the rule to use a dictionary that holds the list structure
  - > It is easier to understand the rule

```
englishFrench3 ( English , French , Name) :-
    dictionary (Name , Dictionary ) ,
    member ( entry ( English , French) , Dictionary )
```

> where we have a fact defining the dictionary.
It is easier to change the dictionary and to use it in other contexts

#### **Different Dictionaries**

#### Use an infix member function

- The previous definition is not a natural way of representing the member function
- A more "natural" use of member is as an infix operator, as in the following
  - > Use the letter e to represent the mathematical symbol belongs-to ( ∈ )

```
englishFrench4 (English, French):-
entry (English, French) e [entry (book, livre),
entry (man, homme),
entry (apple, pomme)
].
```

#### Use an infix member function

The infix operator e can be defined as follows

```
:- op (500, xfy, [e]).
```

- > Later slides describe the meaning of the op predicate
- e is a new operator (predicate) so we must create rules that define what it means
  - > Since e is defined to be infix its rules use infix syntax
  - Note the similarity with the definition of the member predicate

```
X e [XI_].
X e [_IL] :- X e L.
```

#### Use an infix member function – 3

We can chose of the name of the operator

```
:- op ( 500 , xfy , [ belongs_to ] ).
X belongs_to [ X I _ ].
X belongs_to [ _ I L ] :- X belongs_to L .
englishFrench5 (English, French):-
    entry (English, French)
         belongs_to
             [entry (book, livre),
               entry (man, homme),
               entry (apple, pomme)
```

# Bird – Mammal example

- Define some properties of animals
  - > Use syntax that is similar to natural language

```
:- op ( 100 , xfx , [ has , isa , flies ] ).
```

Animal has hair :- Animal isa mammal.

Animal has feathers :- Animal isa bird.

owl isa bird.
cat isa mammal.
dog isa mammal.

#### Example with mulitple precedence

- Plays and "and" are at different precedence levels.

```
:- op ( 300 , xfx , plays ).:- op ( 200 , xfy , and ).
```

Example use

Term1 = jimmy plays football and squash.

Term2 = susan plays tennis and basketball and volleyball.

#### Example with mulitple precedence – 2

What is the internal stucture when using operators as in the following?

```
Term1 = jimmy plays football and squash.
```

Term2 = susan plays tennis and basketball and volleyball.

Recall that everything within Prolog is represented with compound terms, so we have ...

```
Term1 = plays ( jimmy , and ( football , squash) )

Term2 = plays ( susan , and ( tennis , and ( basketball , volleyball ) ) )
```

#### Example with mulitple precedence – 3

 DeMorgan's law – make predicate syntax look similar to standard mathematics

```
:- op (800, xfx, <==>).

:- op (700, xfy, v).

:- op (600, xfy, &).

:- op (500, fy, ~).
```

Consider representing the following

```
\sim ( A & B ) <==> \simA v \simB. Uses the above
```

In standard Prolog, this could be represented as

# Why have operators?

- Introduce operators to improve the readability of predicates
  - » Can be infix, prefix or postfix
- Operator definitions do not define any action, they only introduce new notation
  - » Operators are functors that hold together the components of compound terms or structures
- A programmer can define their own operators
  - » with their own precedence and associativity
  - » programmer defined operators can be merged in precedence and associativity with the Prolog builtin operators

#### op Predicate

Define one or more operators with a given precedence, associativity

 Bratko page 77 gives a listing of the precedence of some of the standard operators in Prolog

© Gunnar Gotshalks DO-16

#### op Precedence component

- Precedence
  - » between 0 and 1200 the precedence class
  - » lower class numbers have higher priority
  - » higher priority implies do first
  - >> Example

$$3 + 4 * 5 = 3 + (4 * 5)$$

- \* (precedence class 400) has lower number than + (precedence class 500) so times is done first
- » Can always use () to force the order of using operators
  - > Useful when you do not know relative precedence or to make it clear to the reader

# **Expression Precedence Class**

- Precedence class of base operand is 0.
- Precedence class of expression with operator, oper, is the precedence class of oper

# op Associativity component

- Associativity
  - » Defines which operands belong to which operator when several operators are used in sequence
  - » For example in the following

```
A oper B
```

- is oper a unary operator with operand A
   is oper a unary operator with operand B
   is oper a binary operator with operands A and B
- Can define oper as unary operator with ...

```
op (100, fy, oper). -- unary prefix op (100, fx, oper). -- unary prefix op (100, xf, oper). -- unary postfix op (100, yf, oper). -- unary postfix
```

# Unary prefix associativity

♦ f y oper oper a . -- legal syntax > oper a has equal precedence class with oper > y says operand of oper can have lower or equal precedence class  $\Diamond$  f x oper oper a. -- illegal syntax > oper a has equal precedence class with oper > x says operand of oper must have lower precedence class > must use () as follows

oper (oper a).

## Unary postfix associativity

```
♦ y f
     a oper oper . -- legal syntax
      > a oper has equal precedence class with oper
      > y says operand of oper can have lower or equal
        class
\Diamond x f
     a oper oper . -- illegal syntax
      > a oper has equal precedence class with oper
      > x says operand of oper must have lower
        precedence class
      > must use ()
     (a oper) oper.
```

## op Associativity component – 2

Given

#### A oper B

Can define oper as a binary operator with ...

```
op ( 100 , xfy , oper ). -- right associative
op ( 100 , yfx , oper ). -- left associative
op ( 100 , xfx , oper ). -- evaluate both operands first
op ( 100 , yfy , oper ). -- not defined, ambiguous
```

# Right associative operator

Define

```
:- op ( 100 , xfy , op1 ).
```

- ♦ Test
  - > C becomes the full structure, L shows the substructure

$$C = 1 \text{ op1 } 2 \text{ op1 } 3 \text{ op1 } 4$$
,  $C = ... L$ .

Result

=.. univ operator

```
C = 1 \text{ op1 } 2 \text{ op1 } 3 \text{ op1 } 4
```

$$L = [op1, 1, 2 op1 3 op1 4]$$

- > Left most op1 is evaluated last
- > Apply recursively

#### Left associative operator

Define

```
:- op (200, yfx, op2).
```

- ♦ Test
  - > C becomes the full structure, L shows the substructure

C = 1 op2 2 op2 3 op2 4 , C = ... L.

Result

```
C = 1 \text{ op2 } 2 \text{ op2 } 3 \text{ op2 } 4

L = [\text{ op2 }, 1 \text{ op2 } 2 \text{ op2 } 3 \text{ , } 4]
```

- > Right most op2 is evaluated last
- > Apply recursively

# **Evaluate both operands first**

Define

```
:- op (300, xfx, op3).
```

♦ Test

$$C = 1 \text{ op3 } 2 \text{ op3 } 3 \text{ op3 } 4$$
,  $C = ... L$ .

Result

```
C = 1 \text{ op } 3 \text{ 2}
```

- « Syntax Error check operator precedences » op3 3 op3 4, C =.. L.
  - > Error because the middle op3 expects its operands to its left and right to have lower precedence class but they have equal precedence class

# **Evaluate both operands first – 2**

Define

```
:- op ( 300 , xfx , op3 ).
```

Test – with different operators to left and right of op3

```
C = 1 \text{ op1 } 2 \text{ op3 } 3 \text{ op2 } 4 , C = ... L.
```

Result

```
C = 1 op1 2 op3 3 op2 4
```

L = [op3, 1 op1 2, 3 op2 4]

- > op1 and op2 are done first (higher priority, lower precedence class)
- > op3 is done last