## **Inserting records into DNS**

- Example: just created startup "Network Utopia"
- Register name networkuptopia.com at a registrar (e.g., Network Solutions)
  - Need to provide registrar with names and IP addresses of your authoritative name server (primary and secondary)
  - Registrar inserts two RRs into the com TLD server:

```
(networkutopia.com, dns1.networkutopia.com, NS)
(dns1.networkutopia.com, 212.212.212.1, A)
```

- Put in authoritative server Type A record for www.networkuptopia.com and Type MX record for networkutopia.com
- How do people get the IP address of your Web site?

# **Attacking DNS**

### **DDoS** attacks

- Bombard root servers with traffic
  - Not successful to date
  - Traffic Filtering
  - Local DNS servers cache IPs of TLD servers, allowing root server bypass
- Bombard TLD servers
  - Potentially more dangerous

### **Redirect attacks**

- Man-in-middle
  - Intercept queries
- DNS poisoning
  - Send bogus relies to DNS server, which caches

### Exploit DNS for DDoS

- Send queries with spoofed source address: target IP
- Requires amplification

## P2P file sharing

### Example

- Alice runs P2P client application on her notebook computer
- Intermittently connects to Internet; gets new IP address for each connection
- Asks for "Hey Jude"
- Application displays other peers that have copy of Hey Jude.

- Alice chooses one of the peers, Bob.
- File is copied from Bob's PC to Alice's notebook: HTTP
- While Alice downloads, other users uploading from Alice.
- Alice's peer is both a Web client and a transient Web server.
- All peers are servers = highly scalable!

## **Pure P2P architecture**

- no always-on server
- arbitrary end systems directly communicate
- peers are intermittently connected and change IP addresses

### examples:

- file distribution (BitTorrent)
- Streaming (KanKan)
- VoIP (Skype)



### **P2P: centralized directory**

original "Napster" design

- 1) when peer connects, it informs central server:
  - IP address
  - content

2) Alice queries for "Hey Jude"

3) Alice requests file from Bob



### **P2P: problems with centralized directory**

- Single point of failure
- Performance bottleneck
- Copyright infringement

file transfer is decentralized, but locating content is highly decentralized

## **Query flooding: Gnutella**

- fully distributed
  - no central server
- public domain protocol
- many Gnutella clients implementing protocol

### overlay network: graph

- edge between peer X and Y if there's a TCP connection
- all active peers and edges is overlay net
- Edge is not a physical link
- Given peer will typically be connected with < 10 overlay neighbors



### **Gnutella: Peer joining**

- 1. Joining peer X must find some other peer in Gnutella network: use list of candidate peers
- 2. X sequentially attempts to make TCP with peers on list until connection setup with Y
- 3. X sends Ping message to Y; Y forwards Ping message.
- 4. All peers receiving Ping message respond with Pong message
- 5. X receives many Pong messages. It can then setup additional TCP connections

Peer leaving?

### **Exploiting heterogeneity: KaZaA**

- Each peer is either a group leader or assigned to a group leader.
  - TCP connection between peer and its group leader.
  - TCP connections between some pairs of group leaders.
- Group leader tracks the content in all its children.



### **KaZaA: Querying**

- Each file has a hash and a descriptor
- Client sends keyword query to its group leader
- Group leader responds with matches:
  - For each match: metadata, hash, IP address
- If group leader forwards query to other group leaders, they respond with matches
- Client then selects files for downloading
  - HTTP requests using hash as identifier sent to peers holding desired file

### Kazaa tricks

- Limitations on simultaneous uploads
- Request queuing
- Incentive priorities
- Parallel downloading

### **P2P services**

- File sharing Napster, Gnutella, Kazaa....
- Communication Instant messaging, VoIP (Skype)
- Computation <u>seti@home</u>
- DHTs Chord, CAN, Pastry, Tapestry....
- Applications built on emerging overlays Planetlab
- P2P file systems Past, Farsite
- Wireless Ad-hoc Networking?

## **Overlay graphs**

- Edges are TCP connections or pointer to an IP address
- Edges maintained by periodic "are you alive" messages.
- Typically new edge established when a neighbor goes down
- New nodes BOOTSTRAP
- Structured vs Unstructured

### **Structured overlays**

- Edges arranged in a preplanned manner.
- DNS is an example of a structured overlay (but not P2P)
- Mostly still in the research stage so has not made it to the textbook!

### **Challenge: locating content**

- Gnutella-type search expensive, no guarantee, need many cached copies for technique to work well.
- Directed search assign particular nodes to hold particular content (or pointers to it).
  - Problems:

Distributed

Handling join/leave

### **File distribution: client-server vs P2P**

<u>Question</u>: how much time to distribute file (size *F*) from one server to *N* peers?

peer upload/download capacity is limited resource



## File distribution time: client-server

- server transmission: must sequentially send (upload) N file copies:
  - time to send one copy:  $F/u_s$
  - time to send N copies: NF/u<sub>s</sub>
- client: each client must download file copy
  - d<sub>min</sub> = min client download rate
  - min client download time: F/d<sub>min</sub>



time to distribute F  
to N clients using  
client-server approach  
$$D_{c-s} \ge max\{NF/u_{s,}, F/d_{min}\}$$

# **File distribution time: P2P**

- server transmission: must upload at least one copy
  - time to send one copy:  $F/u_s$
- client: each client must download file copy
  - min client download time: F/d<sub>min</sub>



- clients: as aggregate must download NF bits
  - max upload rate (limiting max download rate) is  $u_s + \Sigma u_i$

time to\_distribute F to N clients using = D<sub>P2P</sub> ≥ max{F/u<sub>s,</sub>,F/d<sub>min,</sub>,NF/(u<sub>s</sub> + Σu<sub>i</sub>)} P2P approach

increases linearly in N ...

... but so does this, as each peer brings service capacity

# Client-server vs. P2P: example

client upload rate = u, F/u = 1 hour,  $u_s = 10u$ ,  $d_{min} \ge u_s$ 



2/10/2015

CSE 3214 - S.Datta

# **P2P file distribution: BitTorrent**

- file divided into 256Kb chunks
- peers in torrent send/receive file chunks



# P2P file distribution: BitTorrent

- peer joining torrent:
  - has no chunks, but will accumulate them over time from other peers
  - registers with tracker to get list of peers, connects to subset of peers ("neighbors")



- while downloading, peer uploads chunks to other peers
- peer may change peers with whom it exchanges chunks
- churn: peers may come and go
- once peer has entire file, it may (selfishly) leave or (altruistically) remain in torrent

### **BitTorrent: requesting, sending file chunks**

### requesting chunks:

- at any given time, different peers have different subsets of file chunks
- periodically, Alice asks each peer for list of chunks that they have
- Alice requests missing chunks from peers, rarest first

### sending chunks: tit-for-tat

- Alice sends chunks to those four peers currently sending her chunks at highest rate
  - other peers are choked by Alice (do not receive chunks from her)
  - re-evaluate top 4 every10 secs
- every 30 secs: randomly select another peer, starts sending chunks
  - "optimistically unchoke" this peer
  - newly chosen peer may join top 4

# **BitTorrent: tit-for-tat**

- (1) Alice "optimistically unchokes" Bob
- (2) Alice becomes one of Bob's top-four providers; Bob reciprocates
- (3) Bob becomes one of Alice's top-four providers



# **Distributed Hash Table (DHT)**

- Hash table
- DHT paradigm
- Circular DHT and overlay networks
- Peer churn

# **Simple Database**

# Simple database with(key, value) pairs:

key: human name; value: social security #

Key	Value
John Washington	132-54-3570
Diana Louise Jones	761-55-3791
Xiaoming Liu	385-41-0902
Rakesh Gopal	441-89-1956
Linda Cohen	217-66-5609
Lisa Kobayashi	177-23-0199

# key: movie title; value: IP address

2/10/2015

CSE 3214 - S.Datta

# Hash Table

- More convenient to store and search on numerical representation of key
- key = hash(original key)

Original Key	Key	Value
John Washington	8962458	132-54-3570
Diana Louise Jones	7800356	761-55-3791
Xiaoming Liu	1567109	385-41-0902
Rakesh Gopal	2360012	441-89-1956
Linda Cohen	5430938	217-66-5609
Lisa Kobayashi	9290124	177-23-0199

# **Distributed Hash Table (DHT)**

- Distribute (key, value) pairs over millions of peers
  - pairs are evenly distributed over peers
- Any peer can query database with a key
  - database returns value for the key
  - To resolve query, small number of messages exchanged among peers
- Each peer only knows about a small number of other peers
- Robust to peers coming and going (churn)

## **Assign key-value pairs to peers**

- rule: assign key-value pair to the peer that has the closest ID.
- convention: closest is the *immediate successor* of the key.
- e.g., ID space {0,1,2,3,...,63}
- suppose 8 peers: 1,12,13,25,32,40,48,60
  - If key = 51, then assigned to peer 60
  - If key = 60, then assigned to peer 60
  - If key = 61, then assigned to peer 1

## **Circular DHT**

 each peer *only* aware of immediate successor and predecessor.





"overlay network"

2/10/2015

CSE 3214 - S.Datta

## **Resolving a query**



## **Circular DHT with shortcuts**



- each peer keeps track of IP addresses of predecessor, successor, short cuts.
- reduced from 6 to 3 messages.
- possible to design shortcuts with O(log N) neighbors, O(log N) messages in query



# Peer churn

## handling peer churn:

\*peers may come and go
(churn)

each peer knows address of its two successors

each peer periodically pings its

two successors to check aliveness

if immediate successor
 leaves, choose next successor
 as new immediate successor



### Peer churn handling peer churn:

\*peers may come and go
(churn)

each peer knows address of its two successors

each peer periodically pings its

two successors to check aliveness

\*if immediate successor leaves, choose next successor

example: peer 5 abruptly leaves as new immediate successor

peer 4 detects peer 5's departure; makes 8 its immediate successor

4 asks 8 who its immediate successor is; makes 8's immediate successor its second successor.

## **Major problems**

### <u>User issues</u>

- Security
- Viruses

### **Community/Network issues**

- Polluted files
- Flash crowds
- Freeloading

### **Thought questions**

- Is success due to massive number of servers or simply because content is free?
- Copyright infringement issues: direct vs indirect.
#### Next:

• A very brief description of socket programming

# Socket programming

<u>Goal:</u> learn how to build client/server application that communicate using sockets

#### Socket API

- introduced in BSD4.1 UNIX, 1981
- explicitly created, used, released by apps
- client/server paradigm
- two types of transport service via socket API:
  - unreliable datagram
  - reliable, byte streamoriented

#### - socket

a host-local, application-created, OS-controlled interface (a "door") into which application process can both send and receive messages to/from another application process

### Socket-programming using TCP

<u>Socket:</u> a door between application process and endend-transport protocol (UCP or TCP) <u>TCP service:</u> reliable transfer of bytes from one process to another



## Socket programming with TCP

#### Client must contact server

- server process must first be running
- server must have created socket (door) that welcomes client's contact

#### Client contacts server by:

- creating client-local TCP socket
- specifying IP address, port number of server process
- When client creates socket: client TCP establishes connection to server TCP

- When contacted by client, server TCP creates new socket for server process to communicate with client
  - allows server to talk with multiple clients
  - source port numbers used to distinguish clients (more in Chap 3)

#### -application viewpoint

TCP provides reliable, in-order transfer of bytes ("pipe") between client and server

## Stream jargon

- A stream is a sequence of characters that flow into or out of a process.
- An input stream is attached to some input source for the process, eg, keyboard or socket.
- An output stream is attached to an output source, eg, monitor or socket.

## Socket programming with TCP

# Example client-server app:

- 1) client reads line from standard input (inFromUser stream), sends to server via socket (outToServer stream)
- 2) server reads line from socket
- 3) server converts line to uppercase, sends back to client
- 4) client reads, prints modified line from socket (inFromServer stream)



#### **Client/server socket interaction: TCP**



## **Example: Java client (TCP)**



CSE 3214 - S.Datta

## Example: Java client (TCP), cont.



## **Example: Java server (TCP)**



## Example: Java server (TCP), cont



# **Chapter 2: Summary**

Our study of network apps now complete!

- Application architectures
  - client-server
  - P2P
  - hybrid
- application service requirements:
  - reliability, bandwidth, delay
- Internet transport service model
  - connection-oriented, reliable: TCP
  - unreliable, datagrams: UDP

- specific protocols:
  - HTTP
  - FTP
  - SMTP, POP, IMAP
  - DNS

## **Chapter 2: Summary**

#### Most importantly: learned about protocols

- typical request/reply message exchange:
  - client requests info or service
  - server responds with data, status code
- message formats:
  - headers: fields giving info about data
  - data: info being communicated

- control vs. data msgs
  - in-band, out-of-band
- centralized vs. decentralized
- stateless vs. stateful
- reliable vs. unreliable msg transfer
- "complexity at network edge"