## Problem

Implement the Rectangle class.

# What have we done so far?

- attributes
- constructors
- accessors
- mutators
- getArea
- toString
- scale
- equals
- compareTo

What will be the output of the following code snippet?

```
Rectangle first = new Rectangle(1, 2);
Rectangle second = new Rectangle(1, 2);
Set<Rectangle> set = new HashSet<Rectangle>();
set.add(first);
set.add(second);
System.out.println(set.size());
```

What will be the output of the following code snippet?

```
Rectangle first = new Rectangle(1, 2);
Rectangle second = new Rectangle(1, 2);
Set<Rectangle> set = new HashSet<Rectangle>();
set.add(first);
set.add(second);
System.out.println(set.size());
```

#### Answer

Let's run the code.

Why does it print 2? Isn't it the case that a set does not contain any duplicates?

Why does it print 2? Isn't it the case that a set does not contain any duplicates?

#### Answer

To answer this question, we first have to get some idea how a HashSet is implemented.

```
Set<String> set = new HashSet<String>();
set.add("1");
set.add("2");
set.add("3");
```

Can we represent this set by an array?

```
Set<String> set = new HashSet<String>();
set.add("1");
set.add("2");
set.add("3");
```

Can we represent this set by an array?

#### Answer

Yes.

Let us denote the array by

```
["1"]["2"]["3"]
```

## Question

Given such an array, how do we check if it contains a particular element e? Give an algorithm, not Java code.

Let us denote the array by

```
["1"]["2"]["3"]
```

# Question

Given such an array, how do we check if it contains a particular element e? Give an algorithm, not Java code.

#### Answer

```
found = false
for each cell of the array
  if the array cell contains element e
    found = true
```

How many array cells do we have to check (in the worst case)?

æ

How many array cells do we have to check (in the worst case)?

#### Answer

All of them. So the bigger the set, the longer it will take to check if that set contains a particular element.

How many array cells do we have to check (in the worst case)?

#### Answer

All of them. So the bigger the set, the longer it will take to check if that set contains a particular element.

### Question

Can we do any better?

What if we had a method that tells us what is the index of the array cell in which a particular element may be found? So, assume we have a method indexOf such that e.indexOf() returns the index of e.

How do we check if the array contains a particular element e? Give an algorithm, not Java code.

What if we had a method that tells us what is the index of the array cell in which a particular element may be found? So, assume we have a method indexOf such that e.indexOf() returns the index of e.

How do we check if the array contains a particular element e? Give an algorithm, not Java code.

### Answer

```
int i = e.indexOf()
if array cell with index i contains element e
  found = true
else
  found = false
```

How many array cells do we have to check (in the worst case)?

æ

How many array cells do we have to check (in the worst case)?

### Answer

Just one, no matter how big the set is.

In Java, an array can hold at most  $2^{31} - 1$  elements (since its length is of type int). Assume that the Strings "1" and "2147483647" have indices 0 and 2147483646. How big an array would we need to store a set containing "1" and "2147483647"?

In Java, an array can hold at most  $2^{31} - 1$  elements (since its length is of type int). Assume that the Strings "1" and "2147483647" have indices 0 and 2147483646. How big an array would we need to store a set containing "1" and "2147483647"?

#### Answer

 $2147483647 = 2^{31} - 1$ : that is a lot for just two elements.

# How many different string are there? More than 2147483647?

-

P.

글▶ 글

How many different string are there? More than 2147483647?

# Answer

Yes.

æ

∃ >

< 17 > <

∃ >

The remainder on the blackboard.

æ

The bodies of the three constructors

```
this.width = width;
this.height = height;
```

```
this.width = 0;
this.height = 0;
```

```
this.width = rectangle.width;
this.height = rectangle.height;
```

look very similar. Can we avoid this code duplication?

#### Answer

Yes, we can have the default and copy constructor delegate to the third constructor.

#### Answer

Yes, we can have the default and copy constructor delegate to the third constructor.

```
public Rectangle()
{
   this(0, 0);
}
```

this (0, 0) invokes the third constructor on this with arguments 0 and 0.

```
How can the copy constructor delegate to the third constructor?
public Rectangle(Rectangle rectangle)
{
    ?
}
```

```
How can the copy constructor delegate to the third constructor?
public Rectangle(Rectangle rectangle)
{
    ?
}
```

### Answer

this(rectangle.width, rectangle.height);

# Delegating to mutators

# Question

```
Instead of
public Rectangle(int width, int height)
{
   this.width = width;
   this.height = height;
}
can we use the following?
public Rectangle(int width, int height)
{
   this.setWidth(width);
   this.setHeight(height);
}
```

# Delegating to mutators

# Question

```
Instead of
public Rectangle(int width, int height)
{
   this.width = width;
   this.height = height;
}
can we use the following?
public Rectangle(int width, int height)
{
   this.setWidth(width);
   this.setHeight(height);
}
```

#### Answer

# Question

Instead of

```
public int getArea()
{
   return this.width * this.height;
}
can we use the following?
public int getArea()
{
   return this.getWidth() * this.getHeight();
}
```

# Question

Instead of

```
public int getArea()
{
   return this.width * this.height;
}
can we use the following?
public int getArea()
{
   return this.getWidth() * this.getHeight();
}
```



# Question

Instead of

```
public Rectangle(Rectangle rectangle)
{
   this(rectangle.width, rectangle.height);
}
can we use the following?
public Rectangle(Rectangle rectangle)
{
   this(rectangle.getWidth(), rectangle.getHeight());
}
```

# Question Instead of public Rectangle(Rectangle rectangle)

```
{
   this(rectangle.width, rectangle.height);
}
```

```
can we use the following?
```

```
public Rectangle(Rectangle rectangle)
{
    this(rectangle.getWidth(), rectangle.getHeight());
}
```



If we delegate to accessors and mutators, where in the code do we still refer to the attributes explicitly?

If we delegate to accessors and mutators, where in the code do we still refer to the attributes explicitly?

#### Answer

Only in the accessors and mutators.

If we delegate to accessors and mutators, where in the code do we still refer to the attributes explicitly?

#### Answer

Only in the accessors and mutators.

### Question

If we now change the representation of a rectangle, for example, by using one String rather than two ints as attributes, what do we have to change in the class?

If we delegate to accessors and mutators, where in the code do we still refer to the attributes explicitly?

#### Answer

Only in the accessors and mutators.

#### Question

If we now change the representation of a rectangle, for example, by using one String rather than two ints as attributes, what do we have to change in the class?

#### Answer

Only the attributes, accessors and mutators.

What is an example of a class whose objects are immutable?

What is an example of a class whose objects are immutable?

Answer

String.

What is an example of a class whose objects are immutable?

#### Answer

String.

## Question

What does it mean that a String is immutable?

What is an example of a class whose objects are immutable?

#### Answer

String.

## Question

What does it mean that a String is immutable?

#### Answer

One cannot change the state of a String.

A ►

What do we have to change in the Rectangle class so that Rectangles are immutable?

What do we have to change in the Rectangle class so that Rectangles are immutable?

### Answer

Make the mutators private.