Inheritance vs Uses

How Not to Use Inheritance

 Class CAR, class PERSON put together to define a new class CAR_OWNER



• Every CAR_OWNER is both a PERSON and a CAR ?

How Not to Use Inheritance – 2

• Correct relationship is client–supplier



Do not make a class B inherit from class A unless you can somehow make the argument that one can view every instance of B also as an instance of A

© Gunnar Gotshalks

 When the is view is legitimate, the has view can be taken instead

The reverse is not usually true CAR_OWNER

 When the is view is legitimate, the has view can be taken instead

The reverse is not usually true CAR_OWNER

Two criteria help us resolve such arguments
Though they sometimes fail to give a clear cut solution

Rule of Change

Do not use inheritance for a perceived **is_a** relation if the corresponding object components may have to be changed at run time.

- Client, has_a, relations permit change, while inheritance does not
 - » If B inherits from A then every B object is an A object and no object can change this property
 - » If a B object has a component of type A it is possible to change that component (up to the constraints supplied by the type system)

Rule of Polymorphism

Inheritance is appropriate for is_a relations if data structure components of a more general type may need to be attached to objects of more specific type

- Basic rule
 - » Client is a has relationship
 - » Inheritance is an is_a relationship

- Basic rule
 - » Client is a has relationship
 - » Inheritance is an is_a relationship
- It is a wicked problem to decide due to difficulties of system modeling

Example

- Every software engineer is an engineer class SWENG inherit ENGINEER ...
 - Object relationship cannot be changed dynamically

Example – 2

- Every software engineer is an engineer class SWENG inherit ENGINEER ...
 - Object relationship cannot be changed dynamically
- In every software engineer there is an engineer class SWENG2 feature me : ENGINEER ...
 - new values can be assigned to me up to type constraints

Example – 3

- Every software engineer is an engineer class SWENG inherit ENGINEER ...
 - Object relationship cannot be changed dynamically
- In every software engineer there is an engineer class SWENG2 feature me : ENGINEER ...
 - new values can be assigned to me up to type constraints
- Every software engineer can have an engineer component class SWENG3 feature me : VOCATION ...
 - Software engineer can also be a juggler