

MATH/CSE 1019 First test - sample  
Solutions  
Instructor: S. Datta

1. (6 points) Propositional Logic

- (a) (3 points) Write down the truth table for the following proposition.

$$(p \wedge q) \vee \neg r$$

**Solution:**

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \wedge q$	$\neg r$	$(p \wedge q) \vee \neg r$
T	T	T	T	F	T
T	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	F
F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	F
F	F	F	F	T	T

- (b) (3 points) State the converse, contrapositive and inverse of the following statement: “A positive integer is a prime only if it has no divisors other than 1 and itself”.

**Solution:** As discussed in class, this is the equivalent to “If a positive integer is prime then it has no divisors other than 1 and itself”.

Contrapositive: If a positive integer has a divisor that is not 1 or itself, then it is not prime.

Inverse: If a positive integer is not prime then it has divisors other than 1 and itself.

Note: We know that the contrapositive and inverse are not equivalent in general. So why are they equivalent in this example? The reason is that the statement is actually a bidirectional implication but only one direction is stated in the given sentence.

2. (6 points) Propositional equivalences

- (a) (3 points) Show that  $(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r)$  and  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$  are logically equivalent.

**Solution:** Use a truth table.

$p$	$q$	$r$	$p \rightarrow r$	$q \rightarrow r$	$(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r)$	$(p \wedge q)$	$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	F	T	F
T	F	T	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	F	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	F	T

- (b) (3 points) Show that  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$  is a tautology.

**Solution:** Use a truth table.

$p$	$q$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	F	T

## 3. (6 points) Predicates

- (a) (3 points) Translate in 2 ways the following statement into a logical expression using predicates, quantifiers and logical connectives. First let the domain be the students in your class and second, let it consist of all people: “There is a person in your class who cannot swim”.

**Solution:** Define for the domain of all people the predicate  $S(x)$  that is true if  $x$  can swim and false otherwise. Since it is defined for all people, it is also defined over the smaller domain of your classmates. So the given statement is  $\exists x \neg S(x)$ .

Define also another predicate  $C(x)$  that is true if  $x$  is in your class and false otherwise.

Then the given statement is  $\exists x C(x) \wedge \neg S(x)$ .

- (b) (3 points) Express the negation of this proposition using quantifiers and then express the negation in English: “Some drivers do not obey the speed limit”.

**Solution:** Define for the domain of people who are drivers the predicate  $O(x)$  that is true if  $x$  obeys the speed limits and false otherwise. Then the given statement is  $\exists x \neg O(x)$ .

Its negation is  $\forall x O(x)$ .

The equivalent English sentence is “All drivers obey the speed limit”.

4. (6 points) Nested quantifiers A Discrete Math class contains 1 math major who is a freshman, 12 math majors who are sophomores, 15 CSE majors who are sophomores, 2 Math majors who are juniors, 2 CSE majors who are juniors, and 1 CSE major who is a senior. Express each of the following statements in terms of quantifiers and say why it is true or false.

- (a) (3 points) There is a student in the class who is neither a math major nor a junior.

**Solution:** Let the domain of persons be students in this class, the domain of majors is the set  $M = \{\text{Math}, \text{CSE}\}$  and the domain of year be the set  $Y = \{\text{Freshman}, \text{Sophomore}, \text{Junior}, \text{Senior}\}$ . Now define a predicate  $In(x, m, y)$  to be true if  $x$  is in major  $m$  and year  $y$ .

Note that if a student is not a math major they must be a CSE major. So the given statement is  $\exists x In(x, \text{CSE}, \text{Freshman}) \vee In(x, \text{CSE}, \text{Sophomore}) \vee In(x, \text{CSE}, \text{Senior})$ .

This is true, because there are many CSE majors who are not juniors.

- (b) (3 points) There is a major such that there is a student in the class in every year of study with that major.

**Solution:** Then the given statement is  $\exists m \in M \forall y \in Y \exists x In(x, m, y)$ .

This is false because CSE majors contain no freshmen and Math majors contain no seniors.

## 5. (6 points) Inference

- (a) (3 points) Explain what rule of inference are used to infer the following: “There is someone in this class who has been to France. Everyone who goes to France visits the Louvre. Therefore, someone in this class has visited the Louvre.”

**Solution:** For the domain of all people, define the predicates  $F(x)$  that is true if  $x$  has visited France and false otherwise. Similarly define  $L(x)$  to be true if  $x$  has visited Louvre and false otherwise. Finally consider the restricted domain of this class.

So the given statements can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\exists x F(x) \\ &\forall x F(x) \rightarrow L(x) \\ &\therefore \exists x L(x) \end{aligned}$$

This inference is valid because from  $\exists x F(x)$  we can conclude  $F(a)$  for some  $a$  by existential instantiation. Then by universal instantiation we get  $F(a) \rightarrow L(a)$ . Then by modus ponens we get  $L(a)$ . Finally by existential generalization we get  $\exists x L(x)$ .

- (b) (3 points) Determine whether this is valid: “If  $x$  is a positive real number, then  $x^2$  is a positive real number. Therefore if  $a^2$  is positive, then  $a$  is a positive real number.

**Solution:** Let the domain be real numbers. Let  $Positive(x)$  be the predicate that is true if  $x$  is positive and false otherwise. Then the given statements can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x Positive(x) &\rightarrow Positive(x^2) \\ \therefore Positive(a^2) &\rightarrow Positive(a)\end{aligned}$$

We can infer from  $\forall x Positive(x) \rightarrow Positive(x^2)$ , the statement  $Positive(a) \rightarrow Positive(a^2)$  using universal instantiation. From there we cannot infer the converse. So the given inference is invalid.