

CSE 2001:
Introduction to Theory of Computation
Fall 2013

Suprakash Datta

datta@cse.yorku.ca

Office: CSEB 3043

Phone: 416-736-2100 ext 77875

Course page: <http://www.cse.yorku.ca/course/2001>

Next: Finite automata

Ch. 1.1: Deterministic finite automata (DFA)

We will :

- Design automata for simple problems
- Study languages recognized by finite automata.

Recognizing finite languages

- Just need a lookup table and a search algorithm
- Problem – cannot express infinite sets, e.g. odd integers

Finite Automata


The simplest machine that can recognize an infinite language.

“Read once”, “no write” procedure.


Useful for describing algorithms also.
Used a lot in network protocol description.

Remember: DFA's can accept finite languages as well.

A Simple Automaton (0)




A Simple Automaton (1)



on input “0110”, the machine goes:
 $q_1 \rightarrow q_1 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow q_3 = \text{“reject”}$


A Simple Automaton (2)



on input “101”, the machine goes:

$q_1 \rightarrow q_2 \rightarrow q_3 \rightarrow q_2 = \text{“accept”}$

A Simple Automaton (3)



010: reject

11: accept

010100100100100: accept

010000010010: reject

ϵ : reject

Examples of languages accepted by DFA

- $L = \{ w \mid w \text{ ends with } 1 \}$
- $L = \{ w \mid w \text{ contains sub-string } 00 \}$
- $L = \{ w \mid |w| \text{ is divisible by } 3 \}$
- $L = \{ w \mid |w| \text{ is odd or } w \text{ ends with } 1 \}$
- $L = \{ w \mid |w| \text{ is divisible by } 10^6 \}$

Note: $\Sigma = \{0,1\}$ in each case

DFA design

- Design DFA for language
 - $L = \{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid w \text{ contains substring } 01\}$
- Three states to remember:
 - Have seen the substring 01
 - Not seen substring 01 and last symbol was 0
 - Not seen substring 01 and last symbol was not 0
- General principles?

DFA : Formal definition

- A deterministic finite automaton (DFA)
M is defined by a 5-tuple $M=(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q_0,F)$
 - Q : finite set of states
 - Σ : finite alphabet
 - δ : transition function $\delta:Q\times\Sigma\rightarrow Q$
 - $q_0\in Q$: start state
 - $F\subseteq Q$: set of accepting states

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q, F)$$


states $Q = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$

alphabet $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

start state q_1

accept states $F = \{q_2\}$

transition function δ :



	0	1
q_1	q_1	q_2
q_2	q_3	q_2
q_3	q_2	q_2

Recognizing Languages (defn)

A finite automaton $\mathbf{M} = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q, F)$ accepts a string/word $\mathbf{w} = w_1 \dots w_n$ if and only if there is a sequence $r_0 \dots r_n$ of states in Q such that:

1) $r_0 = q_0$

2) $\delta(r_i, w_{i+1}) = r_{i+1}$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$

3) $r_n \in F$

Regular Languages

The language recognized by a finite automaton M is denoted by $L(M)$.

A regular language is a language for which there exists a recognizing finite automaton.

Two DFA Questions

Given the description of a finite automaton $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q, F)$, what is the language $L(M)$ that it recognizes?

In general, what kind of languages can be recognized by finite automata? (What are the regular languages?)

Union of Two Languages

Theorem 1.12: If A_1 and A_2 are regular languages, then so is $A_1 \cup A_2$.
(The regular languages are 'closed' under the union operation.)

Proof idea: A_1 and A_2 are regular, hence there are two DFA M_1 and M_2 , with $A_1 = L(M_1)$ and $A_2 = L(M_2)$. Out of these two DFA, we will make a third automaton M_3 such that $L(M_3) = A_1 \cup A_2$.

Proof Union-Theorem (1)

$M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$ and $M_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$

Define $M_3 = (Q_3, \Sigma, \delta_3, q_3, F_3)$ by:

- $Q_3 = Q_1 \times Q_2 = \{(r_1, r_2) \mid r_1 \in Q_1 \text{ and } r_2 \in Q_2\}$
- $\delta_3((r_1, r_2), a) = (\delta_1(r_1, a), \delta_2(r_2, a))$
- $q_3 = (q_1, q_2)$
- $F_3 = \{(r_1, r_2) \mid r_1 \in F_1 \text{ or } r_2 \in F_2\}$

Proof Union-Theorem (2)

The automaton $M_3 = (Q_3, \Sigma, \delta_3, q_3, F_3)$ runs M_1 and M_2 in 'parallel' on a string w .

In the end, the final state (r_1, r_2) 'knows' if $w \in L_1$ (via $r_1 \in F_1$?) and if $w \in L_2$ (via $r_2 \in F_2$?)

The accepting states F_3 of M_3 are such that $w \in L(M_3)$ if and only if $w \in L_1$ or $w \in L_2$, for:
$$F_3 = \{(r_1, r_2) \mid r_1 \in F_1 \text{ or } r_2 \in F_2\}.$$

Concatenation of L_1 and L_2

Definition: $L_1 \bullet L_2 = \{ xy \mid x \in L_1 \text{ and } y \in L_2 \}$

Example: $\{a,b\} \bullet \{0,11\} = \{a0,a11,b0,b11\}$

Theorem 1.13: If L_1 and L_2 are regular languages, then so is $L_1 \bullet L_2$.
(The regular languages are 'closed' under concatenation.)

Proving Concatenation Thm.

Consider the concatenation:

$\{1, 01, 11, 001, 011, \dots\} \cdot \{0, 000, 00000, \dots\}$

(That is: the bit strings that end with a “1”, followed by an odd number of 0’s.)

Problem is: given a string w , how does the automaton know where the L_1 part stops and the L_2 substring starts?

We need an M with ‘lucky guesses’.