

# CSE6338: Assignment 2

Burton Ma

Posted: Sat Oct 27, 2012

Due: Wed Nov 14, 2012

1. The ICP algorithm repeatedly searches for a point on the model surface that is closest to each registration data point. For surfaces defined by a mesh of triangles, the search requires solving for the point in a triangle closest to the data point. One way to solve for the closest point is to first find the point  $\mathbf{x}$  on the plane that contains the triangle that is closest to the data point, and then determine if  $\mathbf{x}$  is inside the triangle.

Suppose that you are given a triangle defined by the points  $\mathbf{a} = [a_x \ a_y \ a_z]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{b} = [b_x \ b_y \ b_z]^T$ , and  $\mathbf{c} = [c_x \ c_y \ c_z]^T$ , and lying in the plane with unit normal vector  $\mathbf{n} = [n_x \ n_y \ n_z]^T$ .

- (a) Find an expression for  $\mathbf{x}$ , the point on the plane (containing the triangle) closest to the data point  $\mathbf{y}$ .
- (b) The points inside the triangle are defined by the following equation:

$$\mathbf{a} + u(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) + v(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a})$$

subject to the following constraints:

$$0 \leq u \leq 1$$

$$0 \leq v \leq 1$$

$$u + v \leq 1$$

If any of the constraints are broken, then the point is not inside the triangle, but it is on the plane containing the triangle.

Given a point  $\mathbf{x} = [x_x \ x_y \ x_z]^T$ , solve for  $u$  and  $v$ . Make sure that your solution works for all reasonable triangles.

2. The equation of a line defined by two points  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  is

$$\mathbf{a} + u(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})$$

where  $u$  is a scalar value. Given a measured point  $\mathbf{x}$  having measurement covariance  $\Sigma$ , find the point on the line closest to  $\mathbf{x}$  in terms of the Mahalanobis distance.

3. Deriving the spatial stiffness matrix for surface-based registration is tedious because the rotation part of the displacement requires matrix multiplications involving three different rotation matrices; however, if we discard the rotation, then the derivation is straightforward.

Derive the upper-left  $3 \times 3$  block of the spatial stiffness matrix for shape-based registration, ignoring the rotation part of the displacement. In this case, the potential energy for one spring is given by

$$U_i = \frac{1}{2}((\mathbf{q}_i - \mathbf{p}_i) \cdot \mathbf{n}_i)^2$$

where  $\mathbf{q}_i$  is  $\mathbf{p}_i$  displaced by a translation  $\mathbf{t} = [t_x \ t_y \ t_z]^T$ ; i.e.,  $\mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{p}_i + \mathbf{t}$ . You need to compute the Hessian matrix

$$\mathbf{H}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 U_i}{\partial t_x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 U_i}{\partial t_x \partial t_y} & \frac{\partial^2 U_i}{\partial t_x \partial t_z} \\ & \frac{\partial^2 U_i}{\partial t_y^2} & \frac{\partial^2 U_i}{\partial t_y \partial t_z} \\ & & \frac{\partial^2 U_i}{\partial t_z^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

4. Implement a variation of the pivot calibration method described in the lecture from Oct 17. For the purposes of this question, a full calibration is not required; you only need to find  $\mathbf{p}^c$ , the location of the pivot point in camera coordinates.

The technique described in class used only 2 poses to obtain a calibration; your implementation must somehow extend the technique so that it uses information from  $n$  poses (where  $n$  is greater than 2).

Test your implementation using the actual calibration data stored in the file `a2.mat` (available from the assignment web page). You can load the file in Matlab using the command

```
load a2
```

The file will load 4 Matlab variables `m1`, `m2`, `m3`, and `m4`. Each variable is a  $3 \times n$  array of marker points (`m1` are points for marker 1, `m2` are points for marker 2, and so on).