CSE 3401 3.0 Functional and Logic Prog/Intro to AI and LP Dept. Fall 2012

Dept. of Computer Science & Eng York University

Assignment 2

Total marks: 50.

Out: October 21 *Due:* November 12 at noon

Note: Your report for this assignment should be the result of your own individual work. Take care to avoid plagiarism ("copying"). You may discuss the problems with other students, but do not take written notes during these discussions, and do not share your written solutions.

- 1. [20 points] This exercise involves transforming a polynomial into a list of terms in normal form. We assume a polynomial is given as a sum and/or difference of
 - terms, each of which is either
 - a coefficient or
 - a variable raised to a power or
 - a coefficient * a variable raised to a power.
 - A coefficient is a constant expression.
 - A constant expression is
 - a numerical constant or
 - a symbolic constant or
 - an algebraic expression involving no variables.
 - A variable raised to a power is
 - a variable or
 - a variable ****** non-negative integer.

Expressions are parenthesized if necessary, following the usual rules for operator precedence (* has higher precedence than +, etc.).

The same power may occur in two different terms and there is no restriction on the order of the terms. Here are three examples of polynomials in the variable x which illustrate all the cases above:

2 -100 + x*a - 2*x**7 x**3 - (a+b) - 0.5 * x**3 + x The examples above show that, in the usual mathematical notation, polynomials don't have a completely regular structure: some terms have an exponent, some include a variable and some don't, etc. But programs which do symbolic algebra typically operate on algebraic expressions expressed in a *normal form* with a uniform structure, in order to simplify the calculations. This exercise asks you to define and test Prolog predicates to transform a polynomial into a normal form in which

- each term in the polynomial sum has a *coefficient*, *variable* and a non-negative integer *exponent*, with the coefficient preceding the variable;
- the polynomial itself is presented not as a sum or difference but as a *list* of terms which are understood to be summed;
- the terms are listed in descending order by exponent;
- terms with the same exponent are regrouped into a single term in the normal form.

For this purpose, define two Prolog predicates transformed/3 and transformedTerms/3 as follows:

• transformed (Term, Variable, Result) computes or tests a Result term which is algebraically equal to the Term, but is of the form

```
Coefficient*Variable**Power
```

For example, transformed (-5, z, -5*z**0) should succeed.

• transformedTerms (Polynomial, Variable, Result) computes a list of the terms in Polynomial with each term transformed into normal form. As an example,

should succeed.

Most of the work will go into the transformed predicate. In order to get a better feel for declarative programming, avoid introducing unnecessary variables into the clauses. For example,

```
transformed(-Var, Var, (-1)*Var**1).
```

is much better than the equivalent

You may wish to reduce your programming by using built-in predicates, for example, sort/2. To learn about built-in predicates and the SWI-Prolog library, you can download the SWI reference manual available at http://www.swi-prolog.org. You can also get some information from the help/1 and apropos/1 predicates in SWI-Prolog. For example,

```
?- apropos(sort).
sort/2
                       Sort elements in a list
msort/2
                       Sort, do not remove duplicates
keysort/2
                       Sort, using a key
predsort/3
                     Sort, using a predicate to determine the order
merge/3
                      Merge two sorted lists
merge_set/3
                     Merge two sorted sets
Yes
?- help(sort/2).
sort(+List, -Sorted)
 Succeeds if Sorted can be unified with a list holding the elements
 of List, sorted to the standard order of terms (see section 4.6).
 Duplicates are removed. The implementation is in C, using natural
 merge sort.
```

Submit both your Prolog code in file q1.pl and your test results in the file q1tests.txt. Provide enough tests to convince yourself and the reader that your implementation is correct. Document your code appropriately.

2. [30 points] In this exercise, we use Prolog to implement a subset of an abstract process algebra which can be used to analyze concurrent processes. Expressions in the algebra describe the structure of a process constructed from primitive actions that can be carried out in a particular system. An expression in a process algebra can be tested to see if the process described by the expression has a particular property, for example, whether the process can be proved to eventually terminate. Each primitive action A in the process/system must be declared by asserting primAction (A).

A process is then defined as one of the following:

- 0 (the empty process nothing left to do), a primitive action,
- A > P: a sequence of a primitive action A followed by a process P,
- P1 ? P2: a non-deterministic branching that either does process P1 or process P2,

- P1 | P2: interleaved concurrent execution of process P1 and P2.
- P1 \$ P2: synchronized concurrent execution of processeses P1 and P2.
- ProcName: a call to the procedure named ProcName.

Procedures are defined by asserting defproc (ProcName, Body) where ProcName is a symbol that is the procedure's name and Body is a process expression that is the procedure's body. When the procedure's name occurs in a process expression, it can be replaced by procedure's body. Procedures can be recursive, for example:

```
defproc(iterDoSomething, doSomething > iterDoSomething ? 0).
```

which performs the primitive action doSomething 0 or more times.

We impose the following restrictions on recursive procedure definitions: their body cannot contain the concurrent execution constructs; and they must always perform at least one primitive action before making a recursive call.

Among the process composition operators we assume that sequence > has highest precedence, followed by nondeterministic branch ?, then interleaved concurrency |, and finally synchronous concurrency \$. Parentheses can be used to override this. You can obtain the right precendence in Prolog by using the following declarations:

```
:- op(700,xfy,>).
:- op(800,xfy,?).
% | is predefined as xfy with precedence 1100
:- op(1120,xfy,$).
```

The execution of processes can be defined in terms of transitions. Let P1-A-P2 mean that process P1 can do a single step by performing action A leaving process P2 remaining to be executed. We can define this relation as follows:

- 0 A P is always false.
- A A 0 holds (where A is a primitive action), i. e., an action that has completed leaves nothing more to be done.
- (A > P) A P (where A is a primitive action), i.e., doing a step of a sequence (A > P) involves doing the initial action A leaving P to be done afterwards.
- (P1 ? P2) A P holds if either P1 A P holds or P2 - A - P holds.
- (P1 | P2) A P holds if either P1 A P11 holds and P = (P11 | P2), or P2 - A - P21 holds and P = (P1 | P21)

- (P1 \$ P2) A P holds if both P1 A P11 holds and P2 - A - P21 holds and P = (P11 | P21)
- ProcName A P holds if ProcName is the name of a procedure that has body B and B - A - P holds.

We can define this in Prolog as follows:

```
A-A-0 :- primAct(A).

(A > P)-A-P :- primAct(A).

(P1 ? P2)-A-PR :- P1-A-PR ; P2-A-PR.

(P1 | P2)-A-(P1R | P2) :- P1-A-P1R.

(P1 | P2)-A-(P1 | P2R) :- P2-A-P2R.

(P1 $ P2)-A-(P1R $ P2R) :- P1-A-P1R, P2-A-P2R.

PN-A-PR :- defproc(PN,PB), PB-A-PR.
```

We can also define a predicate final (P) that holds when process P may legally terminate. The definition in Prolog is as follows:

```
final(0).
final(P1 ? P2):- final(P1); final(P2).
final(P1 | P2):- final(P1), final(P2).
final(P1 $ P2):- final(P1), final(P2).
final(P):- defproc(P,B), final(B).
```

An execution of a process is a sequence of transitions, which we will represent by a list [P1, A1, P2, A2, ...], such that for all i > 0, Pi – Ai – Pi+1. A complete execution is an execution where the last process is final or cannot make any further transitions.

Let's look at a few simple examples:

- (a1 > a2 > a3) has only one complete execution: [(a1 > a2 > a3), a1, (a2 > a3), a2, a3, a3, 0]
- ((a1 > a2) | a3) has 3 complete executions:
 [((a1 > a2) | a3), a1, (a2 | a3), a2, (0 | a3), a3, (0
 | 0)],
 [((a1 > a2) | a3), a1, (a2 | a3), a3, (a2 | 0), a2, (0
 | 0)], and
 [((a1 > a2) | a3), a3, ((a1 > a2) | 0), a1, (a2 | 0),
 a2, (0 | 0)];

interleaved concurrency interleaves the actions of the component processes.

- (a1 \$ a1) has one complete execution: [(a1 \$ a1), a1, (0 \$ 0)]; when we use synchronous concurrency, both component processes advance.
- (a1 \$ a2) has no executions; synchronous concurrent processes can only advance if they perform the same action.
- pl where defproc(pl, al > pl) has the infinite execution [pl, al, pl, al, ...].

Let's now look at some more interesting examples.

Example 1:

This is a simple example of processes that can deadlock; the processes try to acquire two locks in different orders.

```
Actions: acquireLock1, acquireLock2, releaseLock1, releaseLock2, doSomething
```

Process definitions:

The process deadlockingSystem may deadlock. The single user version oneUserSystem cannot deadlock.

Example 2:

In this example, there is producer process that generates data and a consumer process that consumes it. The data is stored in a buffer can handle up to 3 items. The buffer can overflow and underflow. One can use synchronization actions to avoid this.

```
Actions: produce, consume, underflow, overflow, notFull, notEmpty Process definitions:
```

```
defproc(producerConsumerSyst,
           producer | consumer | faults $ bufferS0).
defproc(producer, notFull > produce > producer).
defproc(consumer, notEmpty > consume > consumer).
defproc(faults, underflow ? overflow).
defproc(bufferUF, notFull > produce > bufferUF ?
                  produce > bufferUF ?
                  consume > bufferUF).
defproc(bufferS0, notFull > produce > bufferS1 ?
                  produce > bufferS1 ?
                  consume > underflow > bufferUF).
defproc(bufferS1, notFull > produce > bufferS2 ?
                  produce > bufferS2 ?
                  consume > bufferS0 ?
                  notEmpty > consume > bufferS0).
defproc(bufferS2, notFull > produce > bufferS3 ?
                  produce > bufferS3 ?
                  consume > bufferS1 ?
                  notEmpty > consume > bufferS1).
defproc(bufferS3, produce > overflow > bufferOF ?
                  consume > bufferS2 ?
                  notEmpty > consume > bufferS2).
defproc(bufferOF, produce > bufferOF ?
                  consume > bufferOF ?
                  notEmpty > consume > bufferOF).
defproc(producerConsumerSystBuggy,
           producerB | consumerB | faults $ bufferS0).
defproc(producerB, produce > producerB).
defproc(consumerB, consume > consumerB).
```

- a) Define a Prolog predicate run (P, R) that holds iff R is a complete execution of process P. Also define a print_run (R) predicate that prints executions in a readable way. Test this (at least) on the oneUserSystem and deadlockingSystem examples.
- b) Define a Prolog predicate has_infinite_run (P) that holds iff process P has an infinite run (this happens only if there is a there is a cycle in the configuration graph). Test this (at least) on the examples above.
- c) Define a Prolog predicate deadlock_free (P) that holds iff process P cannot reach a deadlocked configuration, i.e., a configuration where the process is not final but cannot make any further transition. Test this (at least) on all the examples above.

- d) Define a Prolog predicate cannot_occur (S, A) that holds iff there is no execution of process P where action A occurs (an instance of checking a safety property). Test (at least) cannot_occur (P, overflow) on the two versions of the producer-consumer example.
- e) Define a Prolog predicate whenever_eventually (S, A1, A2) that holds iff in all executions of process P, whenever action A1 occurs, action A2 occurs afterwards (a instance of checking a liveness property). Test (at least) whenever_eventually (P, produce, consume) on the two versions of the producer-consumer example.

For all the parts of the question, provide enough tests to convince yourself and the reader that your implementation is correct. The tests can involve very simple processes where it is easy to see what should happen. Submit both your Prolog code in file q2.pl and your test results in the file q2tests.txt. Document your code appropriately.

To hand in your report for this assignment, put all the required files in a directory a2answers and submit it electronically by the deadline. To submit electronically, use the following Prism lab command:

submit 3401 a2 a2answers

Your Prolog code should work correctly on Prism.