

Question 1: Sets

Is it possible for two distinct, nonempty sets A, B to satisfy $A \times B \subseteq B \times A$? Give either an example of sets A, B for which this is true or prove that this is not possible.

Solution: Since A, B are unequal, at least one of $B - A$, $A - B$ must be non-empty. We consider these cases separately.

Case 1: If $A - B$ is non-empty, let us assume that there is an element $a \in A - B$. Then consider any ordered pair (a, x) where $x \in B$. By the definition of cartesian product, $(a, x) \in A \times B$, but $(a, x) \notin B \times A$. Therefore $A - B \neq \emptyset$ implies that $A \times B \subseteq B \times A$ is false.

Case 2:

If $B - A$ is non-empty, let us assume that there is an element $b \in B - A$. Then any ordered pair (x, b) where $x \in A$ belongs to $A \times B$ but does not belong to $B \times A$.

Thus the given statement is false.

Question 2: Induction

Use strong induction to prove that every positive integer n can be written as a sum of distinct powers of 2. You will not get credit for this question if your proof is not based on strong induction.

[Hint: for the inductive step, separately consider the case where $k + 1$ is even and where it is odd.]

Solution:

Base case: 1 can only be represented one way: $1 = 1 * 2^0$.

Inductive step: As the hint suggests, let us assume that the statement is true for all $0 < m \leq k$. Now we consider 2 cases:

Case 1: If $k + 1$ is even, $k + 1 = 2m$ for some positive integer m . Since m can be written as a sum of distinct powers of 2 by the inductive hypothesis, so can $2m$ – multiplying by 2 simply increases the value of each exponent by 1.

Case 2: If $k + 1$ is odd, then k is even. By the inductive hypothesis, k can be written as a sum of distinct powers of 2. However, it cannot contain the term 2^0 since all other powers of 2 are even and the presence of 2^0 would make k odd. Therefore if we add 2^0 to the sum of powers of 2 that equals k , we get a new sum of distinct powers of 2 that equals $k + 1$.

Question 3

Give a direct proof, a proof by contraposition and a proof by contradiction of the statement: “If n is even, then $n + 4$ is even”.

Solution:

Direct proof: If n is even, $n = 2k$ for some integer k . So $n + 4 = 2k + 4 = 2(k + 2)$ is even because $k + 2$ is an integer.

Proof by contraposition: If $n + 4$ is odd, then $n + 4 = 2k + 1$ for some integer k . So $n = 2k - 3 = 2(k - 2) + 1$. Since $k - 2$ is an integer, n must be odd.

Proof by contradiction: Suppose the statement does not hold for all n . So there exists some integer m for which m is even but $m + 4$ is odd. Thus $m + 3$ must be even. Since m is even, $m = 2k$ for some integer k . So $m + 3 = 2k + 3 = 2(k + 1) + 1$ must be odd, which is a contradiction.

Question 4

In each part below, a recursive definition is given of a subset of $\{a, b\}^*$. Give a simple nonrecursive definition in each case. Assume that each definition includes an implicit last statement: “Nothing is in L unless it can be obtained by the previous statements.”

1. $a \in L$; for any $x \in L$, xa, xb are in L .

Solution: L contains all strings starting with an a .

2. $a \in L$; for any $x \in L$, bx, xb are in L .

Solution: L contains all strings of the form $b^m ab^n$, where $m, n \geq 0$.

3. $a \in L$; for any $x \in L$, ax, xb are in L .

Solution: L contains all strings of the form $a^m ab^n$, where $m, n \geq 0$ or equivalently $a^m b^n$, $m > 0, n \geq 0$.

4. $a \in L$; for any $x \in L$, ax, bx, xb are in L .

Solution: L contains all strings of the form Sab^n , where $n \geq 0$ and S is any string over $\{a, b\}$.

Question 5

Suppose a language L is defined recursively as:

$\epsilon \in L$; for every x, y in L , $axby$ and $bxay$ are both in L ; nothing else is in L . Prove that L is precisely the set of strings in $\{a, b\}^*$ with equal numbers of a 's and b 's.

Solution:

Let us first prove that all strings produced by the rules above have equal numbers of a, b 's. Then by rule 3, all strings in L have equal numbers of a, b .

Most such proofs use induction on the length $|x|$ of strings produced – it is tailor-made for recursively defined sets.

Base case: $|x| = 0$. The statement holds because ϵ contains an equal number (zero) of a, b 's.

Inductive step: Assuming x, y satisfy the hypothesis, we see that both $axby, bxay$ have equal numbers of a, b 's. Thus each string in L has an equal numbers of a, b 's.

In order to prove the other direction, we need to show that any string with equal number of a, b 's can be produced by the rules used to define L .

We can use induction again. For the base case, we use $|x| = 0$ again. We know this is in L . Inductively we assume that every string of length $2m$ or less with equal numbers of a, b 's can be produced by the rules used to define L .

Now consider any such string s of length $2m + 2$. Assume that s starts with an a . For each prefix of s , consider the number of a 's minus the number of b 's. This number is 1 after the prefix of length 1 and 0 at the end. It can change by 1 each time the prefix length is increased by 1, so it must hit 0 for the first time somewhere (at or before the end). Call this prefix p . We see that $p = axb$ for some string y . Let the suffix of p be y . Then the strings x, y have length less than or equal to $2m$. By the inductive hypothesis they are in L . Therefore s is produced by the rule $axby \in L$ and is in L .