## State-Based Testing Part A – Modeling states

#### Generating test cases for complex behaviour

Reference: Robert V. Binder *Testing Object-Oriented Systems: Models, Patterns, and* Tools Addison-Wesley, 2000, Chapter 7

## **Motivation**

- We are interested in testing the behaviour of many different types of systems, including event-driven software systems
- Interaction with GUI systems can follow a large number of paths
- State machines can model event-driven behaviour
- If we can express the system under test as a state machine, we can generate test cases for its behaviour

# **OO Systems**

- State-based testing is well suited to OO Systems
- Behaviour responsibility is distributed over
  - Classes, clusters, subsystem or system
  - Behaviour bugs due to complex and implicit structure



#### • What is a state machine?



- A system whose output is determined by both current state and past input
- Previous inputs are represented in the current state
- State-based behaviour
  - Identical inputs are not always accepted
    - Depends upon the state
  - When accepted, they may produce different outputs
    - Depends upon the state



#### • What are the building blocks of a state machine?

## **Building blocks of a state machine – 2**

- State
  - An abstraction that summarizes past inputs, and determines behaviour on subsequent inputs
- Transition
  - An allowable two-state sequence. Caused by an event
- Event
  - An input or a time interval
- Action
  - The output that follows an event



#### Describe the behaviour of a state machine?



- 1. Begin in the **initial state**
- 2. Wait for an event
- 3. An event comes in
  - **1.** If not accepted in the current state, ignore
  - 2. If accepted, a transition fires, output is produced (if any), the resultant state of the transition becomes the current state
- 4. Repeat from step 2 unless the current state is a **final state**

### **State machine properties**

- How events are generated is not part of the model
- Transitions fire one at a time
- The machine can be in only one state at a time
- The current state cannot change except by a defined transition
- States, events, transitions, actions cannot be added during execution

### **State machine properties – 2**

- Algorithms for output creation are not part of the model
- The firing of a transition does not consume any amount of time
  - An event is instantaneous
    - It has no beginning or ending
    - Beginnings and endings imply duration

The challenge How to model the behaviour of a given system using a state machine?



• What is a state transition diagram?

#### **State transition diagram – example**





What are complete and incomplete state machine specifications?

## **Complete & incomplete specifications – 2**

- Complete specifications
  - A transition for every event-state pair
- Incomplete specifications
  - The norm for modeling
    - For design too cumbersome to completely specify, as only a small subset is of interest
- Cannot ignore unspecified event-state pairs for testing
  - Why?



• What are equivalent states?

What problem exists with equivalent states?

#### **Equivalent states**

- Any two states are equivalent
  - If all possible event sequences applied to these states result in identical behaviour
  - By looking at the output cannot determine from which state machine was started
  - Can extend to any pair of states
- Minimal machine has no equivalent states



#### • What problem exists with equivalent states?

## **Equivalent states**

- A model with equivalent states is redundant
  - Probably incorrect
  - Probably incomplete



• What is reachability?

### **Reachability – 2**

- State S<sub>f</sub> is reachable from state S<sub>t</sub>
  - If there is a legal event sequence that moves the machine from S<sub>f</sub> to S<sub>t</sub>
  - Just stating a state is reachable implies reachable from the initial state



# Using the notion of reachability, what problems does it show?

## **Reachability problems – 2**

- Dead state
  - Cannot leave
    - Cannot reach a final state
- Dead loop
  - Cannot leave
    - Cannot reach a final state
- Magic state
  - Cannot enter no input transitions
  - Can go to other states
    - Extra initial state



#### • What is a guarded transition?

### **Guarded transitions – 2**

- The stack example state machine is ambiguous
  - There are two possible reactions to push and pop in the Loaded state
- Guards can be added to transitions
- A guard is a predicate associated with the event
- A guarded transition cannot fire unless the guard predicate evaluates to true

#### **Guarded transitions – example**



### Limitations of the basic model

- Limited scalability
  - Even with the best tools available, diagrams with 20 states or more are unreadable
- Concurrency cannot be modeled
  - Different processes can be modeled with different state machines, but the interactions between them cannot
- Not specific enough for Object-Oriented systems

#### **Statechart – Scalability – traffic light example**



## Traffic light with superstates – all states view





Traffic Light Controller

## Traffic light – level 1 view



## **Traffic light – level 2 view**



### **Statechart advantages**

- Easier to read
- Suited for object oriented systems (UML uses statecharts)
- Hierarchical structure helps with state explosion
- They can be used to model concurrent processes as well



- Can vary in their details and implementation with different case systems
  - Need to be very careful when testing

#### **Concurrent statechart**



## State model

- Must support automatic test generation
- The following criteria must be met
  - Complete and accurate reflection of the implementation to be tested
  - Allows for abstraction of detail
  - Preserves detail that is essential for revealing faults
  - Represents all events and actions
  - Defines state so that the checking of resultant state can be automated



- We need an executable definition that can be evaluated automatically
- An object with two Boolean fields has 4 possible states?
  - This would lead to trillions of states for typical classes







#### • How can we address the problem?



- A set of variable value combinations that share some property of interest
  - Can be coded as a Boolean expression

## An example

Consider the following class

```
Class Account {
   AccountNumber number;
   Money balance;
   Date lastUpdate;
   ...
}
```

- The cross-product of all values is a primitive view of the state space
  - Yields too many states
- What abstraction gives fewer states?
- How is the abstraction represented?

#### **Three abstract states**

#### **Shaded volumes**





- A valid state can be expressed with a state invariant
  - A Boolean expression that can be checked
- A state invariant defines a subset of the values allowed by the class invariant

#### ensure a or b

In Eiffel this defines two possible states

## **Transitions**

- A transition is a unique combination of
  - Two state invariants
    - One for the accepting
    - One for the resultant state
    - Both may be the same
  - An associated event
  - An optional guard expression
  - Optional action or actions



- A message sent to the class under test
- A response received from a supplier of the class under test
- An interrupt or similar external control action that must be accepted

### **Transition actions & guards**

- A guard
  - Predicate associated with an event
  - No side effects
- An action
  - The side effect that occurs

## Alpha states

- The initial state of an object is the state right after it is constructed
- However, a class may have multiple constructors that leave the object in different states
- To avoid modeling problems we define that an object is in the α state just before construction
  - $\alpha$  transitions go from  $\alpha$  state to a constructor state



- Similarly with (1) and destruction
  - Not necessary to model (1) for languages that have garbage collection
  - (1) transitions go from a destructor state to the (1)
    state