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Prolog idea

- programming language based on first-order Horn theories, SLD resolution
- search strategy is fixed: depth-first, left to right, top to bottom
- programmer uses this to order search, is responsible for efficiency and termination
- good for symbolic computing
syntax of terms

- variables begin with upper-case letter or
- constants and functors (function and predicate symbols) begin with lower-case
- E.g. john, john_smith, X, Node, _person, ‘CSE’, fatherOf(paul), date(25,10,2005)
- compound terms are called structures, e.g. course(complexity,time(monday,9,11),lecturer(patrick,dymond),location(‘CSE’,3311))

E.g. program: family relations

- rules
  parent(Parent, Child) :- mother(Parent, Child).
  parent(Parent, Child) :- father(Parent, Child).
  ancestor(X,Y) :- parent(X,Y).
  ancestor(X,Y) :- parent(X,Z), ancestor(Z,Y).
- facts
  father(‘George’, ‘Elizabeth’).
  father(‘George’, ‘Margaret’).
  father(‘Paul’, ‘George’).
  mother(‘Mary’, ‘Elizabeth’).
  mother(‘Mary’, ‘Margaret’).
**rules**

- *rules* are definite clauses, or conditional statements.
- e.g.
  ```prolog
  ancestor(X,Y) :- parent(X,Z), ancestor(Z,Y).
  i.e. \( \forall x \forall y \forall z (\text{Ancestor}(x, y) \land \text{Parent}(x, z) \supset \text{Ancestor}(x, y)) \) or
  [\text{Ancestor}(x, y), \neg\text{Ancestor}(x, y),
  \neg\text{Ancestor}(x, y)].
  
  , represents conjunction and :- represents implication.
  ```

**rules**

- variables are universally quantified from outside; can think of variables that appear only in rule body as existentially quantified.
- a program is a set of rules/definite clauses.
- ; represents disjunction, e.g.
  ```prolog
  parent(Parent, Child) :- mother(Parent, Child);
  father(Parent, Child).
  ```
facts

- facts are a special case of rules, definite clauses with no negative literals, i.e. atomic formulas.
- e.g. father(‘George’, ‘Elizabeth’).

queries

- a query asks whether a (conjunction of) atomic formula is entailed by the program.
- ?- parent(X, ‘Elizabeth’).
  X = ‘Mary’
  Yes
- this asks whether
  Program |= \( \exists x \) Parent(x, Elizabeth) or
  Program U {\( \forall x \) \( \neg \)Parent(x, Elizabeth)} |- [].
- variables in queries can be viewed as existentially quantified, can be used to retrieve information.
simpler family relations e.g.

- rules
  
  parent(Parent, Child) :- mother(Parent, Child).
  parent(Parent, Child) :- father(Parent, Child).

- facts
  
  father('George', 'Elizabeth'). father('George', 'Margaret').
  mother('Mary', 'Elizabeth'). mother('Mary', 'Margaret').


unification

- unification is used to match queries with facts or the head or rules
- no fixed input or output parameters
- ?- parent(‘Mary’,X).
  X = ’Elizabeth’
  Yes
finding all solutions

| ?- parent(Parent, Child).
| Parent = 'Mary',
| Child = 'Elizabeth' ;
| Parent = 'Mary',
| Child = 'Margaret' ;
| Parent = 'George',
| Child = 'Elizabeth' ;
| Parent = 'George',
| Child = 'Margaret' ;
| no

search strategy/control

- Prolog searches to find a SLD resolution derivation of [] from the query.
- it works on the literals in the query from left to right.
- it resolves the first literal in the query against the first rules that matches, and the instantiated body of the rule replaces that literal in the query
- if eventually [] is derived, the query succeeds and the instantiation of the variables is returned.
- if at some point in the search no rule matches, the current query fails and Prolog backtracks to that last rule choice, and tries the next rule that matches.
- amounts to backward chaining, depth-first, left to right search.
rules as procedures

- rule has form goal :- body
- goal or head is like name of procedure
- terms on the RHS are like the body of the procedure, the sub-goals that have to be achieved to show that the goal holds
- the sub-goals will be attempted left-to-right
- rule succeeds if all sub-goals succeed

how prolog finds solutions

(trace) ?-  
  parent(Parent, Child1),  
  parent(Parent, Child2),  
  not(Child1 = Child2).  
Call: (8) parent(_G313, _G314) ? creep  
Call: (9) mother(_G313, _G314) ? creep  
Exit: (9) mother('Mary', 'Elizabeth') ? creep  
Exit: (8) parent('Mary', 'Elizabeth') ? creep  
Redo: (9) mother('Mary', _G317) ? creep  
Exit: (9) mother('Mary', 'Margaret') ? creep  
Exit: (8) parent('Mary', 'Margaret') ? creep  
Call: (8) parent('Mary', _G317) ? creep  
Call: (9) mother('Mary', _G317) ? creep  
Parent = 'Mary'  
Child1 = 'Elizabeth'  
Child2 = 'Margaret'
search control

- programmer can control search by ordering rules and goals in the body of rules.
- also can use ! (cut) as explained in textbook.
- not (negation as failure) can also be used to have a query succeed if another fails.

arithmetic functions

- Prolog retains arithmetic functions as functions (more intuitive):
  `- X is exp(1). % exp(1) = e^1
   X = 2.71828
   Yes
  `- X is (4 + 2) * 5.
   X = 30
   Yes
- How does is compare with =, assignment?
operators

- some functors are represented by *infix* or *prefix* or *postfix* operators
- some infix operators: is, =, +, *, /, mod, >, >=, “:-”, “,”, etc.
- + and - are both prefix and infix
- :- as prefix is a command, used for declarations
- operators have precedence
- can define our own operators

arithmetic examples

factorial(0,1).
factorial(N,M):- K is N -1, factorial(K,L), M is N * L.

min(X,Y,X):- X =< Y, !.
min(X,Y,Y).
lists

- lists are a special kind of term that allows arbitrary number of components
- [] is the empty list
- .(a,b) is a dotted pair
- [a, b, c] = .(a,.(b,.(c,[]))) is a list of 3 components.
- the functor . builds binary trees (as in Lisp)
- can use display(X) to print internal representation of X

lists

- can refer to the first and rest of a list using the notation: [First | Rest]
- e.g. ?- X = [a,b,c], X = [F|R].
  X = [a,b,c]
  F = a
  R = [b,c]
- E.g. X = [b], Y = a, Z = [Y|X].
  X = [b]
  Y = a
  Z = [a,b]
e.g. append predicate

append([],L,L).
append([X|L1],L2,[X|L3]) :- append(L1,L2,L3).

?- append([a,b],[c],X).
X = [a, b, c]
Yes

?- append(X,[c],[a,b,c]).
X = [a, b]
Yes

?- append([a,b],[c],[a,b,d]).
No

more append examples

?- append([a,b],X,Y).
X = _G187
Y = [a, b|_G187]
Yes

?- append(X,Y,Z).
X = []
Y = _G181
Z = _G181 ;
X = [_G262]
Y = _G181
Z = [_G262|_G181] ;
X = [_G262, _G268]
Y = _G181
Z = [_G262, _G268|_G181] ;

append is an example of a reversible or steadfast predicate (Richard O'Keefe)
building a knowledge base

- to be used in a computation, facts and rules must be stored in the (dynamic) database
- facts and rules get into the database through assertion and consultation
- consultation loads facts and rules from a file

assertion

- ?- assert(human(ulyssus)).
- ?- human(X).
  X = ulyssus
  Yes
- assertion can be done dynamically
- also retract to remove facts and rules from the DB
- like assignment, change state; avoid when possible
consultation

- `?- consult(‘family.pl’).`
  loads facts and rules from file family.pl
- `?- [family].`
  does the same thing
- `?- [user].`
  lets you enter facts and rules from the keyboard

help is sometimes helpful

`?- help(reverse).`
reverse(+List1, -List2)
  Reverse the order of the elements in List1 and unify the result with the elements of List2.

+arg: arg is input and should be instantiated.
-arg: arg is output and can be initially uninstantiated; if the query succeeds, the arg is instantiated with the "output" of the query.
?arg: arg can be either input or output
online help

?- help(lists).
No help available for lists
Yes
?- apropos(lists).
merge/3          Merge two sorted lists
append/3         Concatenate lists
Section 11-1     "lists: List Manipulation"
Section 15-2-1   "lists"
Yes
?- help(append/3).
append(?List1, ?List2, ?List3)
    Succeeds when List3 unifies with the concatenation of List1 and List2. The predicate can be used with any instantiation pattern (even three variables).

e.g. solving a logic puzzle
the zebra puzzle

1. There are 5 houses, occupied by politicallyincorrect gentlemen of 5 different nationalities, who all have different coloured houses, keep different pets, drink different drinks, and smoke different (now-extinct) brands of cigarettes.
2. The Englishman lives in a red house.
3. The Spaniard keeps a dog.
4. The owner of the green house drinks coffee.
   ...
6. The ivory house is just to the left of the green house.
   ...
11. The Chesterfields smoker lives next to a house with a fox.

Who owns the zebra and who drinks water?

Prolog implementation

- represent the 5 houses by a structure of 5 terms
  house(Colour, Nationality, Pet, Drink, Cigarettes)
- create a partial structure using variables, to be filled by the solution process
- specify constraints to instantiate variables
**house building**

makehouses(0, []).

makehouses(N, [house(Col, Nat, Pet, Drk, Cig)|List])  
:- N > 0, N1 is N - 1, makehouses(N1, List).

or more cleanly with anonymous variables:

makehouses(N, [house(_, _, _, _, _)|List])  
:- N > 0, N1 is N - 1, makehouses(N1, List).

**the empty houses**

?- makehouses(5, List).

constraints

- The Englishman lives in a red house.
  \( \text{house(red, englishman, _, _, _) on List} \)
- The Spaniard keeps a dog.
  \( \text{house(_, spaniard, dog, _, _) on List} \)
- The owner of the green house drinks coffee.
  \( \text{house(green, _, coffee, _) on List} \)
- The ivory house is just to the left of the green house
  \( \text{sublist2( [house(ivory, _, _, _, _)},
        \text{house(green, _, _, _, _)], List),} \)
- The Chesterfields smoker lives next to a house with a fox.
  \( \text{nextto(house(_, _, _, _, chesterfields),}
        \text{house(_, _, fox, _, _), List),} \)

defining the on operator

- \( \text{on} \) is a user-defined infix operator that
  is a version of \( \text{member/2} \)
- \( :- \text{op(100,zfy,on)}. \)
  \( \text{X on List :- member(X,List).} \)
  amounts to
  \( X \text{ on } [X|\_]. \)
  \( X \text{ on } [\_|R]:- X \text{ on } R. \)
See /cs/dept/course/2005-06/F/3401/zebra.pl
predicates for defining constraints

- "just to the left of"? "lives next to"?
- define sublist2(S,L)
  sublist2([S1, S2], [S1, S2 | _]) .
  sublist2(S, [_ | T]) :- sublist2(S, T).
- define nextto predicate
  nextto(H1, H2, L) :- sublist2([H1, H2], L).
  nextto(H1, H2 ,L) :- sublist2([H2, H1], L).

translating the constraints

- The ivory house is just to the left of the green house
  sublist2( [house(ivory, _, _, _, _),
             house(green, _, _, _, _)], List),
- The Chesterfields smoker lives next to a house with a fox.
  nextto(house( _, _, _, _, chesterfields),
          house( _, _ , fox, _, _), List),
looking for the zebra

- Who owns the zebra and who drinks water?
  
  `find(ZebraOwner, WaterDrinker) :-`
  
  `makehouses(5, List),`
  
  `house(red, englishman, _, _, _) on List,`
  
  `... % all other constraints`
  
  `house(_, WaterDrinker, _, water, _) on List,`
  
  `house(_, ZebraOwner, zebra, _, _) on List.`

- solution is generated and queried in the same clause
- neither water or zebra are mentioned in the constraints

solving the puzzle

?- [zebra].
% zebra compiled 0.00 sec, 5,360 bytes

Yes

?- find(ZebraOwner, WaterDrinker).

ZebraOwner = japanese
WaterDrinker = norwegian ;

No
how Prolog finds solution

After first 8 constraints:
List = [
  house(red, englishman, snail, _G251, old_gold),
  house(green, spaniard, dog, coffee, _G264),
  house(ivory, ukrainian, _G274, tea, _G276),
  house(yellow, _G297, _G298, _G299, kools)]

how Prolog solves the puzzle

Then need to satisfy “the owner of the third house drinks milk”, i.e.
List = [_, _, house(_, _, _, milk, _),_, _],
Can’t be done with current instantiation of List. So Prolog will backtrack and find another.
**how Prolog solves the puzzle**

The unique complete solution is

\[ L = [\]
house(yellow, norwegian, fox, water, kools),
house(blue, ukrainian, horse, tea, chesterfields),
house(red, englishman, snail, milk, old_gold),
house( ivory, spaniard, dog, orange, lucky_strike),
house(green, japanese, zebra, coffee, parliaments)]

See /cs/dept/course/2005-06/F/3401/zebra.pl