

Chat

**A program to make Prolog input
more English like**

**A project from Clocksin and Mellish,
page 244 third edition**

The main program – chat

- ◇ The rule repeats itself until the user enters exactly "**Stop.**"

chat :- repeat

> Get a sentence from the user

, readLine (Sentence)

> Obtain the semantic form, Clause, from the external form, Sentence.

, parse (Clause , Sentence , _)

> Determine the appropriate response.

, respondTo (Clause)

> chat succeeds when the semantic form is stop

, Clause = stop .

readLine (Sentence)

- ◇ Read a sentence as a list of words, where each word is the list of characters in ASCII numeric code.
- ◇ Split off the periods, question marks and apostrophes
- ◇ Create the corresponding list of atoms

```
readLine ( Sentence ) :- readCharLists ( Words )
                        , morphs ( Words , Sentence ) , ! .
```

- ◇ User types **John is a person.**
- ◇ Words ==> [[74, 111, 104, 110], [105, 115], [97],
[112, 101, 114, 115, 111, 110, 46]]
- ◇ Sentence ==> [**John , is , a , person , .**]
> **John is a constant not a variable**

readCharLists (Words)

- ◇ Read in a list of words from the keyboard and convert each word to a list of character lists

readCharLists ([Word | MoreWords]) :-

> Read a word

readWord (Word , TerminatingChar)

> end of line (ASCII 10 is newLine) signals the end of the list of words

**, ((TerminatingChar = 10) , MoreWords = []
; readCharLists (MoreWords)).**

- ◇ MoreWords is a hole

> see parts assembly example

readWord(Word, CharList)

- ◇ Read in a word from the keyboard

readWord (Word , TerminatingChar) :- get0 (C)

> Check for end of line or space character

, ((C = 10 ; C = 32)

> Handle eol and space character cases

, TerminatingChar = C , Word = []

> Character in a word, get the rest of the word

**; readWord (RestOfWord ,
TerminatingChar)**

, Word = [C | RestOfWord]) .

Morphs (WordList , AtomList)

- ◇ Convert list of words (as character lists from readCharLists, for example) to list of atoms, applying morphological rules to split off punctuation and the possessive " 's ".

morphs ([] , []).

**morphs ([Word I RestOfWords] , Atoms) :-
 morph (Word , Atom)
 , morphs (RestOfWords , RestOfAtoms)
 , append (Atom , RestOfAtoms , Atoms) .**

morph (Word , ItsAtoms)

- ◇ Convert one word, as a list of characters, to its corresponding atoms.

- > **More than one atom occurs when punctuation is split off, as punctuation is treated as an atom separately from a word.**

morph ([] , []) .

morph (Word , ItsAtoms) :-

- > **Use the available rules for morphing a word to a list of component character lists**

morphrules (Word , WordComponents)

- > **Convert each list of character codes to its corresponding atom**

, maplist (name , ItsAtoms , WordComponents) .

morphrules (CharList , ComponentLists)

- ◇ ComponentLists is a sequence of sublists of CharList determined by the **splitOff** rules

morphrules (CharList , ComponentLists) :-

> Do any split off rules apply?

**(append (X , Y , CharList)
 , splitOff (Y)
 , ComponentLists = [X , Y])**

> Nothing to split off so only one sublist

; ComponentLists = [CharList] .

splitOff (String)

- ◇ List of strings that are to be split off from words

> Apostrophe s

splitOff ("'s") .

> Question mark

splitOff ("?") .

> Period

splitOff (".") .

maplist (P , Arg1 , Arg2)

- ◇ **maplist** is a predicate that is the equivalent to the Lisp mapcar but restricted to exactly one argument
- ◇ **maplist** applies the predicate **P** to every item in **Arg1** and the result is the corresponding item in **Arg2**.

maplist (_ , [] , []).

maplist (P , [H1 | T1] , [H2 | T2]) :-

> Q is the predicate P (H1 , H2). The operator =.. defines the correspondence of the compound term Q with the list form on the the right.

Q =.. [P, H1, H2]

, call (Q)

, maplist (P , T1 , T2) .

Parse rules

- ◇ The **parse** rules analyse the list of atoms in a sentence. The relevant parts are extracted and rearranged for the **respondTo** rules.

```
parse ( semantic_sentence_representation  
        , the_sentence_to_parse  
        , remainder_of_sentence )
```

- > First rule creates the term **stop** to terminate the program.

```
parse ( stop , [ 'Stop' , '.' ] , [] ) .
```

- > Last rule matches everything to create the term **noparse** for the "**Can't parse that**" response

```
parse ( noparse , _ , _ ) .
```

Parsing "_ is a _."

- ◇ A rule to parse sentences of the form

John is a person.

- ◇ The parsing part of the rule

**parse (Clause) -->
thing (Name) , [is , a] , type (T) , [' . '] .**

- ◇ Where

thing (Name) --> [Name] .

type (T) --> [T] .

- ◇ This does not look like Prolog syntax
- ◇ What is happening?

Parse rule translations

- ◇ The previous syntax is in the library of predicates that comes with Edinburgh Prolog
- ◇ The predicates define a correspondence with the previous syntax and pure prolog syntax

Why do we need the predicates?

- ◇ Writing parsing rules in pure Prolog is tedious

Parsing "P is a T."

- ◇ Syntax as entered in chat Looks fairly straight forward
parse (Clause) --> [P], [is , a], [T], ['.'] .
- ◇ Its equivalent in Prolog compared to the translation
**parse (Clause , S , Srem) :- det1 (S , S0)
 , det2 (S0 , S1) , det3 (S1 , S2) , det4 (S2 , Srem) .**
- ◇ Query: **parse(Clause, [John, is, a, person, '.'], _)**
det1 ([P | St] , St). P = John St = [is , a , person , '.']
det2 ([is , a | St] , St). St = [person, '.']
det3 ([T | St] , St). T = person St = ['.']
det4 (['.' | St] , St). St = [] ==> Srem = []

Parsing "_ is a _." and translation

parse (Clause) --> Looks fairly straight forward
thing (Name) , [is , a] , type (T) , [' . '] .
thing (Name) --> [Name] .
type (T) --> [T] .

◇ In Prolog is the following compared to the translation

parse (Clause , S , Srem) :-
thing (Name , S , S0) , det5 (S0 , S1)
, type (T , S1 , S2) , det6 (S2 , Srem) .
thing (Name , S , Srem) :- det7 (S , Srem) .
type (T , S , Srem) :- det8 (S , Srem) .

det5 ([is , a] St) , St).	det6 ([' . '] St) , St).
det7 ([Name St] , St).	det8 ([T St] , St).

Semantic representation of a parse

- ◇ We can parse a sentence. So what?
- ◇ Need to get a **semantic representation** for the parse so the **respondTo** can work.
- ◇ That is the role played by the **Clause** variable in the parse rules

Parsing "_ is a _." and semantics

◇ Query:

`parse (Clause , [John , is , a , person , '.'] , _).`

◇ The parsing part of the rule

`parse (Clause) -->
 thing (Name) , [is , a] ,
 type (T) , ['.']`

> Makes the binding
Name = John
T = person

◇ The semantic part of the rule

`, { Clause =.. [T , Name]
 , ! } .`

> Makes the binding
Clause
= person (John)

`{...}` indicates do not
translate ..., keep as it
is, in the translated rule

thing (X) & type (X)

- ◇ For things we want to check they begin with an upper case letter (capital letter)

thing (Name) --> [Name] , { capital (Name) } .

- ◇ For types we want to check that it begins with a lower case letter.

type (T) --> [T] , { not (capital (T)) } .

- ◇ Rule for determining if a letter is a upper case (capital) letter or not.

> Character with ASCII code less than 96 means it is an upper case letter.

capital (Name) :- name (Name , [F I _]) , F < 96 .

Parsing "A _ is a _."

- # A woman is a person.

> The parsing part

```
parse( Clause ) --> [ 'A' ], type ( T1 ), [ is , a ]
                  , type ( T2 ), [ '.' ]
```

> The semantic part

```
, { Head =.. [T2, X] , Condition =.. [ T1, X ]
  , Clause = (Head :- Condition) , ! } .
```

- ◆ The following bindings occur

T1 = woman T2 = person parse
Head = person (X) semantics, X is a variable
Condition = woman (X) semantics, same X
Clause = person (X) :- woman (X) semantics

Parsing "Is _ a _?"

- ◆ The complete rule for parsing sentences like the following

Is Mary a person?

> The parsing part

```
parse( Clause ) --> [ 'ls' ], thing( Name ) , [ a ]
                  , type( T ) , [ '?' ]
```

> The semantic part

, { Goal =.. [T, Name] , Clause = ('?' (Goal)) , ! } .

- ◆ Using the example the following bindings occur

Name = Mary	T = person	parse
Goal = person (Mary)		semantics
Clause = ?-(person (Mary))		semantics

- ◆ **?**- makes Clause functor unique, correct **respondTo** is used.

RespondTo

- ◇ The following two clauses are the response to stopping the program and to not finding a parse.

> The argument is the semantic representation formed in the semantic part of parse rules

respondTo (stop) :- write ('All done.') , nl , ! .

**respondTo (noparse) :-
write ('Can''t parse that.') , nl , ! .**

RespondTo – enter into database

- ◇ The following matches all clauses, so it would be last on the list

> **It adds the clause to the database – at the beginning**

```
respondTo ( Clause ) :- asserta ( Clause )  
                        , write ( 'Ok' ) , nl , ! .
```

- ◇ **assertz(Clause)** – add at the end of the database
- ◇ **retract(X)** – find a clause in the database that matches the argument and remove it from the database

RespondTo – Yes/No query

◇ Match functor **?-** and argument Goal.

> **?-** is used to provide a **respondTo** to correspond to a particular parse rule.

> The operator **->** tries to establish the goals to its left. If they succeed, then the goals to its right are attempted

```
respondTo ( '?-' ( Goal ) ) :-  
    ( Goal -> write ( 'Yes' ) ; write ( 'No' ) )  
    , ! , nl , nl .
```

◇ In the case of the "**Is Mary a person?**" query we only need a yes and no answer.