Inheritance & Adaptation

Open-Closed Principle

- Open Available for extension add new features
- Closed Available for client use stable in spite of extensions

In real projects A module needs to be both open and closed!

Open-Closed Principle – 2

- Suppose we have a class for which we do not have the program text
 - » All we have is the interface
- We want to modify the class
 - » How? it is closed
- We need to be able to open the class for modification
 - » to change features
 - » add new features
 - » remove features

Open-Closed Principle – 3

- Inheritance
 - » Allows us to re-open a class after it is closed
 - » It is the mechanism that makes the open-closed principle possible
- In general, a child class inherits all the features from a parent class
 - » though most OO languages allow us to modify the inherited features

Inheritance – Invariance & Creation

• Invariant inheritance rule


The invariant property of a class is the boolean and of the assertions appearing in its invariant clause, and of the invariant properties of its parents if any.

• Creation Inheritance rule

An inherited feature's creation status in a parent class (whether or not the feature is a creation method) has no bearing on its creation status in the child class.

Feature Adaptation

- Under inheritance a new class may share behaviour of a parent class, but may need to modify it
- Want to adapt features from PERSON that may not be quite appropriate for its subclasses



Eiffel Adaptation Mechanisms

- Renaming
 - » Rename P as Q
 - > Change the name of a feature from P to Q
- Redefining
 - » feature behaviour
- Changing
 - » export permissions
- Effecting
 - » implementing deferred features
- Undefine
 - **»** When a feature is not needed -- makes class deferred

Redefinition

- Consider class PERSON with a feature display
- Display mechanisms may not be appropriate for subclasses – different objects to display depending upon type
 - > Want to change semantics not syntax

```
class EMPLOYEE inherit PERSON
redefine display end
...
display is do
-- new display body here
end
...
end -- EMPLOYEE
```

Constraints on Redefinition

- You do not have complete freedom with redefinition
- Rules have to be obeyed in order to maintain substitutability and strong typing
- If you change a type in a redefinition it must be a subtype of the original
 - » Within that constraint, can change
 - > result type
 - > parameter types


Eiffel Redefinition Rules

- Function with no arguments can be redefined to an attribute but **NOT** vice-versa
 - » Assignment possible for attributes, not functions
- Redefined feature must type conform to the original
- Redefined feature must conform with respect to correctness to the original

> See this when we get to contracts

- Prefix a feature with **frozen** to prevent redefinition
- To execute the original definition within the redefinition use Precursor {parent_class} (...)
 - » Similar to super in Java
 - » Parent_class is used only for multiple inheritance to disambiguate which parent


Renaming vs Redefinition



class EMPLOYEE inherit PERSON rename display as p_display

feature { ANY }
 display is do ... end

end -- EMPLOYEE



class EMPLOYEE inherit PERSON redefine display end

feature { ANY }
 display is do ... end

end -- EMPLOYEE

Notes – Renaming vs Redefinition

- Renaming
 - » no formal connection between display features even though they have the same name
 - » Can change the contract !
- Redefining
 - » close connection between display features
- Using redefinition
 - » Essential for successful use of dynamic binding
 - » Cannot change the contract !

Redefining a Signature

- May change a signature to maintain correctness
- Consider a DEVICE class used to represent hardware that can be attached to a network.
 - » For every device there is an alternate used when the first is not available

```
class DEVICE feature

alternate : DEVICE

set_alternate ( a : DEVICE ) is

do

alternate := a

end


....

end -- DEVICE
```

Redefining a Signature – 2

• A PRINTER is a special kind of DEVICE

> should inherit from DEVICE but alternate can only be another PRINTER




Type Redeclaration Rule

- A redeclaration of a feature may replace the type of the feature (in an attribute or function) or the type of a formal argument (if a routine) by any type that conforms to the original
 - » See Redefining a Signature slides in the set on Inheritance and Adaptation
- While the rule guarantees proper typing inconsistencies can arise if types are not changed consistently
 - » Leads to use of Anchored Declarations
 - > The ability to define types relatively and not absolutely

Anchored Declaration

- Provide a shortcut for certain kinds of signature redefinitions
- Declarations can be made relative to an **anchor type** rather than providing an absolute declaration



end -- NODE

Anchored Declaration – Rules

- The base class of like anchor is
 - » the base class of the type of anchor in the current class
 - » If anchor is Current, then the base class is the enclosing class
- Can have recursive definition
 - » like anchor can be based on an anchored type
 - » Do not have cycles in the anchor chain no knots
- While like anchor conforms to its base class T, T does not conform to like anchor
 - » Problems occur if the anchor is redeclared in a subclass (see warning p603 CD, p604 book)

& Information Hiding Inheritance

- Inheritance and Information Hiding are orthogonal mechanisms
 - » If B inherits from A
 - > B is free to export or hide any feature it inherits in all possible combinations
 - » Need an export clause to change the export status from that of the parent

```
class B inherit

A

export { NONE } f end

export { ANY } g end

export { X, Y } h end

...

end

end

class B inherit

A

-- f is secret

-- g is public

-- h is selectively public

-- to X, Y and their descendants
```

© Gunnar Gotshalks

Interface & Implementation Use

Client	Inheritance
Use through interface	Use of implementation
Information hiding	No information hiding
Protection against changes in original implementation	No protection against changes in original implementation

Deferred Features and Classes

- Do not need nor always can define everything (fully implement) within a class
- Consider the **FIGURE** hierarchy
- Most general notion is **FIGURE**
- Ideally want to apply rotate and translate to any figure f letting dynamic binding select the appropriate method at run time
- Could define a rotate, but useless
 - » There is nothing to define
 - » Figure cannot provide even a default implementation

Deferred Features and Classes – 2

- Want to declare the existence of rotate and translate at the FIGURE level so all subtypes have these features available
- Let the actual descendants provide the specific implementation each type needs
- Such features are called deferred and classes containing at least one deferred feature are called deferred classes

```
rotate ( centre : POINT ; angle : REAL ) is
deferred
end
```

Effecting as feature

- In a proper descendent of **FIGURE** you will need to implement rotate
 - » Process is called effecting
- Deferred features are not redefined as there is no definition to modify

> Instead we redeclare them

```
class POLYGON inherit FIGURE
feature
rotate ( centre : POINT ; angle : REAL ) is
-- write the rotation algorithm here
end
```

...

end -- POLYGON

Undefining a feature

• Used when a feature is defined in a parent class but not needed or wanted in a child class

> Useful in multiple inheritance


- Undefining means
 - **»** Feature must not be usable in a child class
 - **» We still want substitutability**
 - **»** What if we call an undefined feature?
- Undefining is to make an effective feature deferred

class CIRCLE inherit ELLIPSE undefine rotate end

end -- POLYGON

Cannot instantiate a circle – has a deferred method

Redeclaration Table



Types and Modules – Dual Perspective

