Genericity

Parameterizing by Type

Generic Class

- One that is parameterized by type
  - » **Works when feature semantics is common to a set of types**
- On object declaration the parameter is assigned a type
  - » **For example**

    rowList : ARRAY [MATRIX_ELEMENT]
  - » **We want an array of pointers to matrix elements**
  - » **All the array operations for rowList are customized to use matrix elements**

Common Generic Classes

- Collection classes – classes that are collections of objects
  - » **Strong typing requires specifying a type**
  - » **But feature semantics is independent of type**

- Examples
  - » **Sets, Stacks, Arrays, Queues, Sequences**

    rowList : ARRAY [MATRIX_ELEMENT]

    rowList : ARRAY [INTEGER]

    rowList : ARRAY [STACK [ELEPHANTS]]

Your Generic Classes

- You can write generic classes
- Why is this useful?
  - » **Reuse**
    - > **The basic operations (e.g. extend) are the same.**
    - > **Do not have to re-write the same program text over and over again.**
  - » **Reliability**
    - > **Only write the program text once**

Generic Stack

```
class STACK [ G ] feature
    count : INTEGER -- number of elements

    empty : BOOLEAN is do ... end

    full : BOOLEAN is do ... end

    item : G is do ... end

    put ( x : G ) is do ... end

    remove is do ... end
end -- STACK
```

- Can use parameter **G** where ever a type is expected

Generic Array

```
class ARRAY [ P ]
create make
feature
   make ( minIndex , maxIndex : INTEGER ) is do ... end

   lower, upper, count : INTEGER

   put ( value : P ; index : INTEGER ) is do ... end

   infix "@" , item ( index : INTEGER ) : P  is do ... end

end -- ARRAY
```

Using the Generic Array

```
circus : ARRAY [ STACK [ ELEPHANTS ] ]
create circus . make ( 10 , 200 )

st_el : STACK [ ELEPHANTS ]   -- element to put in the array
create st_el

circus . put ( st_el , 30 )   -- put an element into the array

st_el2 : STACK [ ELEPHANTS ]

st_el2 := circus @ 101      -- get an element from the array
```

The Type Rule – no Genericity

- Assume class **C** has the feature **f (a : T) : U is ...**
- A call of the form **x . f (d)** appearing in an arbitrary class **B** where **x** is of type **C** is type-wise correct if and only if
  - » **f is available to B**
    - > **exported to B (generally or selectively)**
  - » **d is of type T**
    - > **With inheritance d can be a descendent of T**
  - » **The result is of type U**

The Type Rule – with Genericity

- Assume **C** is generic, with **G** as its parameter and has the feature **h (a : G) : G is ... end**
- A call to **h**, appearing in an arbitrary class **B**, will be of the form **y . h (e)** where **y** has been declared of type **C [V]**
- Then
  - » **h is available to B**
    - > **exported to B (generally or selectively)**
  - » **e must be a descendent of type V (V is a descendent of itself)**
  - » **The result is of type V**

Types of Genericity

- Types
  - » **Unconstrained**
  - » **Constrained**
- The previous examples showed unconstrained genericity
  - » **Any type could be passed as a parameter**

Constrained Genericity

- Used when the generic type parameters must satisfy some conditions
- The following makes sense only if **P** has the feature **≥**

```
class RHINO [ P ]   feature
   ...
   minimum ( x , y : P ) : P  is  do
      if  x  ≥  y  then
         Result := y
      else
         Result := x
      end
    ...
end
```

How we enforce constraints is discussed in Inheritance Techniques

Constrained Genericity – 2

- In general use the following syntax for constrained genericity
  - » **NAME [TYPE –> CONSTRAINING_TYPE , ...]**
    - > **DICTIONARY [G , H –> HASHABLE]**
- The –> indicates inheritance
  - » **H must be a type that inherits from HASHABLE**
- Inheritance guarantees the type passed has all the features one needs in the context of its use
- Unconstrained genericity is really written as follows
    - > **STACK [G –> ANY]**

Discussion on Genericity

- What programming languages offer genericity that you know of? Java? C++? Other?
- C++ has the template: Set < int > s ;
- Java had no genericity until v1.5. It is similar to C++.
- What is the effect of genericity on
  - » **compile time**
  - » **size of the generated code**
  - » **execution time**
  - » **execution space**
- **Warning**: generics cheap in Eiffel – expensive in C++

Does run-time vs. compile time matter?

- **Principle**: When flying a plane, run-time is too late to find out that you don't have landing gear!
- Always better to catch errors at compile time!
- This is the main purpose of Strong Typing [OOSC2, Chapter 17].
- Genericity helps to enforce Strong Typing, i.e. no run-time typing errors
  - » **LIST[INTEGER]**
  - » **LIST[BOOK]**
  - » **LIST[STRING]**