Defining Binary & Unary Operators

English-French Dictionary

- Can use compound terms to represent a dictionary
 - > list is a structure that contains an entry followed by the rest of the list

Illustrates how compound terms could be used

English-French Dictionary – 2

Define a custom member function for the list structure

```
member ( X , list ( X , _ ) ).
member ( X , list ( _ , L ) ) :- member ( X , L ).
```

English-French Dictionary – 3

 Here is a predicate that defines the correspondence between English and French words.

English-French Using Standard Lists

We could use the standard list structure.

```
> The standard member predicate
 member ( X , [ X I _ ] ).
 member (X, [ IR]) :- member (X, R).
> The translation predicate
 englishFrench2 (English, French):-
      member (entry (English, French),
               [ entry (book , livre ) ,
               entry (man, homme),
               entry (apple, pomme)]).
```

English-French Different Dictionaries

- We could change the rule to use a dictionary that holds the list structure
 - > It is easier to understand the rule

```
englishFrench3 ( English , French , Name) :-
    dictionary (Name , Dictionary ) ,
    member ( entry ( English , French) , Dictionary )
```

> where we have a fact defining the dictionary.
It is easier to change the dictionary and to use it in other contexts

Different Dictionaries

Use an infix member function

- The previous definition is not a natural way of representing the member function
- A more "natural" use of member is as an infix operator, as in the following
 - > Use the letter e to represent the mathematical symbol belongs to (∈)

```
englishFrench4 (English, French):-
entry(English,French) e [entry (book, livre),
entry (man, homme),
entry (apple, pomme)
].
```

Use an infix member function

The infix operator e can be defined as follows

```
:- op (500, xfy, [e]).
```

- > Later slides describe the meaning of the op predicate
- e is a new operator (predicate) so we must create rules that define what it means
 - > Since e is defined to be infix its rules use infix syntax
 - Note the similarity with the definition of the member predicate

```
X e [XI_].
X e [_IL] :- X e L.
```

Use an infix member function – 3

We can chose of the name of the operator

```
:- op( 500, xfy, [ belongs_to ] ).
X belongs_to [ X I _ ].
X belongs_to [ _ I L ] :- X belongs_to L .
englishFrench5 (English, French):-
    entry (English, French)
         belongs_to
             [entry (book, livre),
               entry (man, homme),
               entry (apple, pomme)
```

Bird – Mammal example

- Define some properties of animals
 - > Use syntax that is similar to natural language

```
:- op( 100, xfx, [ has , isa , flies ] ).
```

Animal has hair :- Animal isa mammal.

Animal has feathers :- Animal isa bird.

owl isa bird.
cat isa mammal.
dog isa mammal.

Example with mulitple precedence

- Plays and "and" are at different precedence levels.
- Define

```
:- op ( 300 , xfx , plays ).:- op ( 200 , xfy , and ).
```

Example use

Term1 = jimmy plays football and squash.

Term2 = susan plays tennis and basketball and volleyball.

© Gunnar Gotshalks DO-12

Example with mulitple precedence – 2

What is the internal stucture when using operators as in the following?

```
Term1 = jimmy plays football and squash.

Term2 = susan plays tennis and basketball and volleyball.
```

Recall that everything within Prolog is represented with compound terms, so we have ...

```
Term1 = plays ( jimmy , and ( football , squash) )

Term2 = plays ( susan , and ( tennis , and ( basketball , volleyball ) ) )
```

Example with mulitple precedence – 3

 DeMorgan's law – make predicate syntax look similar to standard mathematics

```
:- op( 800, xfx, <==> ).

:- op( 700, xfy, v ).

:- op( 600, xfy, & ).

:- op( 500, fy, ~ ).
```

Consider representing the following

```
\sim ( A & B ) <==> \simA v \simB. Uses the above
```

In standard Prolog, this could be represented as

Why have operators?

- Introduce operators to improve the readbility of programs
 - » Can be infix, prefix or postfix
- Operator definitions do not define any action, they only introduce new notation
 - » Operators are functors that hold together the components of compound terms or structures
- A programmer can define their own operators
 - » with their own precedence and associativity
 - » programmer defined operators can be merged in precedence and associativity with the Prolog builtin operators

op Predicate

 Define one or more operators with a given precedence, associativity

```
op ( precedence ,
    associativity ,
    symbol or symbol list
)
```

Pages 107..108 give a listing of the predicates defining the "standard" operators in Prolog

op Precedence component

- Precedence
 - » between 0 and 1200 the precedence class
 - » lower class numbers have higher priority
 - » higher priority implies do first
 - » Example

$$3 + 4 * 5 = 3 + (4 * 5)$$

- * (precedence class 400) has lower number than + (precedence class 500) so times is done first
- » Can always use () to force the order of using operators
 - > Useful when you do not know relative precedence or to make it clear to the reader

Expression Precedence Class

- Precedence class of base operand is 0.
- Precedence class of expression with operator, oper, is the precedence class of oper

op Associativity component

- Associativity
 - » Defines which operands belong to which operator when several operators are used in sequence
 - » For example in the following

```
A oper B
```

- > is oper a unary operator with operand A is oper a unary operator with operand B is oper a binary operator with operands A and B
- Can define oper as unary operator with ...

```
op (100, fy, oper). -- unary prefix op (100, fx, oper). -- unary prefix op (100, xf, oper). -- unary postfix op (100, yf, oper). -- unary postfix
```

Unary prefix associativity

- ♦ f y
- oper oper a . -- legal syntax
 - > oper a has equal precedence class with oper
 - y says operand of oper can have lower or equal precedence class
- \Diamond fx
- oper oper a. -- illegal syntax
 - > oper a has equal precedence class with oper
 - > x says operand of oper must have lower precedence class
- > must use () as follows oper (oper a).

Unary postfix associativity

```
♦ y f
     a oper oper . -- legal syntax
      > a oper has equal precedence class with oper
      > y says operand of oper can have lower or equal
        class
\Diamond x f
     a oper oper . -- illegal syntax
      > a oper has equal precedence class with oper
      > x says operand of oper must have lower
        precedence class
      > must use ()
     (a oper) oper.
```

op Associativity component – 2

Given

A oper B

Can define oper as a binary operator with ...

```
op ( 100 , xfy , oper ). -- right associative
op ( 100 , yfx , oper ). -- left associative
op ( 100 , xfx , oper ). -- evaluate both operands first
op ( 100 , yfy , oper ). -- not defined, ambiguous
```

Right associative operator

Define

```
:- op ( 100, xfy, op1).
```

- ♦ Test
 - > C becomes the full structure, L shows the substructure

C = 1 op1 2 op1 3 op1 4, C = ... L.

```
C = 1 op1 2 op1 3 op1 4
L = [op1 , 1 , 2 op1 3 op1 4]
```

- > Left most op1 is evaluated last
- > Apply recursively

Left associative operator

Define

```
:- op ( 200 , yfx , op2 ).
```

- ♦ Test
 - > C becomes the full structure, L shows the substructure

C = 1 op2 2 op2 3 op2 4, C = ... L.

```
C = 1 op2 2 op2 3 op2 4
L = [op2 , 1 op2 2 op2 3 , 4]
```

- > Right most op2 is evaluated last
- > Apply recursively

Evaluate both operands first

Define

```
:- op ( 300 , xfx , op3 ).
```

♦ Test

$$C = 1 \text{ op3 } 2 \text{ op3 } 3 \text{ op3 } 4$$
, $C = ... L$.

$$C = 1 \text{ op } 3 \text{ 2}$$

- « Syntax Error check operator precedences » op3 3 op3 4, C =.. L.
 - > Error because the middle op3 expects its operands to its left and right to have lower precedence class but they have equal precedence class

Evaluate both operands first – 2

Define

```
:- op ( 300 , xfx , op3 ).
```

♦ Test – with different operators to left and right of op3

$$C = 1 \text{ op1 } 2 \text{ op3 } 3 \text{ op2 } 4$$
 , $C = ... L$.

```
C = 1 \text{ op1 2 op3 3 op2 4}
```

$$L = [op3, 1 op1 2, 3 op2 4]$$

- > op1 and op2 are done first (higher priority, lower precedence class)
- > op3 is done last