# Defining <br> Binary \& Unary Operators 

## English-French Dictionary

$\diamond$ Can use compound terms to represent a dictionary
> list is a structure that contains an entry followed by the rest of the list
> For example list ( entry ( book, livre ), list ( entry ( man , homme) , list ( entry ( apple, pomme), empty ) ) )
$\diamond$ Illustrates how compound terms could be used

## English-French Dictionary - 2

$\diamond$ Define a custom member function for the list structure

member ( X , list ( X, _ ) ). member ( $\mathbf{X}$, list ( _ , L ) ) :- member ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{L}$ ).

## English-French Dictionary - 3

$\diamond$ Here is a predicate that defines the correspondence between English and French words.

englishFrench1( English , French ) :member ( entry (English , French ), list ( entry ( book, livre ), list ( entry ( man , homme) , list ( entry ( apple, pomme), empty ) ) ) )

## English-French Using Standard Lists

$\diamond$ We could use the standard list structure.
> The standard member predicate
member (X,[XI_]).
member ( $\mathrm{X},[\mathrm{I}$ I $]$ ) :- member ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{R}$ ).
> The translation predicate
englishFrench2 ( English , French ) :member ( entry ( English , French ),
[ entry (book, livre), entry ( man , homme ), entry ( apple , pomme )].

## English-French Different Dictionaries

$\diamond$ We could change the rule to use a dictionary that holds the list structure
> It is easier to understand the rule
englishFrench3 (English , French , Name) :dictionary (Name , Dictionary) , member ( entry (English, French), Dictionary )
> where we have a fact defining the dictionary. It is easier to change the dictionary and to use it in other contexts

## Different Dictionaries

dictionary(Name, D) :-

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Name = d1, } \mathrm{D}= & {[\text { entry ( book, livre ) }} \\
& \text { entry ( man , homme ) } \\
& \text { entry ( apple , pomme ) ]; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Name = d2, D = } & {[\text { entry ( book , koob ) , }} \\
& \text { entry ( man , nam ), } \\
& \text { entry ( apple , elppa ) ]. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Use an infix member function

$\diamond$ The previous definition is not a natural way of representing the member function
$\diamond$ A more "natural" use of member is as an infix operator, as in the following
> Use the letter e to represent the mathematical symbol belongs to ( $\mathrm{\square}$ )
englishFrench4 (English, French ) :entry(English,French) e [ entry (book, livre), entry ( man , homme) , entry ( apple , pomme)
].

## Use an infix member function

$\diamond$ The infix operator e can be defined as follows
:- op (500, xfy , [e]).
> Later slides describe the meaning of the op predicate
$\diamond \mathrm{e}$ is a new operator (predicate) so we must create rules that define what it means
> Since e is defined to be infix its rules use infix syntax
$>$ Note the similarity with the definition of the member predicate
$\left.X \operatorname{Cl} \mathrm{XI}_{-}\right]$.
Xe[_IL]:- XeL.

## Use an infix member function - 3

$\diamond$ We can chose of the name of the operator
:- op( 500, xfy, [ belongs_to ] ).

X belongs_to [ $\mathrm{X} \mathrm{I}_{-}$].
X belongs_to [ _ I L ] :- X belongs_to L.
englishFrench5 ( English , French ) :entry (English , French )
belongs_to
[ entry ( book, livre), entry ( man, homme), entry ( apple, pomme)
].

## Bird - Mammal example

$\diamond$ Define some properties of animals
> Use syntax that is similar to natural language
:- op( 100, xfx, [ has , isa , flies ] ).
Animal has hair :- Animal isa mammal.
Animal has feathers :- Animal isa bird.
owl isa bird.
cat isa mammal.
dog isa mammal.

## Example with mulitple precedence

$\diamond$ Plays and "and" are at different precedence levels.
$\diamond$ Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& :- \text { op ( } 300, \text { xfx , plays }) . \\
& :- \text { op ( } 200, x f y \text {, and }) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\diamond$ Example use
Term1 = jimmy plays football and squash.
Term2 $=$ susan plays tennis and basketball and volleyball.

## Example with mulitple precedence - 2

$\diamond$ What is the internal stucture when using operators as in the following?

Term1 = jimmy plays football and squash.
Term2 = susan plays tennis and basketball and volleyball.
$\diamond$ Recall that everything within Prolog is represented with compound terms, so we have ...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Term1 = plays ( jimmy , and ( football , squash) ) } \\
& \text { Term2 = plays ( susan , and ( tennis , } \\
& \text { and (basketball }, \\
& \text { volleyball ) ) ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example with mulitple precedence - 3

$\diamond$ DeMorgan's law - make predicate syntax look similar to standard mathematics

$$
\begin{aligned}
& :-\quad \text { op( 800, xfx, <==> ). } \\
& :-\quad \text { op( } 700, \text { xfy, v }) . \\
& :- \text { op( 600, xfy, \& ). } \\
& :-\quad \text { op( } 500, \text { fy, } \sim) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\diamond$ Consider representing the following
$\sim(A \& B)<==>\sim A \vee \sim B . \quad U s e s$ the above
$\diamond$ In standard Prolog, this could be represented as
equivalence ( not ( and (A, B ) ), or ( $\operatorname{not}(A), \operatorname{not}(B)))$.
$>$ or, directly use the internal form
'<==>' ( '~' ( '\&' ( A , B ) ), 'v ' ( '~' ( A ) , '~' ( B ) ) ).

## Why have operators?

$\diamond$ Introduce operators to improve the readbility of programs
" Can be infix, prefix or postfix
$\diamond$ Operator definitions do not define any action, they only introduce new notation
" Operators are functors that hold together the components of compound terms or structures
$\diamond$ A programmer can define their own operators
" with their own precedence and associativity
" programmer defined operators can be merged in precedence and associativity with the Prolog builtin operators

## op Predicate

$\diamond$ Define one or more operators with a given precedence, associativity

```
op ( precedence,
associativity,
symbol or symbol list
)
```

$\diamond$ Pages 107.. 108 give a listing of the predicates defining the "standard" operators in Prolog

## op Precedence component

$\diamond$ Precedence
» between 0 and 1200 - the precedence class
» lower class numbers have higher priority
> higher priority implies do first
" Example
$3+4$ * $5=3+(4$ * 5 )
》 * (precedence class 400) has lower number than + (precedence class 500) so times is done first
» Can always use () to force the order of using operators
> Useful when you do not know relative precedence or to make it clear to the reader

## Expression Precedence Class

$\diamond$ Precedence class of base operand is 0 .
$\diamond$ Precedence class of expression with operator, oper, is the precedence class of oper

## op Associativity component

$\diamond$ Associativity
" Defines which operands belong to which operator when several operators are used in sequence
» For example in the following
A oper B
$>$ is oper a unary operator with operand A
is oper a unary operator with operand $B$
is oper a binary operator with operands $A$ and $B$
$\diamond$ Can define oper as unary operator with ... op ( 100 , fy , oper ). -- unary prefix op ( 100 , fx , oper ). -- unary prefix op ( 100 , xf , oper ). -- unary postfix op ( 100 , yf , oper ). -- unary postfix

## Unary prefix associativity

$\diamond f y$
oper oper a . -- legal syntax
> oper a has equal precedence class with oper
$>y$ says operand of oper can have lower or equal precedence class
$\diamond f x$
oper oper a. -- illegal syntax
> oper a has equal precedence class with oper
> x says operand of oper must have lower precedence class
> must use () as follows
oper (oper a).

## Unary postfix associativity

$\diamond \mathrm{yf}$

> a oper oper . -- legal syntax
> a oper has equal precedence class with oper
$>y$ says operand of oper can have lower or equal class
$\diamond x f$
a oper oper . -- illegal syntax
> a oper has equal precedence class with oper
> x says operand of oper must have lower precedence class
> must use ()
( a oper ) oper .

## op Associativity component - 2

$\diamond$ Given
A oper B
$\diamond$ Can define oper as a binary operator with ...
op ( 100 , xfy , oper ). -- right associative
op ( 100 , yfx , oper ). -- left associative
op ( 100 , xfx , oper ). -- evaluate both operands first op ( 100 , yfy , oper ). -- not defined, ambiguous

## Right associative operator

$\diamond$ Define

$$
\text { :- op ( } 100 \text {, xfy , op1 ). }
$$

$\diamond$ Test
> C becomes the full structure, L shows the substructure
C = 1 op1 2 op1 3 op1 $4, C=. . L$.
$\diamond$ Result

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C} & =1 \text { op1 } 2 \text { op1 } 3 \text { op1 } 4 \\
\mathrm{~L} & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\text { op1 }, & 1, & 2 & \text { op1 } 3 \text { op1 } 4
\end{array}\right] \\
& >\text { Left most op1 is evaluated last } \\
& >\text { Apply recursively }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Left associative operator

$\diamond$ Define

$$
\text { :- op ( } 200 \text {, yfx , op2 ). }
$$

$\diamond$ Test
> C becomes the full structure, L shows the substructure

$$
\text { C = } 1 \text { op2 } 2 \text { op2 } 3 \text { op2 } 4 \text {, C =.. L. }
$$

$\diamond$ Result

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C} & =1 \text { op2 } 2 \text { op2 } 3 \text { op2 } 4 \\
\mathrm{~L} & =[\text { op2, } 1 \text { op2 } 2 \text { op2 } 3,4] \\
& >\text { Right most op2 is evaluated last } \\
& >\text { Apply recursively }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Evaluate both operands first

$\diamond$ Define

$$
\text { :- op ( } 300 \text {, xfx , op3 ). }
$$

$\diamond$ Test

$$
\text { C = } 1 \text { op3 } 2 \text { op3 } 3 \text { op3 } 4, C=. . L .
$$

$\diamond$ Result

$$
\text { C = } 1 \text { op3 } 2
$$

«Syntax Error - check operator precedences » op3
3 op3 4, C =.. L.
> Error because the middle op3 expects its operands to its left and right to have lower precedence class but they have equal precedence class

## Evaluate both operands first - 2

$\diamond$ Define

$$
\text { :- op ( } 300 \text {, xfx , op3 ). }
$$

$\diamond$ Test - with different operators to left and right of op3

$$
\text { C = } 1 \text { op1 } 2 \text { op3 } 3 \text { op2 } 4 \text {, C =.. L. }
$$

$\diamond$ Result

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C} & =1 \text { op1 } 2 \text { op3 } 3 \text { op2 } 4 \\
\mathrm{~L} & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { op3 }, 1 \text { op1 } 2,3 \text { op2 } 4
\end{array}\right] \\
& >\text { op1 and op2 are done first (higher priority, lower } \\
& \text { precedence class) } \\
& >\text { op3 is done last }
\end{aligned}
$$

