



OO Integration Testing

Chapter 18



Questions

- **What assumption is made for integration testing?**
- **How does OO unit definition alter integration testing?**
- **What choices do we have with integration testing?**
- **What information is needed for integration testing?**



Overview

- Assume unit level testing is complete
- For OO have two choices for unit
 - **For method is a unit**
 - **Need to integrate within the class**
 - Does occur with classes that have multiple designers / implementers
 - **Need to integrate classes**
 - **For class is a unit**
 - **Need to unflatten classes**
 - **Need to remove test methods**



Overview – 2

- Static and dynamic choices
- Address polymorphism statically
 - **Test for each polymorphic context**
- Dynamic view is more challenging



Information environment

- Class definitions
 - **Source text**
- Static model
 - **Inheritances & uses structure**
- Dynamic model
 - **Use cases**
 - **Finite state machines – Petri nets**
 - **Class communication – message passing**
 - **Statecharts are not useful**



Class communication

- Collaboration diagrams
 - **Annotated call graph – Figure 18.1**
- Sequence diagrams
 - **Finite state machines with time axis – Figure 18.2**
 - **States**
 - Classes – regular grain
 - Methods – fine grain
 - **Transitions are messages sending**
 - **Close analogy with MM-paths**



Integration types

- Pair-wise
 - **Too much extra work with stubs and drivers**
- Neighbourhood
 - **Using collaboration diagrams can cause problems**
 - **Some neighbourhoods may include most classes**
 - **Some neighbourhoods may be only two classes**
 - **Need better definition**
 - **Centers of a graph**
 - **Minimize maximum distance to other nodes**
 - Analogy with ripples from dropping something in water
 - **Neighbourhood grows from center**
 - Less stubs
 - Less diagnostic precision



MM-paths

- MM-path in OO
 - **A sequence of method executions linked by messages**
 - **Start at any class**
 - **End at message quiescence**
 - At class that does not send any messages
 - **Largest integration level**
 - **Classes implement atomic system function**
 - **Stimulus / response pair of port-level events**



Atomic System Function

- An MM-path
 - **Begins with an input port event**
 - **Ends with an output port event**
- Begin and end at event quiescence
- Addresses event-driven nature of OO programs
- At the boundary of integration and system testing



Data flow testing

- MM-paths, like DD-paths, are insufficient
- Data values add complexity
 - **Come from inheritance**
 - **Come from stages of message passing**
- Program graphs are basis but are too simple
 - **Need event and message driven Petri nets**

Event & Message driven Petri nets (EMDPN)

- P – set of port events




- D – set of data places



- M – set of message places

- **Output for sender**
- **Input for receiver**



- T – set of transitions





EMDPN – 2

- In – set of edges to transitions
 - $(P \cup D \cup M) \leftrightarrow T$
 - **It is a relation between places and transitions**
 - **If deterministic then it is a function from places to transitions**
- Out – set of edges from transitions
 - $T \leftrightarrow (P \cup D \cup M)$
- Define / use paths (du-paths)
 - **Focus on connectivity**
 - **Ignore types of nodes**



Inheritance-induced data flow

- Begins with a data place
- Ends with a data place
- Data places alternate with isA transitions
 - **isA transitions are degenerate execution paths**
 - **Implement inheritance**

See Figure 18.8



Message-induced data flow

- Set of transitions
 - **Start with defining transition**
 - **End with use transition**
- Can be definition clear or not definition clear

See Figure 18.9



Slices

- Useful if executable
 - **Difficult to do in OO environment**
- Can be used for desk checking for fault location