Defining Binary & Unary Operators

© Gunnar Gotshalks

## **English-French Dictionary**

Can use compound terms to represent a dictionary

> list is a structure that contains an entry followed by the rest of the list

> For example

list ( entry ( book , livre ) ,
 list ( entry ( man , homme ) ,
 list ( entry ( apple , pomme ) ,
 empty ) ) )

Illustrates how compound terms could be used

# **English-French Dictionary – 2**

Oblight Obl

member ( X , list ( X , \_ ) ).
member ( X , list ( \_ , L ) ) :- member ( X , L ).

# **English-French Dictionary – 3**

 Here is a predicate that defines the correspondence between English and French words.

## **English-French Using Standard Lists**

We could use the standard list structure.

> The standard member predicate member ( X , [ X I \_ ] ). member ( X , [ \_ I R ] ) :- member ( X , R ). > The translation predicate englishFrench2 ( English , French ) :member ( entry ( English , French ) :-[ entry ( book , livre ) , entry ( man , homme ), entry ( apple , pomme ) ] ).

## **English-French Different Dictionaries**

 We could change the rule to use a dictionary that holds the list structure

> It is easier to understand the rule

englishFrench3 (English, French, Name) :dictionary (Name, Dictionary), member (entry (English, French), Dictionary)

> where we have a fact defining the dictionary. It is easier to change the dictionary and to use it in other contexts

## **Different Dictionaries**

Name = d2, D = [ entry ( book, koob ), entry ( man, nam ), entry ( apple, elppa ) ].

## Use an infix member function

- The previous definition is not a natural way of representing the member function
- A more "natural" use of member is as an infix operator, as in the following

> Use the letter e to represent the mathematical symbol belongs to ( $\in$ )

```
englishFrench4 ( English , French ) :-
entry(English,French) e [ entry (book , livre) ,
entry ( man , homme ) ,
entry ( apple , pomme)
].
```

### Use an infix member function

The infix operator e can be defined as follows

:- op ( 500 , xfy , [ e ] ).

- > Later slides describe the meaning of the op predicate
- e is a new operator (predicate) so we must create rules that define what it means
  - > Since e is defined to be infix its rules use infix syntax
  - > Note the similarity with the definition of the member predicate

```
X e [XI_].
```

## Use an infix member function – 3

```
    We can chose of the name of the operator

            op( 500, xfy, [ belongs_to ] ).

    X belongs_to [ X I _ ].
    X belongs_to [ _ I L ] :- X belongs_to L .
    englishFrench5 ( English , French ) :-

            entry ( English , French )
            belongs_to [
```

```
belongs_to
[ entry ( book , livre ) ,
entry ( man , homme ) ,
entry ( apple , pomme )
].
```

# **Bird – Mammal example**

Of Define some properties of animals

> Use syntax that is more similar to natural language

:- op( 100, xfx, [ has , isa , flies ] ).

Animal has hair :- Animal isa mammal.

Animal has feathers :- Animal is bird.

owl isa bird. cat isa mammal. dog isa mammal.

#### Example with mulitple precedence

- Plays and "and" are at different precedence levels.
- Object to the second second

```
:- op ( 300 , xfx , plays ).
:- op ( 200 , xfy , and ).
```

Example use

Term1 = jimmy plays football and squash. Term2 = susan plays tennis and basketball and volleyball.

## Example with mulitple precedence – 2

What is the internal stucture when using operators as in the following?

```
Term1 = jimmy plays football and squash.
Term2 = susan plays tennis and basketball
and volleyball.
```

Recall that everything within Prolog is represented with compound terms, so we have ...

```
Term1 = plays ( jimmy , and ( football , squash) )
Term2 = plays ( susan , and ( tennis ,
and ( basketball ,
volleyball ) ) )
```

#### **Example with mulitple precedence – 3**

- DeMorgan's law make predicate syntax look more similar to standard mathematics
  - :- op( 800, xfx, <==> ).
    :- op( 700, xfy, v ).
    :- op( 600, xfy, & ).
  - :- op( 500, fy, ~ ).
- Consider representing the following

~(A & B) <==> ~A v ~B. Uses the above

 In standard Prolog, this could be represented as
 equivalence (not (and (A, B)), or (not (A), not (B))).
 > or, directly use the internal form
 '<==>' ( '~' ( '&' (A, B)), 'v ' ( '~' (A), '~' (B))).

# Why have operators?

- Introduce operators to improve the readbility of programs
   **Can be infix, prefix or postfix**
- Operator definitions do not define any action, they only introduce new notation
  - » Operators are functors that hold together the components of compound terms or structures
- ♦ A programmer can define their own operators
  - » with their own precedence and associativity
  - » programmer defined operators can be merged in precedence and associativity with the Prolog builtin operators

## op Predicate

 Define one or more operators with a given precedence, associativity

```
op ( precedence ,
associativity ,
symbol or symbol list
)
```

 Pages 107..108 give a listing of the predicates defining the "standard" operators in Prolog

#### op Precedence component

- Precedence
  - » between 0 and 1200 the precedence class
  - » lower class numbers have higher priority
  - » higher priority implies do first
  - » Example

3 + 4 \* 5 = 3 + (4 \* 5)

- » \* (precedence class 400) has lower number than + (precedence class 500) so times is done first
- » Can always use () to force the order of using operators
  - > Useful when you do not know relative precedence or to make it clear to the reader

#### **Expression Precedence Class**

- Precedence class of base operand is 0.
- Precedence class of expression with operator, oper, is the precedence class of oper

# op Associativity component

- ♦ Associativity
  - » Defines which operands belong to which operator when several operators are used in sequence
  - » For example in the following
    - A oper B
      - > is oper a unary operator with operand A is oper a unary operator with operand B is oper a binary operator with operands A and B
- ♦ Can define oper as unary operator with ...

op (100, fy, oper). -- unary prefix op (100, fx, oper). -- unary prefix op (100, xf, oper). -- unary postfix op (100, yf, oper). -- unary postfix

# Unary prefix associativity

♦ fy

oper oper a . -- legal syntax

- > oper a has equal precedence class with oper
- > y says operand of oper can have lower or equal precedence class

♦ f x

oper oper a. -- illegal syntax
> oper a has equal precedence class with oper
> x says operand of oper must have lower
precedence class
> must use () as follows

oper (oper a).

## Unary postfix associativity

♦ yf

a oper oper . -- legal syntax

- > a oper has equal precedence class with oper
- > y says operand of oper can have lower or equal class

♦ x f

a oper oper . -- illegal syntax
> a oper has equal precedence class with oper
> x says operand of oper must have lower
precedence class
> must use ()
( a oper ) oper .

# op Associativity component – 2

Given  $\Diamond$ 

#### A oper B

Can define oper as a binary operator with ...  $\Diamond$ 

op (100, xfy, oper). -- right associative

op (100, yfx, oper). -- left associative

- op (100, xfx, oper). -- evaluate both operands first
- op (100, yfy, oper). -- not defined, ambiguous

## **Right associative operator**

Object to be defined

```
:- op (100, xfy, op1).
```

♦ Test

> C becomes the full structure, L shows the substructure

C = 1 op1 2 op1 3 op1 4 , C =.. L.

Result

C = 1 op1 2 op1 3 op1 4 L = [ op1 , 1 , 2 op1 3 op1 4 ] > Left most op1 is evaluated last > Apply recursively

## Left associative operator

Object to the second second

```
:- op ( 200 , yfx , op2 ).
```

♦ Test

> C becomes the full structure, L shows the substructure

C = 1 op2 2 op2 3 op2 4 , C =.. L.

Result

C = 1 op2 2 op2 3 op2 4 L = [ op2 , 1 op2 2 op2 3 , 4 ] > Right most op2 is evaluated last > Apply recursively

## **Evaluate both operands first**

Object to be defined

```
:- op ( 300 , xfx , op3 ).
```

♦ Test

C = 1 op3 2 op3 3 op3 4 , C =.. L.

Result

C = 1 op3 2

« Syntax Error - check operator precedences » op3 3 op3 4 , C =.. L.

> Error because the middle op3 expects its operands to its left and right to have lower precedence class but they have equal precedence class

## **Evaluate both operands first – 2**

Oblight Obl

:- op ( 300 , xfx , op3 ).

Test – with different operators to left and right of op3
 C = 1 op1 2 op3 3 op2 4 , C =.. L.

Result

C = 1 op1 2 op3 3 op2 4 L = [ op3 , 1 op1 2 , 3 op2 4 ] > op1 and op2 are done first (higher priority, lower precedence class)

> op3 is done last