## Utility programs

# In utilities.pro discussed at various times throughout rest of the course 

Most are renamed with _op extension because they cannot be redefined in modern Prolog interpreters.

## member (I, L )

$\diamond$ Item I is a member of the list L .
> Reduce the list - second rule until first in list - first rule. or empty - no rule so fail -

> member $\left(X,\left[X I \_\right]\right)$.
> member $\left(X,\left[I_{Z}\right]\right):-\operatorname{member}(X, Z)$.
$\diamond$ Note the use of the anonymous variable _
» We do not care about the value of the rest in the first rule, nor the value of first in the second rule
> Typically use it when it is the only instance of that variable in the rule

## append (L1, L2, R )

$\diamond R$ is the result of appending list $L 2$ to the end of list $L 1$. append ([], L, L ).

- Appending to nil yields the original list.
append ([ X I L1 ], L2 , [ X I L3] ) :- append (L1, L2, L3).
> Simultaneous recursive descent on L1 \& L3 first of the left list is the first of the result.


## Pattern

L1 =abc L2 = $2345 \quad$ L3 $=a b c 2345$
Xabc2345 L1 II L2
Xabc2345 L3

## append (L1, L2, R ) - 2

$\diamond$ Queries - ask for results in all combinations. Not like Java or $C$ where functions are programmed for only one query
append ([1, 2, 3], [a, b, c ], R ).
$>$ What is the result of appending L1 and L2?
append (L1, $[a, b, c],[1,2,3, a, b, c])$.
$>$ What L1 gives $[1,2,3, a, b, c$ ] when appended with [a, b, c ] ?
append ( $[1,2,3]$, L2 , $[1,2,3, a, b, c])$.
> What L2 gives [1, 2, 3, a , b, c ] when appended to [1, 2, 3]?

## append (L1, L2, R ) - 3

append (L1, L2 , [1, 2, 3, a , b, c ] ).
> What L1 and L2 gives [ $1,2,3$, $a, b, c$ ] when L 2 is appended to L 1 ?
append ( L1, L2, R ).
$>$ What L1 and L2 give R? Infinite number of answers
append (Before, [Middle I After], List ).
$>$ If middle is defined we can get the before and after append ( Before , [4 I After] , [1,2,3,4,5,6,7] ).

## Trace - append ( P, [ a ] , [1, 2, 3, a ] )

$\diamond$ Variables are renamed every time a rule is used for matching

```
append ([], L, L ).
append([ XIL1], L2, [ X I L3 ])
                :- append( L1, L2, L3).
```

$\diamond$ Try to match rule 1

$$
\mathrm{P}=[] \quad[\mathrm{a}]=\mathrm{L}_{-} 1 \quad[1,2,3, \mathrm{a}]=\mathrm{L}_{-} 1
$$

$\diamond 1$ - Fail, try to match rule 2

$$
\mathrm{P}=\left[\mathrm{X} \_2 \mid \mathrm{L} 1 \_2\right] \quad[\mathrm{a}]=\mathrm{L} 2 \_2 \quad[1,2,3, \mathrm{a}]=\left[\mathrm{X} \_2 \mid\right. \text { L3_2] }
$$

> Succeed with X_2 = $1 \quad$ L2_2 = [a] L3_2 = [2,3,a]

## Trace-append ( $\mathrm{P},[\mathrm{a}$ ],[1,2,3, a ])-2

```
append ([], L , L ).
append([ XIL1 ], L2, [ X I L3 ])
    :- append( L1 , L2 , L3 ).
```

$\diamond$ Try to match rule 1 append(L1_2, [a], [2,3,a])

$$
\mathrm{L} 1 \_2=[] \quad[\mathrm{a}]=\mathrm{L} \_3 \quad[2,3, \mathrm{a}]=\mathrm{L} \_3
$$

$\diamond 2$ - Fail, try to match rule 2
L1_2 = [X_4 | L1_4] L2_4 = [a] [2,3,a] = [X_4 | L3_4]

》 Succeed with X_4=2 L2_4 = [a] L3_4 = [3,a]
$\diamond$ Try to match rule 1 append(L1_4, [a], [3,a])

$$
\text { L1 } \_4=[] \quad[a]=L \_5 \quad[3, a]=L \_5
$$

## Trace-append (P, [a],[1,2,3, a ])-3

```
append ([], L, L ).
append([ XIL1 ], L2, [ X I L3 ])
                :- append(L1, L2, L3).
```

$\diamond 3$ - Fail, try to match rule 2
L1_4 = [X_6 | L1_6] [a] = L2_6 [3,a] = [X_6 | L3_6]

》 Succeed with X_6=3 L2_6 = [a] L3_6 = [a]
$\diamond$ Try to match rule 1 append(L1_6, [a], [a])

$$
\text { L1_6 = [] } \quad[\mathrm{a}]=\mathrm{L} \_7 \quad[\mathrm{a}]=\mathrm{L} \_7
$$

$\diamond$ Succeed, recursion stops, backtrack and substitute values

## Trace-append ( $\mathrm{P},[\mathrm{a}$ ],[1, 2, 3, a ] ) - 4

$\diamond$ In step 3

$$
\text { L1_4 = [ } 3 \text { | [] ] = [3] }
$$

$\diamond$ In step 2 we had

$$
\text { L1_2 = [X_4 | L1_4] L2_4 = [a] }[2,3, a]=\left[X \_4 \mid \text { L3_4 }\right]
$$

》 Succeed with X_4 = 2 L2_4 = [a] L3_4 = [3,a]
> and from Step 3 L1_4 = [3]
» Thus L1_2 = [2, 3]
$\diamond$ In step 1 we had

$$
P=\left[X \_2 \mid L 1 \_2\right] \quad[a]=L 2 \_2 \quad[a, 1,2,3]=\left[X \_2 \mid L 3 \_2\right]
$$

> Succeed with X_2 = $1 \quad$ L2_2 = [a] L3_2 = [2,3,a]
> and from Step 2 L1_2 = [2, 3]
> Thus $P=[1,2,3]$

## delete (I, L, R )

$\diamond R$ is the result of deleting item I from the list $L$.
delete ( $\mathrm{X},[\mathrm{XI} \mathrm{Y}], \mathrm{Y}$ ).
> Like saying L = (cons (car L) (cdr L) ) in Lisp
delete ( X , [ Y I W ] , [ Y I Z ] ) :- delete (X, W, Z ).
> Check the rest of the list if not the first item. Analogous to
( cons (car L) ( recurse (cdr L) ) in Lisp

## prefix ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ )

$\diamond P$ is the prefix of the list $L$. It can be defined using append as follows.
prefix ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{L}$ ) :- $\operatorname{append}(\mathrm{P}, \quad, \quad \mathrm{L})$.
$>P$ is a prefix of $L$ if something, including nil, can be suffixed to P to form L .

## prefix ( $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}$ ) - $\mathbf{2}$

$\diamond$ We can define prefix in terms of itself as follows.

| List Prefix | PPPPPPXXXXX | ==> | xxxxx |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | YYYYYy | - | Empty |
| exhausted. ${ }^{\text {^^^^^^^ }}$ Check equality until Prefix is |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

$\diamond$ The base case is having the empty list as the prefix. prefix ([], _).
$\diamond$ The recursive case is having the first items on the prefix and the list being the same and the reduced prefix and list satisfy the prefix property.
prefix ([AIB],[AIC]):- prefix (B,C ).

## suffix ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{L}$ )

$\diamond S$ is the suffix of the list $L$. It can be defined using append as follows.
suffix (S, L) :- append (_, S L ).
$>S$ is a suffix of $L$ if something, including nil, can be prefixed to $S$ to form $L$.

## suffix ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{L}$ ) - 2

$\diamond$ We can define suffix in terms of itself as follows.

| List | PPPPPPXXXXX | $==>$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Suffix | XXXXX |  |
|  | ^^^^^^^YYY | YYYYY |
|  | Reduce the prefix part of the List. |  |

$\diamond$ In the base case the suffix is the list.
suffix ( L, L ).
$\diamond$ The recursive case is to reduce the size of the prefix of the list.

suffix ( S , [_IL]) :- suffix ( S , L ).

## sublist ( S , L )

$\diamond S$ is a sublist of $L$ can be defined using append as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { sublist }(S, L):- & \text { append }(-, S, L t), \\
& \text { append }(L t,-, L) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$>S$ is a sublist of $L$ if something, including nil, can be prefixed to $S$ to form the list Lt
> And something, including nil, can be suffixed to Lt to form L.
$\diamond$ In other words, $S$ is a sublist of $L$ if there exists a prefix $P$ to $S$ and a suffix $T$ to $S$ such that $L=P$ II $S$ II T
> where II means concatenation.

## sublist(S,L)

$\diamond$ We can define sublist in terms of itself and prefix as follows.

| List PPPPSSSSSXXXXXX | $==>$ | SSSSSXXXXXX |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sublist $\quad$ YYYYY |  | YYYYY |

^^^^ Reduce the prefix part of the List.
$\diamond$ In the base case the suffix is prefix of the list. sublist ( S , L) :- prefix ( S, L ).
$\diamond$ The recursive case is to reduce the size of the prefix of the list.
sublist (S , [_IL]) :- sublist (S , L ).

