

## Exercises

**Exercise 1.** We'll add a little bit to the car example we saw in the class: first, define the following additional variables:

$$c_{moves} - \text{true if the car moves}$$

$$t_{ok} - \text{true if the transmission is in working condition.}$$

Now, consider the following problem description  $P$ :

$$b_{ok} \wedge s_{on} \wedge e_{ok} \rightarrow e_{works} - \text{if battery ok and switch on and engine ok, then engine works}$$

$$e_{works} \wedge t_{ok} \rightarrow c_{moves} - \text{if engine works and transmission is ok, then car moves}$$

$$s_{on} \wedge \neg c_{moves} - \text{the switch is on but car doesn't move}$$

And the following goal  $g$ :

$$(b_{ok} \wedge e_{ok}) \rightarrow \neg t_{ok} - \text{if battery and engine are ok, then transmission must be broken}$$

Show that  $P \rightarrow g$  using resolution.

**Exercise 2.** For each of the following formulas, first convert the formula to prenex normal form, then eliminate all existential quantifiers via skolemization:

- (a)  $\forall Y((\forall X p(X, Y)) \rightarrow \exists Z q(X, Z))$
- (b)  $(\exists X \forall Y p(X, Y)) \vee \neg \exists Y (q(Y) \rightarrow \forall Z r(Z))$

**Exercise 3.** How many different clauses can be constructed out of  $n$  propositional variables? Assume that the same variable may not appear twice in a clause (neither with the same, nor with opposite polarity). For example for  $n = 2$  all possible clauses are:  $\neg$ ,  $p_1$ ,  $\neg p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $\neg p_2$ ,  $p_1 \vee p_2$ ,  $p_1 \vee \neg p_2$ ,  $\neg p_1 \vee p_2$ ,  $\neg p_1 \vee \neg p_2$ , and the answer is 9.

*Solutions are on the next page*

## Solutions

**Exercise 1** The clause set for resolution is

$$\begin{aligned} e_{works} & :- b_{ok}, s_{on}, e_{ok} \\ c_{moves} & :- e_{works}, t_{ok} \\ s_{on} & :- \\ & :- c_{moves} \\ b_{ok} & :- \\ e_{ok} & :- \\ t_{ok} & :- \end{aligned}$$

There are many different resolution refutations – if you get an empty clause at the end, then its correct ;-). If in doubt – email it to me, I will check it. Try to come up with the linear refutation (i.e. start with  $:- c_{moves}$ ).

### Exercise 2

(a) Prenex normal form:

$$\forall Y \exists T \exists Z (\neg p(T, Y) \vee q(X, Z)).$$

Note that you may have a different variable instead of  $T$ . After skolemization:

$$\forall Y (\neg p(f(Y), Y) \vee q(X, h(Y))).$$

Again, you may have used other symbols instead of  $f$  and  $h$ .

(b) Prenex normal form:

$$\exists X \forall T \forall Y \exists Z (p(X, T) \vee (q(Y) \wedge \neg r(Z))).$$

After skolemization:

$$\forall T \forall Y (p(c, T) \vee (q(Y) \wedge \neg r(f(T, Y))).$$

Again, you may have used different symbols in the above formulas.

### Exercise 3

Send me your answer by email.