Design Patterns

Design Pattern Detection

- A design pattern systematically names, explains and evaluates an important and recurring design problem and its solution
- Good designers know not to solve every problem from first principles
 - They reuse solutions
- This is very different from code reuse

Design Patterns - Definition From the Gang of Four textbook

Design patterns are descriptions of communicating objects and classes that are customized to solve a general design problem in a particular context



Essential Elements of a Design Pattern

- Name
 - · Naming a pattern increases our design vocabulary
- Problem
 - When to apply the pattern
- Solution
 - Elements that make up the design, their relationships, responsibilities, and collaborations
- Consequences
 - Results and trade-offs of applying the pattern

How Design Patterns Solve Design Problems

- Finding appropriate objects
- Determining object granularity
- Specifying object interfaces
- Specifying object implementations
- Putting reuse mechanisms to work
 - Inheritance vs. Composition
 - Delegation
- Designing for change

Pattern Benefits

- Enable large scale reuse of software architectures
- Explicitly capture expert knowledge and design trade-offs
- Help improve developer communication
- Help ease the transition to OO methods

Pattern Drawbacks

- Patterns do not lead to direct code reuse
- Patterns are often deceptively simple
- · You may suffer from pattern overload
- Patterns must be validated by experience and debate rather than automated testing
- Integrating patterns into a process is human intensive rather than a technical activity

- Name
- Intent
 What does the pattern do? What problems does it address?
- Motivation
 - A scenario of pattern applicability
- Applicability
 - In which situations can this pattern be applied
- Participants
 - Describe participating classes/objects

Pattern Description Template (cont.)

- Collaborations
 - How do the participants carry out their responsibilities?
- Diagram
 - Graphical representation of the pattern
- Consequences
 - How does the pattern support its objectives?
- Implementation
 - Pitfalls, language specific issues
- Examples

Classification

Structural

- Deal with decoupling interface and implementation of classes and objects
- Behavioural
 - Deal with dynamic interaction among collections of classes and objects

Creational

Deal with initializing and configuring collections of classes and objects

Detecting design patterns

- A difficult task
- · Patterns are primarily a literary form
- No rigorous mathematical definitions
- Automatic detection beyond the state of the art of Artificial Intelligence
- Instead, detect the artifacts of implementing the solution of the design pattern
- Purely structural patterns are easier to detect
- Purely behavioural patterns are much harder
- Most patterns are somewhere in the middle

Template solution

A template solution needs to be both

Distinctive

 The static structure is not likely to be represented in a design that does not use the pattern

Unambiguous

- Can only be done in one way (or in a small number of variants)
- An object adapter is unambiguous but not distinctive

Pattern Description Template

Object Adapter Static Structure



Composite vs. Decorator

- A Decorator is sometimes referred to as a degenerate Composite.
- The static structure of the two patterns is very similar
- The dynamic behaviour is also the same
- Static difference: A Composite contains a collection of Components, while a Decorator contains only one
- Intent difference: The Composite pattern groups components into a whole. The Decorator patterns enhances the responsibility of a component.

State vs. Strategy

- Both patterns allow flexible choice from a set of alternatives
- In their simple variants, the static structure and the dynamic behaviour are exactly the same
- The difference: Choosing a particular behaviour (State) vs. choosing a particular algorithm (Strategy)

- Analysis synergy
 - Both static and dynamic analysis are necessary in order to detect patterns
 - Static analysis
 - The static structure of the pattern has to match a subgraph of the static structure of the software system
 - Dynamic analysis
 - Message passing during run-time has to match the message flow
 that implements the behaviour of the pattern

Design Pattern Instances

- Each design pattern has a fixed set of roles, e.g. in the Adapter pattern, there is a Client, a Target, an Adapter, and an Adaptee
- Every detection technique attempts to discover instances of the design pattern in the software system being examined
- A design pattern *instance* is a set of classes that match the roles

Design Pattern Detection Research Issues

- False positive elimination
 - The precision of most published approaches is quite poor, often below 50%
- Dealing with Variants
 - Patterns are conceptual. Their implementation may vary considerably depending on the specific context
- Counting instances
 - Different detection approaches do it differently

- PDE is a tool that collects static and dynamic facts from a system written in Java and detects design patterns in it
- It will be installed on indigo by the end of the month
- A possible course project is to apply PDE to an open source system and evaluate the results

• Every pattern has a static definition, e.g. uses client target

PDE - Static analysis

- inherits adapter target uses adapter adaptee
- Javex and grok are used to extract static facts such as

uses ClassA ClassB inherits ClassC ClassB uses ClassC ClassD

· QL matches the static definition to the static facts

PDE - Dynamic analysis

• Every pattern has a dynamic definition in XML

```
<entry className="adapter"
   calledByClass="client"
   thisObject="object1"</pre>
```

```
nextCallInSubtree="yes">
```

```
<entry className="adaptee"</pre>
```

```
calledByClass="adapter"
```

```
calledByObject="object1"
```

```
thisObject="object2">
```

```
</entry>
```

```
</entry>
```

PDE - Dynamic analysis

 Probekit is used to collect dynamic facts such as <entry

```
calledByClass="ContactAdapter"
calledByMethod="setTitle"
calledByObject="ContactAdapter@145"
className="ChovnatlhImpl"
methodName="cherPatlh"
thisObject="ChovnatlhImpl@110">
```

 If the dynamic facts do not match the dynamic definition the candidate instance is deemed a false positive

Results with sample pattern implementations

PDE detects 22/23 patterns

- Except Facade, all patterns are detected
- Facade is more an architectural design
 pattern
- PINOT detects 17/23
 - Pattern definitions are hard coded
- FUJABA detects 14/23
 - Behavioral patterns hard to detect

	PDE	PINOT	FUJABA
Creational			
Abstract Factory		\checkmark	\sim
Builder	\checkmark	-	-
Factory Method	V	\checkmark	✓
Prototype	\checkmark	-	:
Singleton	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Structural			
Adapter			\sim
Bridge	\checkmark	V	V 1
Composite	V	1	V I
Decorator	\checkmark	\checkmark	V
Facade	-	V	-
Flyweight	\checkmark	V	\checkmark
Proxy	V	V	V I
Behavioral			
Chain of Resp.		√	-
Command	\checkmark	-	-
Interpreter	\checkmark	-	-
Iterator	\checkmark	-	\checkmark
Mediator	\checkmark	~	-
Memento	\checkmark	V	-
Observer	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
State	\checkmark	V	1
Strategy	V	V	\checkmark
Template Method	\checkmark	V	V
Visitor	V	V	V
Sum	22/23	17/23	14/23

PDE Full Results

