### EECS 4422/5323 Computer Vision

#### Unit 8: ConvNets & Learning

This presentation includes slides and figures from R. Duda et al., R. Fergus, S. Lazebnik and Y. LeCun.

### Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Architecture
- Examples
- Summary

### Outline

#### Introduction

- Background
- Architecture
- Examples
- Summary

# Introduction: Convolutional networks



#### Key ideas

- Build a hierarchy of representations: From primitive features to mid-level abstractions to object identity.
- Invariance to irrelevant aspects of data increases as we go up the layers.
- Efficiency results as far fewer parameters than a fully connected network with same number of elemental units.
- Deep learning: Learn the hierarchy of internal representations.

## Introduction: Convolutional networks

Also called ConvNets, Convolutional Neural Networks & CNNs



#### Key ideas

- Build a hierarchy of representations: From primitive features to mid-level abstractions to object identity.
- Invariance to irrelevant aspects of data increases as we go up the layers.
- Efficiency results as far fewer parameters than a fully connected network with same number of elemental units.
- Deep learning: Learn the hierarchy of internal representations.

## Introduction: Inspiration



#### **Mammalian visual cortex**

- The ventral (what) pathway in the visual cortex has multiple stages.
- Retina  $\rightarrow$  LGN  $\rightarrow$  V1  $\rightarrow$  V2  $\rightarrow$  V4  $\rightarrow$  PIT  $\rightarrow$  AIT ...

# Introduction: Hubel/Wiesel visual cortex model



#### D. Hubel and T. Wiesel (1959, 1962, Nobel Prize 1981)

- Visual cortex consists of …
  - a hierarchy of simply complex and hypercomplex cells ..
  - with retinotopic organization.
- Based physiological recordings in cat cortex.
- D. Hubel & T. Wiesel (1959) Receptive fields of single neurons in the cat's striate cortex. Journal of Physiology 148 (3), 574-591.
- D. Hubel & T. Wiesel (1962) Receptive fields, binocular interaction and functional architecture in the cat's visual cortex. Journal of Physiology 160 (1), 106-154.

### Introduction: An old idea for shift invariance



#### Hubel & Wiesel features + pooling

- Simple cells detect local features.
- Complex cells "pool" the outputs of simple cells within a retinotopic neighborhood.

### Introduction: Repeat



#### **Convolutional network**

- Hierarchical/multilayer: Features get progressively global, invariant and numerous.
- Dense features: Feature detectors applied everywhere (no interest points).
- Broadly tuned: Toward invariance.
- Complete recognition system: Integrates segmentation, feature extraction and classification.

### Introduction: Where do the features come from?

#### What about learning the features?

- Learn a feature hierarchy all the way from bottom to top.
  - In Vision: Pixels → Edges → Textons →Parts → Objects → Scenes
  - In language: Audio → phonemes → Words → Parts of Speech
     → Sentences → Narratives
- Each layer extracts features from the output of the previous layer.
- Train all layers jointly, end-to-end to minimize a global loss function.
- Use a gradient based optimization algorithm.

### Introduction: Repeat



#### **Convolutional network**

- Hierarchical/multilayer: Features get progressively global, invariant and numerous.
- Dense features: Feature detectors applied everywhere (no interest points).
- Broadly tuned: Toward invariance.
- Complete recognition system: Integrates segmentation, feature extraction and classification.

## Introduction: Repeat with learning



#### **Convolutional network**

- Hierarchical/multilayer: Features get progressively global, invariant and numerous.
- Dense features: Feature detectors applied everywhere (no interest points).
- Broadly tuned: Toward invariance.
- Complete recognition system: Integrates segmentation, feature extraction and classification.
- Global discriminant training: Train whole system end-to-end, e.g., with a gradient based optimization algorithm to minimize a global loss function.

# Introduction: The "traditional" approach



#### **Key contrasting ideas**

- Raw input is processed with a hand-crafted feature extractor.
- Features not learned.
- Classifier is "generic" (e.g., Nearest Neighbor, SVM, ...).

# Introduction: The "traditional" approach



#### **Key contrasting ideas**

- Raw input is processed with a hand-crafted feature extractor.
- Features not learned.
- Classifier is "generic" (e.g., Nearest Neighbor, SVM, ...).
- **Remark:** As with ConvNets, there likely are multiple stages of internal representation, but they are hand-crafted.

# Introduction: The "traditional" features



#### Key ideas

- Features are key to recent progress in recognition.
- Multiple of hand-designed features currently in use.
  - Edges
  - Corners
  - SOE
- What should be the next step?
  - Build ever better features?
  - Leverage better classifiers?

### Introduction: Shallow vs. deep architectures

#### **Traditional "shallow" architecture**



#### New (not really) "deep" architecture



### Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Architecture
- Examples
- Summary

### Background: Perceptron (Rosenblatt 1957)

Input



Rosenblatt, F. (1957) The Perceptron--a perceiving and recognizing automaton. Report 85-460-1, Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory.

### Background: Perceptron (Rosenblatt 1957)

Input



-0.8

-1

-0.6

-0.4

-0.2

0.2

0.4

0.6

0.8

### **Background:** Inspiration from neurons



# Background: Multilayer neural networks



#### Rosenblatt (1962): 3 layer perceptron

- Multilayer perceptron for classification.
- Input and output layers
- Hidden-layer, not seen by input nor output, connected between the two.
- Rosenblatt, F. (1962) Principles of Neurodynamics. Washington, DC:Spartan Books.

## Background: Multilayer neural networks



#### Where do the connection weights come from?

 Training: find network weights w to minimize the error between true training labels y<sub>i</sub> and estimated labels f<sub>w</sub>(x<sub>i</sub>):

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}_i))^2$$

- Minimization can be done by gradient descent provided f is differentiable
  - This training method is called back-propagation

# Background: Multilayer neural networks



#### Historical remark

- Back-propagation originally proposed in Bryson, Deham, Dreyfus (1963) Optimal programming problems with inequality constraints, AIAA J. 1 (11), 2544-2550.
- Subsequently applied to NN by Werbos (1970) in his Harvard PhD thesis, New Tools for Prediction and Analysis in the Behavioural Sciences.
- Popularized by Rumelhart, Hinton & Williams (1986) Learning representations by back-propagating errors, Nature 323, 533-536.

# Background: Hubel/Wiesel visual cortex model



#### D. Hubel and T. Wiesel (1959, 1962, Nobel Prize 1981)

- Visual cortex consists of …
  - a hierarchy of simply complex and hypercomplex cells ..
  - with retinotopic organization.
- Based physiological recordings in cat cortex.
- D. Hubel & T. Wiesel (1959) Receptive fields of single neurons in the cat's striate cortex. Journal of Physiology 148 (3), 574-591.
- D. Hubel & T. Wiesel (1962) Receptive fields, binocular interaction and functional architecture in the cat's visual cortex. Journal of Physiology 160 (1), 106-154.

# Background: Blakemore/Cooper



#### Blakemore & Cooper (1970)

- Cats raised in environment consisting of lines of only one orientation...
- ... hand no cortical neurons responding to the orthogonal orientation.
- Suggests role of stimulus driven learning in neural development.
- C. Blakemore & G. Cooper (1970), Development of the brain depends on visual environment. Nature 228, 447-448.

# Background: From Hubel/Wiesel to ConvNets



#### LeCun et al. 1998

- Neural network with special connectivity structure.
- Stack multiple layers of feature extractors.
- Higher layers extract more global and invariant descriptors.
- Classification at the end.
- Supervised learning via back-propagation.



## Background: Prehistory of ConvNets



#### **Neocognitron (Fukushima 1980)**

- Similar architectures were proposed earlier.
- Indeed, they had arguably more sophisticated learning capabilities (non-supervised)...
- ... as well as recurrent connections that enabled selective attention.
- They were even applied to the same problems.

#### **Feedforward operation**

• A *d*-dimensional input **x** is presented to the input layer.

input **x**  $x_1$   $x_2$   $\dots$   $x_i$   $\dots$   $x_d$ 

- A *d*-dimensional input **x** is presented to the input layer.
- Each input unit emits a corresponding component *x<sub>i</sub>*



- A *d*-dimensional input **x** is presented to the input layer.
- Each input unit emits a corresponding component *x<sub>i</sub>*
- Each of the *n* hidden units computes

   its net activation *net<sub>j</sub>* as the inner
   product of the input layer signals with
   weights *w<sub>ji</sub>* at the hidden unit.
   hidden unit



- A *d*-dimensional input **x** is presented to the input layer.
- Each input unit emits a corresponding component x<sub>i</sub>
- Each of the *n* hidden units computes its net activation *net<sub>j</sub>* as the inner product of the input layer signals with weights *w<sub>ji</sub>* at the hidden unit.
- The hidden unit emits  $y_j = f(net_j)$ , with f a nonlinear activation function.



- A *d*-dimensional input **x** is presented to the input layer.
- Each input unit emits a corresponding component *x<sub>i</sub>*
- Each of the *n* hidden units computes
   its net activation *net<sub>j</sub>* as the inner
   *w* product of the input layer signals with
   weights *w<sub>ji</sub>* at the hidden unit.
- The hidden unit emits  $y_j = f(net_j)$ , with f a nonlinear activation function.
- Each of the *c* output units function in the same way as the hidden units.



Wji

input **x** 

XI

 $\chi_l$ 

 $\chi_2$ 

 $\chi_2$ 

#### **Feedforward operation**

- A *d*-dimensional input **x** is presented to the input layer.
- Each input unit emits a output z Z1Z2Zkcorresponding component  $x_i$ output unit Each of the *n* hidden units computes • its net activation  $net_i$  as the inner Wkj product of the input layer signals with  $\mathcal{V}I$  $\mathcal{V}^2$ Vj hidden unit weights  $w_{ji}$  at the hidden unit. . . . . . .
- The hidden unit emits  $y_j = f(net_j)$ , with f a nonlinear activation function.
- Each of the *c* output units function in the same way as the hidden units.
- The final emitted signals, *z<sub>k</sub>* = f(*net<sub>k</sub>*), are used as discriminant functions for classification.



Xi

 $\chi_i$ 

Vn

 $\chi_d$ 

 $\chi_d$ 

**Training error** 



#### **Training error**

Let the training error on a pattern be the sum over output units of the squared difference between desired output *t<sub>k</sub>* given by a teacher and the actual output *z<sub>k</sub>*

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_k - z_k)^2$$

 With *c* the length of the target and network output vectors and w all the weights in the network.



#### Learning

• Initialize weights to random variables.
### Learning

- Initialize weights to random variables.
- Change weights in a direction that reduces the error

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = -\eta \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

### Learning

- Initialize weights to random variables.
- Change weights in a direction that reduces the error

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = -\eta \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

• In component form

$$\Delta w_{pq} = -\eta \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{pq}}$$

with  $\eta$  the learning rate that indicates the relative size of change in the weights.

### Learning

- Initialize weights to random variables.
- Change weights in a direction that reduces the error

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = -\eta \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

• In component form

$$\Delta w_{pq} = -\eta \frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{pq}}$$

with  $\eta$  the learning rate that indicates the relative size of change in the weights.

• An iterative algorithm results

$$\mathbf{w}(m+1) = \mathbf{w}(m) + \Delta \mathbf{w}(m)$$

with m the particular pattern presented.

### Hidden-to-output weight update

We wish to evaluate  $\partial J$  $\partial w_{kj}$ target t **t**1  $t_2$ **t**k tc . . . ... output z ZlZ2Zkoutput unit . . Wkj  $\mathcal{V}l$ Уj *Y*2  $\mathcal{V}n$ hidden unit . . . . . . Wji XI  $X_2$ Xi Xdinput unit . . . . . . input **x** 

 $\chi_l$ 

 $\chi_2$ 

 $\chi_i$ 

40

 $\chi_d$ 

### Hidden-to-output weight update

• We wish to evaluate

 $\partial W_{kj}$ 

 $\partial J$ 

• Since the error does not depend explicitly on *wkj*, we have a problem

### Hidden-to-output weight update

• We wish to evaluate

 $\partial w_{kj}$ 

 $\partial J$ 

• Since the error does not depend explicitly on *wkj*, we have a problem

 $rac{\partial J}{\partial w_{kj}}$ 

### Hidden-to-output weight update

 $\partial J$ 

 $\partial W_{ki}$ 

• We wish to evaluate

• Since the error does not depend explicitly on *wkj*, we have a problem

 $rac{\partial J}{\partial w_{ki}}$ 

 $J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_k - z_k)^2$ 

### Hidden-to-output weight update

 $\partial J$ 

• We wish to evaluate

• Since the error does not depend explicitly on *wkj*, we have a problem

 $\partial J$ 

 $\overline{\partial W_{ki}}$ 

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial w_{kj}}$$

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_k - z_k)^2$$

$$z_k = f(net_k)$$

### Hidden-to-output weight update

 $\partial J$ 

 $\partial W_{ki}$ 

• We wish to evaluate

• Since the error does not depend explicitly on  $W_{kj}$ , we have a problem

 $\partial J$ 

 $\overline{\partial W_{ki}}$ 

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_k - z_k)^2$$
$$z_k = f(net_k)$$
$$net_k = \sum_j w_{kj} y_j$$

### Hidden-to-output weight update

• We wish to evaluate

Recall: The "chain rule", let h(x)=g[f(x)]then h'(x)=g'[f(x)]f'(x)

• Since the error does not depend explicitly on  $W_{kj}$ , we use the chain rule

 $\partial W_{ki}$ 

 $\partial J$ 

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{kj}}$$

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_k - z_k)^2$$

$$z_k = f(net_k)$$

$$net_k = \sum_j w_{kj} y_j$$

### Hidden-to-output weight update

• We wish to evaluate

**Recall:** The "chain rule", let h(x)=g[f(x)]then h'(x)=g'[f(x)]f'(x)

• Since the error does not depend explicitly on *Wkj*, we use the chain rule

 $\partial W_{ki}$ 

 $\partial J$ 

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{kj}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial net_k} \frac{\partial net_k}{\partial w_{kj}}$$
$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_k - z_k)^2$$
$$z_k = f(net_k)$$
$$net_k = \sum_j w_{kj} y_j$$

### Hidden-to-output weight update

• We wish to evaluate

with

• Since the error does not depend explicitly on *Wkj*, we use the chain rule

 $\partial J$ 

 $\partial W_{ki}$ 

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{kj}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial net_k} \frac{\partial net_k}{\partial w_{kj}} = \delta_k \frac{\partial net_k}{\partial w_{kj}}$$

$$\delta_k = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial net_k}$$

the sensitivity of unit k and describes the overall error change as a function of the unit's net activation.

### Hidden-to-output weight update

$$\delta_k = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial net_k}$$

### Hidden-to-output weight update

$$\delta_k = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial net_k}$$

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_k - z_k)^2$$

$$z_k = f(net_k)$$

#### Hidden-to-output weight update

$$\delta_k = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial net_k} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial net_k}$$

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_k - z_k)^2$$

$$z_k = f(net_k)$$

#### Hidden-to-output weight update

$$\delta_{k} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial net_{k}} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial net_{k}}$$

### Hidden-to-output weight update

$$\delta_{k} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial net_{k}} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial net_{k}} = (t_{k} - z_{k})f'(net_{k})$$
$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_{k} - z_{k})^{2}$$

### Hidden-to-output weight update

$$\delta_{k} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial net_{k}} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial net_{k}} = (t_{k} - z_{k})f'(net_{k})$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial net_{k}}f(net_{k})$$

### Hidden-to-output weight update

• Assuming the activation function f is differentiable

$$\delta_{k} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial net_{k}} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial net_{k}} = (t_{k} - z_{k})f'(net_{k})$$

• Next we evaluate the second component of the error

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{kj}} = \delta_k \frac{\partial net_k}{\partial w_{kj}}$$

### Hidden-to-output weight update

• Assuming the activation function f is differentiable

$$\delta_{k} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial net_{k}} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial net_{k}} = (t_{k} - z_{k})f'(net_{k})$$

• Next we evaluate the second component of the error

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{kj}} = \delta_k \frac{\partial net_k}{\partial w_{kj}} \longrightarrow net_k = \sum_j w_{kj} y_j$$

as

#### Hidden-to-output weight update

• Assuming the activation function f is differentiable

$$\delta_{k} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial net_{k}} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial net_{k}} = (t_{k} - z_{k})f'(net_{k})$$

• Next we evaluate the second component of the error

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{kj}} = \delta_k \frac{\partial net_k}{\partial w_{kj}}$$

$$net_k = \sum_j w_{kj} y_j$$

$$\frac{\partial net_k}{\partial w_{kj}} = y_j$$

as

#### Hidden-to-output weight update

• Assuming the activation function f is differentiable

$$\delta_{k} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial net_{k}} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial z_{k}} \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial net_{k}} = (t_{k} - z_{k})f'(net_{k})$$

• Next we evaluate the second component of the error

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{kj}} = \delta_k \frac{\partial net_k}{\partial w_{kj}}$$

as

$$\frac{\partial net_k}{\partial w_{kj}} = y_j$$

• So that the weight update is

$$\Delta w_{kj} = \eta \delta_k y_j = \eta (t_k - z_k) f'(net_k) y_j$$

### Input-to-hidden weight update

• We wish to evaluate



### Input-to-hidden weight update

• We wish to evaluate

• Since the error does not depend explicitly on  $W_{ji}$ , we use the chain rule

 $\partial W_{ji}$ 

 $\partial J$ 

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial net_j} \frac{\partial net_j}{\partial w_{ji}}$$

### Input-to-hidden weight update

• We wish to evaluate

• Since the error does not depend explicitly on *w*<sub>ji</sub>, we use the chain rule

 $\partial J$ 

 $\overline{\partial W}_{ji}$ 

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial net_j} \frac{\partial net_j}{\partial w_{ji}}$$

• The first term on the RHS involves all the weights

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial y_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} \left( t_k - z_k \right)^2 \right)$$

because each  $z_k$  depends on all  $y_i$ 

#### Input-to-hidden weight update

• Now we evaluate

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial y_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_k - z_k)^2 \right)$$

#### Input-to-hidden weight update

• Now we evaluate

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial y_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k)^2 \right)$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k) \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial y_j}$$

#### Input-to-hidden weight update

Now we evaluate  $\frac{\partial J}{\partial y_{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} \left( t_{k} - z_{k} \right)^{2} \right)$  $=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(t_k - z_k\right) \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial y_i}$  $z_{k} = f(net_{k}) = -\sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_{k} - z_{k}) \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial net_{k}} \frac{\partial net_{k}}{\partial y_{j}}$  $net_k = \sum_i w_{kj} y_j$ 

#### Input-to-hidden weight update

Now we evaluate  $\frac{\partial J}{\partial y_{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} \left( t_{k} - z_{k} \right)^{2} \right)$  $=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(t_k-z_k)\frac{\partial z_k}{\partial v_k}$  $z_k = f(net_k)$  $= -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (t_k - z_k) \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial net_k} \frac{\partial net_k}{\partial v_k}$  $net_k = \sum w_{kj} y_j$  $= -\sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_k - z_k) f'(net_k) w_{kj}$ k=1

#### Input-to-hidden weight update

• Now we evaluate

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial y_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k)^2 \right)$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k) \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial y_j}$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k) \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial net_k} \frac{\partial net_k}{\partial y_j}$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k) f'(net_k) w_{kj}$$

#### Input-to-hidden weight update

• Now we evaluate

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial y_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k)^2 \right)$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k) \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial y_j}$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k) \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial net_k} \frac{\partial net_k}{\partial y_j}$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k) f'(net_k) w_{kj}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^c \delta_k w_{kj}$$

#### Input-to-hidden weight update

• Now we evaluate

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial y_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k)^2 \right)$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k) \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial y_j}$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k) \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial net_k} \frac{\partial net_k}{\partial y_j}$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^c (t_k - z_k) f'(net_k) w_{kj}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^c \delta_k w_{kj}$$

• The sum over output units expresses how the hidden unit *y<sub>j</sub>* affects error at each output unit.

#### Input-to-hidden weight update

We still need to evaluate the remaining two terms on the RHS of the error

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial net_j} \frac{\partial net_j}{\partial w_{ji}}$$

#### Input-to-hidden weight update

They yield as

۲

We still need to evaluate the remaining two terms on the RHS of the error

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial net_j} \frac{\partial net_j}{\partial w_{ji}}$$

$$y_j = f(net_j)$$

$$\frac{\partial y_j}{\partial net_j} = f'(net_j)$$

$$net_j = \sum_i w_{ji} x_i$$

#### Input-to-hidden weight update

 We still need to evaluate the remaining two terms on the RHS of the error

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial net_j} \frac{\partial net_j}{\partial w_{ji}}$$

• They yield as

$$\frac{\partial y_j}{\partial net_j} = f'(net_j)$$

$$\frac{\partial net_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = x_i$$

• Pulling all together, we have

$$\Delta w_{ji} = \eta \left( \sum_{k=1}^{c} w_{kj} \delta_k \right) f'(net_j) x_i$$

### Input-to-hidden weight update

• We can further interpret the update

$$\Delta w_{ji} = \eta \left( \sum_{k=1}^{c} w_{kj} \delta_k \right) f'(net_j) x_i$$
$$= \eta x_i \delta_j$$

- Here,  $\delta_j$  is the sensitivity for given (hidden) unit
  - The sum of the individual sensitivities of the output units
  - Weighted by the hidden-to-output weights
  - All modulated by the derivative of the activation function, f
### **Background:** Backpropagation

#### **Recapitulation**

• We seek to minimize the training error

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{c} (t_k - z_k)^2$$

as a function of the all the weights,  $\mathbf{w}$ , in the network.

- To do so, we employ gradient descent.
- The chain rule serves to push the error derivatives through the network.
- While we have only explicitly derived the weight updates for a 3 layer network, the same methodology works for ever more layers.

### **Background:** Backpropagation

#### Caveat

• Gradient descent, e.g.,

$$\mathbf{w}(m+1) = \mathbf{w}(m) + \Delta \mathbf{w}(m), \ \Delta \mathbf{w} = -\eta \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

#### only finds local minima!



### Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Architecture
- Examples
- Summary

#### Looking under the hood



#### Looking under the hood

• Our overall architecture is



• But, what is inside each Feature Extractor?



#### Looking under the hood

• Our overall architecture is



• But, what is inside each Feature Extractor?



#### Looking under the hood



#### Looking under the hood



#### Looking under the hood







Input

Feature Map



#### **Remarks**

- Linear Shift Invariant (LSI).
- Local operations.
- Few parameters (PSF weights).





Input

Feature Map



#### **Recall (from our recent past)**

• If we multiply by and sum over a set of weights,  $w_{kj}$  at year point,  $y_j$ , then

$$net_k = \sum_{i} w_{kj} y_j$$

is exactly a convolution at k.



Input



Feature Map







#### Remarks

- Applied independently per element.
- Enhances strong responses at expense of weak responses.
- Popular choices: tanh, sigmoid, rectified linear unit (ReLU).





#### **Remarks**

- Role of pooling.
  - Invariance to small transformations.
  - Larger support regions "see" more of input.
- Can be overlapped or not.
- Popular choices: sum and max.



#### **Remarks**

- Role of pooling.
  - Invariance to small transformations.
  - Larger support regions "see" more of input.
- Can be overlapped or not.
- Popular choices: sum and max.







#### Remarks

- Role of normalization:
  - Additional photometric invariance.
  - Increased contrast.
- Within and/or across feature maps.
  - Subtractive: Subtract from every value in feature map a weighted average of its neighbors.
  - Divisive: Divide every value in feature map by the sum (or standard deviation) of all feature maps.
- Before or after pooling.



#### Remarks

- Role of normalization:
  - Additional photometric invariance.
  - Increased contrast.
- Within and/or across feature maps.
- Before or after pooling.





Feature Maps

Feature Maps After Contrast Normalization

#### Looking under the hood

• Our overall architecture is



• What is inside each Feature Extractor.





### Questions

- What can be said about the nature of the learned representations?
- What types of information do they capture?



#### Layer 1

• Learned PSFs appear as oriented bandpass kernels.



#### Layer 1

• Top nine patches from images giving maximal response for a filter following training.



#### Layer 2

• Top nine patches from images giving maximal response for a filter following training.



#### Layer 5

• Top nine patches from images giving maximal response for a filter following training.



Learned feature maps depend on training data



#### Learned feature maps depend on training data

• Maps trained on handwritten digits.

# Architecture: ConvNets vs. traditional

### **Traditional architecture**



#### **ConvNet architecture**



### Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Architecture
- Examples
- Summary

### **Examples**

### **Sampling of ConvNet success stories**

- Handwritten digits
- Simple recognition
- Generic object recognition
- Face detection
- Driving

### **Examples:** ConvNet success stories

#### Handwritten digit recognition

- MNIST Handwritten Digit Dataset
- 60,000 training samples
- 10,000 test samples

0 2 3 8 0 7 3 8 5 7 

### **Examples:** ConvNet success stories

#### Handwritten digit recognition

- MNIST Handwritten Digit Dataset
- 60,000 training samples
- 10,000 test samples
- Ciresan et al. 2011: 0.17% error

3681796691 6757863485 2179712845 4819018894 7618641560 7592658197 2222234480 0 2 3 8 0 7 3 8 5 7 0146460243 7128169861

### **Examples:** ConvNet success stories

#### **Traffic sign recognition**

• Human error rate: 1.16%


#### **Traffic sign recognition**

- Human error rate: 1.16%
- Ciresan et al. 2011: 0.56% error



#### **Traffic sign recognition**

- Human error rate: 1.16%
- Ciresan et al. 2011: 0.56% error

## Strong performance in simple recognition tasks

• But less good with more complicated datasets (e.g., Caltech-101)



#### **Traffic sign recognition**

- Human error rate: 1.16%
- Ciresan et al. 2011: 0.56% error

## Strong performance in simple recognition tasks

- But less good with more complicated datasets (e.g., Caltech-101)
- Until recently...

# IM GENET



[Deng et al. CVPR 2009]

#### ImageNet Challenge 2012

- ~14 million labeled image; 20K classes
- Gathered from Internet
- Human labels via Amazon Turk
- Challenge: 1.2 million test images; 1000 classes

# IM GENET



[Deng et al. CVPR 2009]



#### Krizhevsky, Sutskever & Hinton 2012

• Similar to LeCun et al. 1998, but ...



#### Krizhevsky, Sutskever & Hinton 2012

• Similar to LeCun et al. 1998, but ...



#### Krizhevsky, Sutskever & Hinton 2012

- Similar to LeCun et al. 1998, but ...
- Bigger model: 7 hidden layers, 650,000 units, 60,000,000 params
- More training data: 10<sup>6</sup> vs. 10<sup>3</sup> images



#### ImageNet Challenge 2012: Results

- Top performer: Krizhevsky et al: 16.4% error.
- Next best (non-ConvNet): 26.2% error.



#### **ImageNet Challenge 2012: Results**

- Top performer: Krizhevsky et al: 16.4% error.
- Next best (non-ConvNet): 26.2% error.

#### Why?

More training data; more computational resources.<sup>11 /</sup>



## Face detection & pose recognition: Osadchy et al., 2007 118





#### Driving: LeCun et al. 2005

- Mobile platform with two cameras
- Network trained from recorded stereo video + human steering angles.
- Result maps stereo images to steering angles to avoid obstacles.

## Examples: Industry

#### Industry labs actively pursuing ConvNets include

- Facebook: Face and object recognition
- France Telcom: Face detection, HCI, handheld apps
- Google: OCR, face & license plate removal from StreetView
- Microsoft: OCR, handwriting and speech recognition
- NEC: Cancer cell detection, automotive apps
- Vidient: Video surveillance

## Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Architecture
- Examples
- Summary

## Summary

#### **ConvNets provide**

- Hierarchical representation for invariant pattern recognition that is
  - learning based
  - biologically inspired
  - recently enabled through increased computation power and large amounts of training data

## Summary

#### **ConvNets provide**

- Hierarchical representation for invariant pattern recognition that is
  - learning based
  - biologically inspired
  - recently enabled through increased computation power and large amounts of training data
- Success on a variety of tasks
  - Here we concentrate on vision, ...
  - ... but many others as well (e.g., speech recognition, medical, ...)

## Summary

#### **ConvNets provide**

- Hierarchical representation for invariant pattern recognition that is
  - learning based
  - biologically inspired
  - recently enabled through increased computation power and large amounts of training data
- Success on a variety of tasks
  - Here we concentrate on vision, ...
  - ... but many others as well (e.g., speech recognition, medical, ...)

#### **Current limitations include**

- Need for large amounts of training data and computational resources
- Little ability to learn without supervision
- Lack of (short term) memory
- Lack of reasoning mechanisms
- Lack of theoretical understanding on what they represent

## Outline

- Introduction
- Background
- Architecture
- Examples
- Summary