

EECS 3214: Computer Networks Protocols and Applications

Suprakash Datta

datta@cse.yorku.ca

Office: CSEB 3043

Phone: 416-736-2100 ext 77875

Course page: <http://www.cse.yorku.ca/course/3214>

These slides are adapted from Jim Kurose's slides.


Chapter 4: Network Layer

Chapter goals:

- understand principles behind network layer services:
 - routing (path selection)
 - dealing with scale
 - how a router works
 - advanced topics: IPv6, mobility
- instantiation and implementation in the Internet

Network layer

- transport segment from sending to receiving host
- on sending side encapsulates segments into datagrams
- on rcving side, delivers segments to transport layer
- network layer protocols in every host, router
- Router examines header fields in all IP datagrams passing through it




Key Network-Layer Functions

- *forwarding*: move packets from router's input to appropriate router output
- *routing*: determine route taken by packets from source to dest.
 - *Routing algorithms*

analogy:

- *routing*: process of planning trip from source to dest
- *forwarding*: process of getting through single interchange

Interplay between routing and forwarding



Network service model

Q: What *service model* for “channel” transporting datagrams from sender to rcvr?

Example services for individual datagrams:

- guaranteed delivery
- Guaranteed delivery with less than 40 msec delay

Example services for a flow of datagrams:


- In-order datagram delivery
- Guaranteed minimum bandwidth to flow
- Restrictions on changes in inter-packet spacing

Virtual circuits

- Telephone-like switching
- Connection establishment
- Lots of signaling issues
- Resource reservation and call admission possible
- QoS easy to implement
- Shorter packet headers

Datagram networks

- no call setup at network layer
- routers: no state about end-to-end connections
 - no network-level concept of “connection”
- packets forwarded using destination host address
 - packets between same source-dest pair may take different paths



Addressing ideas

- Systematic address assignment and address aggregation
- Routing table compaction
- Support for distributed routing algorithm

Forwarding table

4 billion
possible entries

| <u>Destination Address Range</u> | <u>Link Interface</u> |
|---|-----------------------|
| 11001000 00010111 00010000 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00010111 11111111 | 0 |
| 11001000 00010111 00011000 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00011000 11111111 | 1 |
| 11001000 00010111 00011001 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00011111 11111111 | 2 |
| otherwise | 3 |

Longest prefix matching

| <u>Interface</u> | <u>Prefix Match</u> | <u>Link</u> |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 11001000 00010111 00010 | | 0 |
| 11001000 00010111 00011000 | | 1 |
| 11001000 00010111 00011 | | 2 |
| otherwise | | 3 |

Examples

DA: 11001000 00010111 00010110 10100001 Which interface?

DA: 11001000 00010111 00011000 10101010 Which interface?

Datagram or VC network: why?

Internet

- data exchange among computers
 - “elastic” service, no strict timing req.
- “smart” end systems (computers)
 - can adapt, perform control, error recovery
 - simple inside network, complexity at “edge”
- many link types
 - different characteristics
 - uniform service difficult

ATM

- evolved from telephony
- human conversation:
 - strict timing, reliability requirements
 - need for guaranteed service
- “dumb” end systems
 - telephones
 - complexity inside network


Next: Router designs

- What does a router do?
- What is inside a router?


Router Architecture Overview

Two key router functions:

- run routing algorithms/protocol (RIP, OSPF, BGP)
- *forwarding* datagrams from incoming to outgoing link



Input Port Functions






Physical layer:
bit-level
reception

Data link layer:
e.g., Ethernet
see chapter 5

Decentralized switching:

- given datagram dest., lookup output port using forwarding table in input port memory
- goal: complete input port processing at 'line speed'
- queuing: if datagrams arrive faster than forwarding rate into switch fabric


Three types of switching fabrics



Switching Via Memory


First generation routers:

- traditional computers with switching under direct control of CPU
- packet copied to system's memory
- speed limited by memory bandwidth (2 bus crossings per datagram)



Switching Via a Bus


- datagram from input port memory to output port memory via a shared bus
- **bus contention:** switching speed limited by bus bandwidth
- 1 Gbps bus, Cisco 1900: sufficient speed for access and enterprise routers (not regional or backbone)



Switching Via An Interconnection Network


- overcome bus bandwidth limitations
- Banyan networks, other interconnection nets initially developed to connect processors in multiprocessor
- Advanced design: fragmenting datagram into fixed length cells, switch cells through the fabric.
- Cisco 12000: switches Gbps through the interconnection network

Output Ports



- *Buffering* required when datagrams arrive from fabric faster than the transmission rate
- *Scheduling discipline* chooses among queued datagrams for transmission


Output port queueing




- buffering when arrival rate via switch exceeds output line speed
- *queueing (delay) and loss due to output port buffer overflow!*

Input Port Queuing

- Fabric slower than input ports combined -> queueing may occur at input queues
- **Head-of-the-Line (HOL) blocking:** queued datagram at front of queue prevents others in queue from moving forward
- *queueing delay and loss due to input buffer overflow!*



output port contention
at time t - only one red
packet can be transferred




green packet
experiences HOL blocking

Next:

- The TCP/IP network layer

The Internet Network layer

Host, router network layer functions:



IP datagram format

IP protocol version number

header length (bytes)


"type" of data

max number remaining hops (decremented at each router)

upper layer protocol to deliver payload to

how much overhead with TCP?

- 20 bytes of TCP
- 20 bytes of IP
- = 40 bytes + app layer overhead




total datagram length (bytes)

for fragmentation/ reassembly

E.g. timestamp, record route taken, specify list of routers to visit.

IP Fragmentation & Reassembly

- network links have MTU (max.transfer size) - largest possible link-level frame.
 - different link types, different MTUs
- large IP datagram divided (“fragmented”) within net
 - one datagram becomes several datagrams
 - “reassembled” only at final destination
 - IP header bits used to identify, order related fragments



IP Fragmentation & Reassembly

Example

- 4000 byte datagram
- MTU = 1500 bytes

| | length | ID | fragflag | offset | |
|--|--------|----|----------|--------|--|
| | =4000 | =x | =0 | =0 | |

One large datagram becomes
several smaller datagrams

1480 bytes in
data field

offset =
 $1480/8$


| | length | ID | fragflag | offset | |
|--|--------|----|----------|--------|--|
| | =1500 | =x | =1 | =0 | |

| | length | ID | fragflag | offset | |
|--|--------|----|----------|--------|--|
| | =1500 | =x | =1 | =185 | |

| | length | ID | fragflag | offset | |
|--|--------|----|----------|--------|--|
| | =1040 | =x | =0 | =370 | |


IP Addressing: introduction

- **IP address:** 32-bit identifier for host, router *interface*
- **interface:** connection between host/router and physical link
 - router's typically have multiple interfaces
 - host may have multiple interfaces
 - IP addresses associated with each interface



Subnets

- IP address:
 - subnet part (high order bits)
 - host part (low order bits)
- *What's a subnet ?*
 - device interfaces with same subnet part of IP address
 - can physically reach each other without intervening router




network consisting of 3 subnets

Subnets

Recipe


- To determine the subnets, detach each interface from its host or router, creating islands of isolated networks. Each isolated network is called a **subnet**.



Subnet mask: /24

Subnets

How many?



IP addressing: CIDR

CIDR: Classless InterDomain Routing

- subnet portion of address of arbitrary length
- address format: **a.b.c.d/x**, where x is # bits in subnet portion of address



200.23.16.0/23

IP addresses: how to get one?

Q: How does *host* get IP address?

- hard-coded by system admin in a file
 - Wintel: control-panel->network->configuration->tcp/ip->properties
 - UNIX: /etc/rc.config
- **DHCP: D**ynamic **H**ost **C**onfiguration **P**rotocol:
dynamically get address from as server
 - “plug-and-play”(more in next chapter)

IP addresses: how to get one?


Q: How does *network* get subnet part of IP addr?

A: gets allocated portion of its provider ISP's address space

| | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|
| ISP's block | <u>11001000</u> | <u>00010111</u> | <u>00010000</u> | 00000000 | 200.23.16.0/20 |
| Organization 0 | <u>11001000</u> | <u>00010111</u> | <u>00010000</u> | 00000000 | 200.23.16.0/23 |
| Organization 1 | <u>11001000</u> | <u>00010111</u> | <u>00010010</u> | 00000000 | 200.23.18.0/23 |
| Organization 2 | <u>11001000</u> | <u>00010111</u> | <u>00010100</u> | 00000000 | 200.23.20.0/23 |
| ... | | | | | |
| Organization 7 | <u>11001000</u> | <u>00010111</u> | <u>00011110</u> | 00000000 | 200.23.30.0/23 |


Hierarchical addressing: route aggregation

Hierarchical addressing allows efficient advertisement of routing information:



Hierarchical addressing: more specific routes

ISPs-R-Us has a more specific route to Organization 1



IP addressing: the last word...

Q: How does an ISP get block of addresses?


A: **ICANN**: Internet **C**orporation for **A**ssigned
Names and **N**umbers

- allocates addresses
- manages DNS
- assigns domain names, resolves disputes

Next:

- NAT
 - Security
 - Efficient (re)-use of IP addresses

NAT: Network Address Translation



NAT: Network Address Translation


- **Motivation:** local network uses just one IP address as far as outside world is concerned:
 - no need to be allocated range of addresses from ISP: - just one IP address is used for all devices
 - can change addresses of devices in local network without notifying outside world
 - can change ISP without changing addresses of devices in local network
 - devices inside local net not explicitly addressable, visible by outside world (a security plus).

NAT: Network Address Translation

Implementation: NAT router must:

- *outgoing datagrams: replace* (source IP address, port #) of every outgoing datagram to (NAT IP address, new port #)
 - . . . remote clients/servers will respond using (NAT IP address, new port #) as destination addr.
- *remember (in NAT translation table)* every (source IP address, port #) to (NAT IP address, new port #) translation pair
- *incoming datagrams: replace* (NAT IP address, new port #) in dest fields of every incoming datagram with corresponding (source IP address, port #) stored in NAT table

NAT: Network Address Translation



NAT: Network Address Translation

- 16-bit port-number field:
 - 60,000 simultaneous connections with a single LAN-side address!
- NAT is controversial:
 - routers should only process up to layer 3
 - violates end-to-end argument
 - NAT possibility must be taken into account by app designers, eg, P2P applications
 - address shortage should instead be solved by IPv6

Next: ICMP

- Keeping the internet running
- ICMP: Internet Control Message Protocol

ICMP messages

| | | | |
|---|------|------|--|
| ■ used by hosts & routers to communicate network-level information | Type | Code | <u>description</u> |
| | 0 | 0 | echo reply (ping) |
| ■ error reporting: unreachable host, network, port, protocol | 3 | 0 | dest. network unreachable |
| | 3 | 1 | dest host unreachable |
| | 3 | 2 | dest protocol unreachable |
| | 3 | 3 | dest port unreachable |
| | 3 | 6 | dest network unknown |
| ■ echo request/reply (used by ping) | 3 | 7 | dest host unknown |
| | 4 | 0 | source quench –not used (congestion control) |
| ■ network-layer “above” IP: ■ ICMP msgs carried in IP datagrams | 8 | 0 | echo request (ping) |
| | 9 | 0 | route advertisement |
| | 10 | 0 | router discovery |
| | 11 | 0 | TTL expired |
| | 12 | 0 | bad IP header |
| ■ ICMP message: type, code plus first 8 bytes of IP datagram causing error | | | |
| | | | |

Traceroute: sample output

- eclipse 381 % traceroute cs.umass.edu traceroute to cs.umass.edu (128.119.243.168), 30 hops max, 38 byte packets
- 1 gateway-92 (130.63.92.1) 259 ms 0.235 ms 0.191 ms
- 2 mosquito.gw.yorku.ca (130.63.31.12) 0.231 ms 0.287 ms 0.201 ms
- 3 gladiator.gw.yorku.ca (130.63.27.18) 0.370 ms 0.291 ms 0.325 ms
- 4 ORION-YORK-RNE.DIST1-TORO.IP.orion.on.ca (66.97.23.49) 0.372 ms 0.242 ms 0.319 ms
- 5 BRDR2-TORO-GE2-2.IP.orion.on.ca (66.97.16.125) 0.922 ms 0.891 ms 0.946 ms
- 6 c4-tor01.canet4.net (205.189.32.214) 1.240 ms 1.340 ms 1.191 ms
- 7 abilene-chinng.canet4.net (205.189.32.97) 11.477 ms 17.612 ms 18.169 ms
- 8 nycmng-chinng.abilene.ucaid.edu (198.32.8.83) 27.826 ms 38.481 ms 27.810 ms
- 9 noxgs1-PO-6-0-NoX-NOX.nox.org (192.5.89.9) 33.231 ms 32.847 ms 32.665 ms
- 10 nox300gw1-VI-800-NoX-NOX.nox.org (192.5.89.246) 32.939 ms 32.991 ms 33.015 ms
- 11 nox300gw1-PEER-NoX-UMASS-192-5-89-102.nox.org (192.5.89.102) 36.151 ms 35.731 ms 36.346 ms
- 12 lgrc-rt-106-8.gw.umass.edu (128.119.2.193) 36.044 ms 35.853 ms 35.656 ms
- 13 128.119.3.153 (128.119.3.153) 37.921 ms 37.101 ms 37.004 ms
- 14 loki.cs.umass.edu (128.119.243.168) 37.686 ms 37.112 ms 36.635 ms

Traceroute and ICMP

- Source sends series of UDP segments to dest
 - First has TTL =1
 - Second has TTL=2, etc.
 - Unlikely port number
 - When nth datagram arrives to nth router:
 - Router discards datagram
 - And sends to source an ICMP message (type 11, code 0)
 - Message includes name of router & IP address
 - When ICMP message arrives, source calculates RTT
 - Traceroute does this 3 times
- Stopping criterion
- UDP segment eventually arrives at destination host
 - Destination returns ICMP “host unreachable” packet (type 3, code 3)
 - When source gets this ICMP, stops.

IPv6: the “new” IP

- **Initial motivation:** 32-bit address space soon to be completely allocated.
- Additional motivation:
 - header format helps speed processing/forwarding
 - header changes to facilitate QoS

IPv6 datagram format:


- fixed-length 40 byte header
- no fragmentation allowed

IPv6 Header

Priority: identify priority among datagrams in flow

Flow Label: identify datagrams in same "flow."
(concept of "flow" not well defined).

Next header: identify upper layer protocol for data



← 32 bits →

Other Changes from IPv4

- *Checksum*: removed entirely to reduce processing time at each hop
- *Options*: allowed, but outside of header, indicated by “Next Header” field
- *ICMPv6*: new version of ICMP
 - additional message types, e.g. “Packet Too Big”
 - multicast group management functions


Transition From IPv4 To IPv6

- Not all routers can be upgraded simultaneous
 - no “flag days”
 - How will the network operate with mixed IPv4 and IPv6 routers?
- *Tunneling*: IPv6 carried as payload in IPv4 datagram among IPv4 routers

Supporting both IPv4 and IPv6


- Dual stack
- Tunneling

Tunneling



Routing Algorithms

Graph abstraction



Graph: $G = (N, E)$


N = set of routers = $\{ u, v, w, x, y, z \}$

E = set of links = $\{ (u,v), (u,x), (v,x), (v,w), (x,w), (x,y), (w,y), (w,z), (y,z) \}$

Remark: Graph abstraction is useful in other network contexts

Example: P2P, where N is set of peers and E is set of TCP connections

Graph abstraction: costs



- $c(x, x') = \text{cost of link } (x, x')$
 - e.g., $c(w, z) = 5$
- cost could always be 1, or inversely related to bandwidth, or inversely related to congestion

Cost of path $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_p) = c(x_1, x_2) + c(x_2, x_3) + \dots + c(x_{p-1}, x_p)$

Question: What's the least-cost path between u and z ?

Routing algorithm: algorithm that finds least-cost path

Routing Algorithm classification

Global or decentralized information?

Global:

- all routers have complete topology, link cost info
- “link state” algorithms

Decentralized:

- router knows physically-connected neighbors, link costs to neighbors
- iterative process of computation, exchange of info with neighbors
- “distance vector” algorithms

Static or dynamic?

Static:

- routes change slowly over time

Dynamic:

- routes change more quickly
 - periodic update
 - in response to link cost changes

Routing algorithms

- Dijkstra – centralized shortest path algorithm (covered in 2011, 3101, 3213,...).
 - Link state (aka Distance Vector algorithm): fully distributed algorithm, needs local communication and local knowledge; covered in 3213, 3101 (?).
 - Both are shortest path algorithms.
 - Choice of cost independent of algorithm.
-
- So far, we always thought about single domain networks.

Hierarchical Routing

Our routing study thus far - idealization

- all routers identical
- network “flat”

... *not* true in practice

scale: with 200 million destinations:

- can't store all dest's in routing tables!
- routing table exchange would swamp links!

administrative autonomy

- internet = network of networks
- each network admin may want to control routing in its own network


Hierarchical Routing

- aggregate routers into regions, “autonomous systems” (AS)
- routers in same AS run same routing protocol
 - “intra-AS” routing protocol
 - routers in different AS can run different intra-AS routing protocol

Gateway router

- Direct link to router in another AS

Interconnected ASes



- Forwarding table is configured by both intra- and inter-AS routing algorithm
 - Intra-AS sets entries for internal dests
 - Inter-AS & Intra-As sets entries for external dests


Inter-AS tasks

- Suppose router in AS1 receives datagram for which dest is outside of AS1
 - Router should forward packet towards one of the gateway routers, but which one?

AS1 needs:


1. to learn which dests are reachable through AS2 and which through AS3
2. to propagate this reachability info to all routers in AS1

Job of inter-AS routing!




Example: Setting forwarding table in router 1d

- Suppose AS1 learns from the inter-AS protocol that subnet **x** is reachable from AS3 (gateway 1c) but not from AS2.
- Inter-AS protocol propagates reachability info to all internal routers.
- Router 1d determines from intra-AS routing info that its interface **/** is on the least cost path to 1c.
- Puts in forwarding table entry **(x, /)**.



Example: Choosing among multiple ASes

- Now suppose AS1 learns from the inter-AS protocol that subnet **x** is reachable from AS3 *and* from AS2.
- To configure forwarding table, router 1d must determine towards which gateway it should forward packets for dest **x**.
- This is also the job on inter-AS routing protocol!
- **Hot potato routing**: send packet towards closest of two routers.




Intra-AS Routing on the Internet

- Also known as **Interior Gateway Protocols (IGP)**
- Most common Intra-AS routing protocols:
 - RIP: Routing Information Protocol
 - OSPF: Open Shortest Path First
 - IGRP: Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (Cisco proprietary)

RIP (Routing Information Protocol)

- Distance vector algorithm
- Included in BSD-UNIX Distribution in 1982
- Distance metric: # of hops (max = 15 hops)




| <u>destination</u> | <u>hops</u> |
|--------------------|-------------|
| u | 1 |
| v | 2 |
| w | 2 |
| x | 3 |
| y | 3 |
| z | 2 |

RIP advertisements

- Distance vectors: exchanged among neighbors every 30 sec via Response Message (also called **advertisement**)
- Each advertisement: list of up to 25 destination nets within AS

RIP: Example




| Destination Network | Next Router | Num. of hops to dest. |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| W | A | 2 |
| Y | B | 2 |
| Z | B | 7 |
| X | -- | 1 |
| ... | ... | ... |

Routing table in D

RIP: Example

| Dest | Next | hops |
|------|------|------|
| w | - | - |
| x | - | - |
| z | C | 4 |
| ... | ... | ... |

Advertisement
from A to D



| Destination Network | Next Router | Num. of hops to dest. |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| w | A | 2 |
| y | B | 2 |
| z | B A | 7 5 |
| x | -- | 1 |
| ... | ... | ... |


RIP: Link Failure and Recovery

If no advertisement heard after 180 sec -->
neighbor/link declared dead

- routes via neighbor invalidated
- new advertisements sent to neighbors
- neighbors in turn send out new advertisements (if tables changed)
- link failure info quickly propagates to entire net
- poison reverse used to prevent ping-pong loops (infinite distance = 16 hops)

RIP Table processing

- RIP routing tables managed by **application-level** process called route-d (daemon)
- advertisements sent in UDP packets, periodically repeated




OSPF (Open Shortest Path First)

- “open”: publicly available
- Uses Link State algorithm
 - LS packet dissemination
 - Topology map at each node
 - Route computation using Dijkstra’s algorithm
- OSPF advertisement carries one entry per neighbor router
- Advertisements disseminated to **entire** AS (via flooding)
 - Carried in OSPF messages directly over IP (rather than TCP or UDP)

OSPF “advanced” features (not in RIP)

- **Security:** all OSPF messages authenticated (to prevent malicious intrusion)
- **Multiple** same-cost **paths** allowed (only one path in RIP)
- For each link, multiple cost metrics for different **TOS** (e.g., satellite link cost set “low” for best effort; high for real time)
- Integrated uni- and **multicast** support:
 - Multicast OSPF (MOSPF) uses same topology data base as OSPF
- **Hierarchical** OSPF in large domains.

Hierarchical OSPF



Hierarchical OSPF


- **Two-level hierarchy:** local area, backbone.
 - Link-state advertisements only in area
 - each nodes has detailed area topology; only know direction (shortest path) to nets in other areas.
- **Area border routers:** “summarize” distances to nets in own area, advertise to other Area Border routers.
- **Backbone routers:** run OSPF routing limited to backbone.
- **Boundary routers:** connect to other AS's.

Internet inter-AS routing: BGP

- **BGP (Border Gateway Protocol):** *the de facto standard*
- BGP provides each AS a means to:
 1. Obtain subnet reachability information from neighboring ASs.
 2. Propagate the reachability information to all routers internal to the AS.
 3. Determine “good” routes to subnets based on reachability information and policy.
- Allows a subnet to advertise its existence to rest of the Internet: *“I am here”*


BGP basics

- Pairs of routers (BGP peers) exchange routing info over semi-permanent TCP conctns: **BGP sessions**
- Note that BGP sessions do not correspond to physical links.
- When AS2 advertises a prefix to AS1, AS2 is *promising* it will forward any datagrams destined to that prefix towards the prefix.
 - AS2 can aggregate prefixes in its advertisement



Distributing reachability info

- With eBGP session between 3a and 1c, AS3 sends prefix reachability info to AS1.
- 1c can then use iBGP to distribute this new prefix reach info to all routers in AS1
- 1b can then re-advertise the new reach info to AS2 over the 1b-to-2a eBGP session
- When router learns about a new prefix, it creates an entry for the prefix in its forwarding table.



Path attributes & BGP routes

- When advertising a prefix, advert includes BGP attributes.
 - prefix + attributes = “route”
- Two important attributes:
 - **AS-PATH**: contains the ASs through which the advert for the prefix passed: AS 67 AS 17
 - **NEXT-HOP**: Indicates the specific internal-AS router to next-hop AS. (There may be multiple links from current AS to next-hop-AS.)
- When gateway router receives route advert, uses **import policy** to accept/decline.


BGP route selection

- Router may learn about more than 1 route to some prefix. Router must select route.
- Elimination rules:
 1. Local preference value attribute: policy decision
 2. Shortest AS-PATH
 3. Closest NEXT-HOP router: hot potato routing
 4. Additional criteria

BGP messages


- BGP messages exchanged using TCP.
- BGP messages:
 - **OPEN**: opens TCP connection to peer and authenticates sender
 - **UPDATE**: advertises new path (or withdraws old)
 - **KEEPALIVE** keeps connection alive in absence of UPDATES; also ACKs OPEN request
 - **NOTIFICATION**: reports errors in previous msg; also used to close connection

BGP routing policy



- A,B,C are **provider networks**
- X,W,Y are customer (of provider networks)
- X is **dual-homed**: attached to two networks
 - X does not want to route from B via X to C
 - .. so X will not advertise to B a route to C

BGP routing policy (2)



- A advertises to B the path AW
- B advertises to X the path BAW
- Should B advertise to C the path BAW?
 - No way! B gets no “revenue” for routing CBAW since neither W nor C are B’s customers
 - B wants to force C to route to w via A
 - B wants to route *only* to/from its customers!

Why different Intra- and Inter-AS routing ?

Policy:

- Inter-AS: admin wants control over how its traffic routed, who routes through its net.
- Intra-AS: single admin, so no policy decisions needed

Scale:

- hierarchical routing saves table size, reduced update traffic

Performance:

- Intra-AS: can focus on performance
- Inter-AS: policy may dominate over performance