Multiple Access (1)

1

Required reading: Forouzan 12.1.1 Garcia 6.1, 6.2.1, 6.2.2

CSE 3213, Fall 2015 Instructor: N. Vlajic





Multiple Access Communications

Broadcast Networks



 aka multiple access networks – multiple sending & receiving <u>stations share the</u> <u>same transmission medium</u>

• advantages:

- 1) low cost infrastructure
- all stations attached to the medium hear transmission from any other station ⇒ routing not necessary
- disadvantages:
 - access of multiple sending and receiving nodes to the shared medium must be coordinated
 - 1) stations should not be transmitting simultaneously or interrupting each other
 - 2) stations should not be able to 'monopolize' the transmission/shared medium
- examples: LAN, cellular and satellite networks

Multiple Access Communications (cont.)

Approaches to Medium Sharing



(1) Static Channelization – static & collision free sharing

- partition medium into separate channels, which are then dedicated to particular users
- advantage: <u>no collisions</u>, <u>perfect fairness</u> each node gets a dedicated transmission rate R/N during each time interval (suitable for 'streaming data', e.g. voice streams)
- disadvantage: each user gets only a fraction of the full channel capacity, even when no other station is transmitting



Multiple Access Communications (cont.)



satellite network

cellular network

- two frequency bands: one for uplink and one for downlink
- each station is allocated a channel in the uplink and in the downlink frequency band
- different approaches can be employed to create uplink/downlink channels (FDMA, TDMA, CDMA)
- although each station can theoretically transmit to and listen to any channel, stations remain within their pre-allocated channels to avoid interference

Multiple Access Communications (cont.)

(2) <u>Dynamic</u> Medium Access – MAC Schemes

- the medium is shared on a 'per frame' basis
- advantage: transmitting node transmits at the full rate of the channel (suitable for 'bursty data', e.g. short messages)
- disadvantage: simultaneous attempts by two or more stations to access the channel result in 'collision'
- collision can be minimized through <u>scheduling</u> or <u>random access control</u>







Random Access Techniques: ALOHA

ALOHA – the earliest random-access method (1970s) – still used in wireless cellular systems for its simplicity

- a station transmits whenever it has data to transmit, producing smallest possible delay – receiver ACKs data
- if more than one frames are transmitted at the same time, they interfere with each other (collide) and are lost
- if ACK not received within timeout (2*propagation delay), the station picks <u>random</u> backoff time (to reduce likelihood of subseq. collisions)



Random Access Techniques: ALOHA (cont.)

Legend



Random Access Techniques: ALOHA (cont.)

Example [Aloha throughput]



http://www.invocom.et.put.poznan.pl/~invocom/C/P1-4/p1-4_en/p1-4_3_7.htm#pureAapplet

Random Access Techniques: ALOHA (cont.)

Vulnerable Period • assume frames of constant length (L) & transmission time (X=L/R)

- consider a frame with starting transmission time $t_o the$ frame will be successfully transmitted if no other frame collides with it
 - any transmission that <u>begins</u> in interval [t₀, t₀+X], or in the prior X seconds leads to collision

vulnerable period = [$t_0 - X, t_0 + X$]



What is the probability of no other transmission, i.e. no collision, in the vulnerable period?!

Arrival: passengers arrive randomly and independently – a Poisson process₁₂ Passenger arrivals are equally likely at any instant in time.



average # of arrived passengers in T [sec]:

average # of passengers in T [sec] = λT

probability of having exactly k passengers in line after T [sec]:

P[k arrivals in T seconds] = $\frac{(\lambda T)^{k}}{k!}e^{-\lambda T}$

Departure:

What is the probability that exactly 1 passenger arrives to the station, <u>off the buss</u>, in T sec?

 λ [passenger / sec]





http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Poisson_distribution_PMF.png