LINEAR CLASSIFIERS
Problems

- Please do Problem 8.3 in the textbook. We will discuss this in class.
Classification: Problem Statement

- In regression, we are modeling the relationship between a continuous input variable $x$ and a continuous target variable $t$.

- In classification, the input variable $x$ may still be continuous, but the target variable is discrete.

- In the simplest case, $t$ can have only 2 values.

  e.g., Let $t = +1 \leftrightarrow x$ assigned to $C_1$
  \[ t = -1 \leftrightarrow x \text{ assigned to } C_2 \]
Example Problem

- Animal or Vegetable?
Discriminative Classifiers

- If the conditional distributions are normal, the best thing to do is to estimate the parameters of these distributions and use Bayesian decision theory to classify input vectors. Decision boundaries are generally quadratic.

- However, if the conditional distributions are not exactly normal, this generative approach will yield sub-optimal results.

- Another alternative is to build a discriminative classifier, that focuses on modeling the decision boundary directly, rather than the conditional distributions themselves.
Linear models for classification separate input vectors into classes using linear (hyperplane) decision boundaries.

Example:

2D Input vector $\mathbf{x}$
Two discrete classes $C_1$ and $C_2$
Two Class Discriminant Function

\[ y(x) = w^t x + w_0 \]

\[ y(x) \geq 0 \rightarrow x \text{ assigned to } C_1 \]
\[ y(x) < 0 \rightarrow x \text{ assigned to } C_2 \]

Thus \( y(x) = 0 \) defines the decision boundary
Two-Class Discriminant Function

\[ y(x) = w^t x + w_0 \]

\[ y(x) \geq 0 \rightarrow x \text{ assigned to } C_1 \]
\[ y(x) < 0 \rightarrow x \text{ assigned to } C_2 \]

For convenience, let
\[ w = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & \ldots & w_M \end{bmatrix}^t \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} w_0 & w_1 & \ldots & w_M \end{bmatrix}^t \]
and
\[ x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \ldots & x_M \end{bmatrix}^t \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \ldots & x_M \end{bmatrix}^t \]

So we can express \( y(x) = w^t x \)
For classification problems, we want $y$ to be a predictor of $t$. In other words, we wish to map the input vector into one of a number of discrete classes, or to posterior probabilities that lie between 0 and 1.

For this purpose, it is useful to elaborate the linear model by introducing a nonlinear activation function $f$, which typically will constrain $y$ to lie between -1 and 1 or between 0 and 1.

$$y(x) = f\left(w^t x + w_0\right)$$
The Perceptron

\[ y(x) = f\left( w^t x + w_0 \right) \]

- A classifier based upon this simple generalized linear model is called a (single layer) perceptron.
- It can also be identified with an abstracted model of a neuron called the McCulloch Pitts model.
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Parameter Learning

How do we learn the parameters of a perceptron?
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Case 1. Linearly Separable Inputs

- For starters, let’s assume that the training data is in fact perfectly linearly separable.

- In other words, there exists at least one hyperplane (one set of weights) that yields 0 classification error.

- We seek an algorithm that can automatically find such a hyperplane.
The Perceptron Algorithm

- The perceptron algorithm was invented by Frank Rosenblatt (1962).
- The algorithm is iterative.
- The strategy is to start with a random guess at the weights $w$, and to then iteratively change the weights to move the hyperplane in a direction that lowers the classification error.
The Perceptron Algorithm

- Note that as we change the weights continuously, the classification error changes in discontinuous, piecewise constant fashion.
- Thus we cannot use the classification error per se as our objective function to minimize.
- What would be a better objective function?
The Perceptron Criterion

- Note that we seek \( w \) such that
  
  \[ w^t x \geq 0 \text{ when } t = +1 \]
  
  \[ w^t x < 0 \text{ when } t = -1 \]

- In other words, we would like
  
  \[ w^t x_n t_n \geq 0 \quad \forall n \]

- Thus we seek to minimize

  \[ E_P(w) = - \sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}} w^t x_n t_n \]

  where \( \mathcal{M} \) is the set of misclassified inputs.
The Perceptron Criterion

\[ E_P(w) = - \sum_{n \in M} w^t x_n t_n \]

where \( M \) is the set of misclassified inputs.

- **Observations:**
  - \( E_P(w) \) is always non-negative.
  - \( E_P(w) \) is continuous and piecewise linear, and thus easier to minimize.
The Perceptron Algorithm

\[ E_P(w) = -\sum_{n \in M} w^t x_n t_n \]

where \( M \) is the set of misclassified inputs.

\[ \frac{dE_P(w)}{dw} = -\sum_{n \in M} x_n t_n \]

where the derivative exists.

- **Gradient descent:**

\[ w^{\tau+1} = w^{\tau} - \eta \nabla E_P(w) = w^{\tau} + \eta \sum_{n \in M} x_n t_n \]
The Perceptron Algorithm

\[ \mathbf{w}^{t+1} = \mathbf{w}^t - \eta \nabla E_P(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^t + \eta \sum_{n \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbf{x}_n t_n \]

- Why does this make sense?
  - If an input from \( C_1 (t = +1) \) is misclassified, we need to make its projection on \( \mathbf{w} \) more positive.
  - If an input from \( C_2 (t = -1) \) is misclassified, we need to make its projection on \( \mathbf{w} \) more negative.
The algorithm can be implemented sequentially:

- Repeat until convergence:
  - For each input \((x_n, t_n)\):
    - If it is correctly classified, do nothing
    - If it is misclassified, update the weight vector to be
      \[ w^{\tau+1} = w^\tau + \eta x_n t_n \]
  - Note that this will lower the contribution of input \(n\) to the objective function:
    \[
    -(w^{(\tau)})^t x_n t_n \rightarrow -(w^{(\tau+1)})^t x_n t_n = -(w^{(\tau)})^t x_n t_n - \eta (x_n t_n)^t x_n t_n < -(w^{(\tau)})^t x_n t_n.
    \]
Not Monotonic

- While updating with respect to a misclassified input \( n \) will lower the error for that input, the error for other misclassified inputs may increase.
- Also, new inputs that had been classified correctly may now be misclassified.
- The result is that the perceptron algorithm is not guaranteed to reduce the total error monotonically at each stage.
Despite this non-monotonicity, if in fact the data are linearly separable, then the algorithm is guaranteed to find an exact solution in a finite number of steps (Rosenblatt, 1962).
Example
The First Learning Machine

- Mark 1 Perceptron Hardware (c. 1960)

Visual Inputs

Patch board allowing configuration of inputs $\phi$

Rack of adaptive weights $w$ (motor-driven potentiometers)
Practical Limitations

- The Perceptron Convergence Theorem is an important result. However, there are practical limitations:
  - Convergence may be slow
  - If the data are not separable, the algorithm will not converge.
  - We will only know that the data are separable once the algorithm converges.
  - The solution is in general not unique, and will depend upon initialization, scheduling of input vectors, and the learning rate $\eta$. 
Generalization to inputs that are not linearly separable.

- The single-layer perceptron can be generalized to yield good linear solutions to problems that are not linearly separable.

- Example: The Pocket Algorithm (Gal 1990)
  - **Idea:**
    - Run the perceptron algorithm
    - Keep track of the weight vector $w^*$ that has produced the best classification error achieved so far.
    - It can be shown that $w^*$ will converge to an optimal solution with probability 1.
How can we use perceptrons, or linear classifiers in general, to classify inputs when there are \( K > 2 \) classes?
K>2 Classes

- Idea #1: Just use $K-1$ discriminant functions, each of which separates one class $C_k$ from the rest. (One-versus-the-rest classifier.)

- Problem: Ambiguous regions
K>2 Classes

- Idea #2: Use $K(K-1)/2$ discriminant functions, each of which separates two classes $C_j, C_k$ from each other. (One-versus-one classifier.)

- Each point classified by majority vote.

- Problem: Ambiguous regions
K>2 Classes

- Idea #3: Use K discriminant functions \( y_k(x) \)
- Use the **magnitude** of \( y_k(x) \), not just the sign.

\[
y_k(x) = w_k^t x
\]

\( x \) assigned to \( C_k \) if \( y_k(x) > y_j(x) \) \( \forall j \neq k \)

Decision boundary \( y_k(x) = y_j(x) \rightarrow \left( w_k - w_j \right)^t x + \left( w_{k0} - w_{j0} \right) = 0 \)

Results in decision regions that are simply-connected and convex.
Example: Kesler’s Construction

- The perceptron algorithm can be generalized to K-class classification problems.

Example:

Kesler’s Construction:

- Allows use of the perceptron algorithm to simultaneously learn K separate weight vectors $w_i$.
- Inputs are then classified in Class $i$ if and only if $w_i^T x > w_j^T x \quad \forall j \neq i$
- The algorithm will converge to an optimal solution if a solution exists, i.e., if all training vectors can be correctly classified according to this rule.
When there are \( K > 2 \) classes, target variables can be coded using the 1-of-K coding scheme:

Input from Class \( C_i \) \( \iff \) \( t = [0 \ 0 \ \ldots \ 1 \ \ldots \ 0 \ 0]^t \)

Element \( i \)
Initially, the perceptron was thought to be a potentially powerful learning machine that could model human neural processing.

However, Minsky & Papert (1969) showed that the single-layer perceptron could not learn a simple XOR function.

This is just one example of a non-linearly separable pattern that cannot be learned by a single-layer perceptron.
Minsky & Papert’s book was widely misinterpreted as showing that artificial neural networks were inherently limited.

This contributed to a decline in the reputation of neural network research through the 70s and 80s.

However, their findings apply only to single-layer perceptrons. Multi-layer perceptrons are capable of learning highly nonlinear functions, and are used in many practical applications.
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Dealing with Non-Linearily Separable Inputs

- The perceptron algorithm fails when the training data are not perfectly linearly separable.
- Let’s now turn to methods for learning the parameter vector $w$ of a perceptron (linear classifier) even when the training data are not linearly separable.
The Least Squares Method

- In the least squares method, we simply fit the \((x, t)\) observations with a hyperplane \(y(x)\).
- Note that this is kind of a weird idea, since the \(t\) values are binary (when \(K=2\)), e.g., 0 or 1.
- However it can work pretty well.
Assume $D$ – dimensional input vectors $\mathbf{x}$.

For each class $k \in 1\ldots K$:

$$y_k(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_k^t \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}_{k0}$$

$$\rightarrow \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{\tilde{W}}^t \mathbf{\tilde{x}}$$

where

$$\mathbf{\tilde{x}} = (1, \mathbf{x}^t)^t$$

$\mathbf{\tilde{W}}$ is a $(D + 1) \times K$ matrix whose $k$th column is $\mathbf{\tilde{w}}_k = (\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w}_k^t)^t$
Learning the Parameters

**Method #2: Least Squares**

\[ y(x) = \tilde{W}^t \tilde{x} \]

Training dataset \((x_n, t_n), \quad n = 1, \ldots, N\)

where we use the 1-of-\(K\) coding scheme for \(t_n\)

Let \(T\) be the \(N \times K\) matrix whose \(n^{th}\) row is \(t_n^t\)

Let \(\tilde{X}\) be the \(N \times (D + 1)\) matrix whose \(n^{th}\) row is \(\tilde{x}_n^t\)

Let \(R_D(\tilde{W}) = \tilde{X}\tilde{W} - T\)

Then we define the error as \(E_D(\tilde{W}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} R_{ij}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}\left\{ R_D(\tilde{W})^t R_D(\tilde{W}) \right\} \)

Setting derivative wrt \(\tilde{W}\) to 0 yields:

\[ \tilde{W} = (\tilde{X}^t \tilde{X})^{-1} \tilde{X}^t T = \tilde{X}^+ T \]

Recall: \( \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \text{Tr}(AB) = B^t \).
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Another way to view linear discriminants: find the 1D subspace that maximizes the separation between the two classes.

Let \( \mathbf{m}_1 = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{n \in C_1} \mathbf{x}_n, \quad \mathbf{m}_2 = \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{n \in C_2} \mathbf{x}_n \)

For example, might choose \( \mathbf{w} \) to maximize \( \mathbf{w}^t (\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1) \), subject to \( \| \mathbf{w} \| = 1 \)

This leads to \( \mathbf{w} \propto \mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1 \)

However, if conditional distributions are not isotropic, this is typically not optimal.
Fisher’s Linear Discriminant

Let $m_1 = w^t m_1$, $m_2 = w^t m_2$ be the conditional means on the 1D subspace.

Let $s_k^2 = \sum_{n \in C_k} (y_n - m_k)^2$ be the within-class variance on the subspace for class $C_k$.

The Fisher criterion is then $J(w) = \frac{(m_2 - m_1)^2}{s_1^2 + s_2^2}$.

This can be rewritten as

$$J(w) = \frac{w^t S_B w}{w^t S_W w}$$

where

$S_B = (m_2 - m_1)(m_2 - m_1)^t$ is the between-class variance

and

$S_W = \sum_{n \in C_1} (x_n - m_1)(x_n - m_1)^t + \sum_{n \in C_2} (x_n - m_2)(x_n - m_2)^t$ is the within-class variance

$J(w)$ is maximized for $w \propto S_W^{-1}(m_2 - m_1)$.
Connection to MVN Maximum Likelihood

\[ J(w) \text{ is maximized for } w \propto S_w^{-1}(m_2 - m_1) \]

- Recall that if the two distributions are normal with the same covariance \( \Sigma \), the maximum likelihood classifier is linear, with
  \[ w \propto \Sigma^{-1}(m_2 - m_1) \]

- Further, note that \( S_w \) is proportional to the maximum likelihood estimator for \( \Sigma \).
- Thus FLD is equivalent to assuming MVN distributions with common covariance.
Connection to Least-Squares

Change coding scheme used in least-squares method to

\[ t_n = \frac{N}{N_1} \text{ for } C_1 \]

\[ t_n = -\frac{N}{N_2} \text{ for } C_2 \]

Then one can show that the ML \( \mathbf{w} \) satisfies

\[ \mathbf{w} \propto \mathbf{S}_w^{-1}(\mathbf{m}_2 - \mathbf{m}_1) \]
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Problems with Least Squares

- Problem #1: Sensitivity to outliers
Problems with Least Squares

Problem #2: Linear activation function is not a good fit to binary data. This can lead to problems.
Outline

- The Perceptron Algorithm
- Least-Squares Classifiers
- Fisher’s Linear Discriminant
- Logistic Classifiers
Logistic Regression \((K = 2)\)

\[
p(C_1 | \phi) = y(\phi) = \sigma(w^t \phi)
\]
\[
p(C_2 | \phi) = 1 - p(C_1 | \phi)
\]

where \(\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)}\)

\[
p(C_1 | \phi) = y(\phi) = \sigma(w^t \phi)
\]
Logistic Regression

\[ p(C_1 | \phi) = y(\phi) = \sigma(w^T \phi) \]
\[ p(C_2 | \phi) = 1 - p(C_1 | \phi) \]

where

\[ \sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-a)} \]

- Number of parameters
  - Logistic regression: \( M \)
  - Gaussian model: \( 2M + 2M(M+1)/2 + 1 = M^2 + 3M + 1 \)
ML for Logistic Regression

\[ p(t \mid w) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} y_n^{t_n} \{1 - y_n\}^{1-t_n} \quad \text{where } t = (t_1, \ldots, t_N)^t \text{ and } y_n = p(C_1 \mid \phi_n) \]

We define the error function to be \( E(w) = -\log p(t \mid w) \)

Given \( y_n = \sigma(a_n) \) and \( a_n = w^t \phi_n \), one can show that

\[ \nabla E(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(y_n - t_n\right) \phi_n \]

Unfortunately, there is no closed form solution for \( w \).
ML for Logistic Regression:

- Iterative Reweighted Least Squares
  - Although there is no closed form solution for the ML estimate of $w$, fortunately, the error function is convex.
  - Thus an appropriate iterative method is guaranteed to find the exact solution.
  - A good method is to use a local quadratic approximation to the log likelihood function (Newton-Raphson update):

$$w^{(new)} = w^{(old)} - H^{-1} \nabla E(w)$$

where $H$ is the Hessian matrix of $E(w)$
The Hessian Matrix $H$

\[ H = \nabla_w \nabla_w E(w), \text{ i.e., } H_{ij} = \frac{\partial E(w)}{\partial w_i \partial w_j}. \]

- $H_{ij}$ describes how the $i^{th}$ component of the gradient varies as we move in the $w_j$ direction.
- Let $u$ be any unit vector. Then
  - $Hu$ describes the variation in the gradient as we move in the direction $u$.
  - $u^t Hu$ describes the projection of this variation onto $u$.
  - Thus $u^t Hu$ measures how much the gradient is changing in the $u$ direction as we move in the $u$ direction.
\[ w^{(new)} = w^{(old)} - H^{-1} \nabla E(w) \]

where \( H \) is the Hessian matrix of \( E(w) \):

\[ H = \Phi^t R \Phi \]

where \( R \) is the \( N \times N \) diagonal weight matrix with \( R_{nn} = y_n (1 - y_n) \)

and \( \Phi \) is the \( N \times M \) design matrix whose \( n^{th} \) row is given by \( \phi_n^t \).

(Note that, since \( R_{nn} \geq 0 \), \( R \) is positive semi-definite, and hence \( H \) is positive semi-definite.

Thus \( E(w) \) is convex.)

Thus

\[ w^{new} = w^{(old)} - \left( \Phi^t R \Phi \right)^{-1} \Phi^t (y - t) \]

See Problem 8.3 in the text!
ML for Logistic Regression

- Iterative Reweighted Least Squares

\[ p(C_1 | \phi) = y(\phi) = \sigma(w^t \phi) \]
Logistic Regression

For $K>2$, we can generalize the activation function by modeling the posterior probabilities as

$$p(C_k | \phi) = y_k(\phi) = \frac{\exp(a_k)}{\sum_j \exp(a_j)}$$

where the activations $a_k$ are given by

$$a_k = \mathbf{w}_k^t \phi$$
Example

Least-Squares

Logistic
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