



### procedural and declarative semantics

- Prolog programs have both a declarative/logical semantics and a procedural semantics
- declarative semantics: query holds if it is a logical consequence of the program
- procedural semantics: query succeeds if a matching fact or rule succeeds, etc.
  - defines order in which goals are attempted, what happens when they fail, etc.

CSE 3401 F 2012



### and is not always commutative, e.g.

- sublistV1(S, L):- append(\_, L1, L), append(S, \_, L1).
   i.e. S is a sublist of L if L1 is any suffix of L and S is a prefix of L1
- sublistV2(S, L):- append(S, \_, L1), append(\_, L1 ,L).
   i.e. S is a sublist of L if S is a prefix of some list L1 and L1 is any suffix of L

CSE 3401 F 2012

5

# and is not always commutative, e.g.

- ?- sublistV1([c,b], [a, b, c, d]). false.
- sublistV2([c,b], [a, b, c, d]). ERROR: Out of global stack why?

CSE 3401 F 2012







# semantics of free variables in \+ is "funny"



that P(x) & Q(x)"

CSE 3401 F 2012









#### e.g. intersect

```
intersect([], _, []).
intersect([X|L], Y, [X|I]):-
member(X,Y), intersect(L, Y, I).
intersect([_|L], Y, I):-intersect(L, Y, I).
is buggy.
?- intersect([a], [b, a], []). succeeds.
why?
```

```
CSE 3401 F 2012
```



### Using ! e.g. intersect

```
    cut can be used to improve efficiency,
e.g.
intersect([], _, []).
intersect([X|L], Y, [X|I]):-
member(X,Y), intersect(L, Y, I).
    intersect(([X|L], Y, I):-
\+ member(X,Y), intersect(L, Y, I).
    retests member(X,Y) twice
```

```
CSE 3401 F 2012
```



### cut can be used to define useful features





### forcing all solutions

```
test :- member(X, [1, 2, 3]),
    nl, print(X),
    fail.
% no alternative sols for print(X) and nl
% but member has alternative sols
?- test.
1
2
3
No
```

## 2nd order features: bagof & setof



## 2nd order features: bagof & setof







# Tail recursion optimization in Prolog

- suppose have goal A and rule A' :- B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, ..., B<sub>n-1</sub>, B<sub>n</sub>. and A unifies with A' and B<sub>2</sub>, ..., B<sub>n-1</sub> succeed
- if there are no alternatives left for A and for B<sub>2</sub>, ..., B<sub>n-1</sub> then can simply replace A by B<sub>n</sub> on execution stack
- in such cases the predicate A is tail recursive
- nothing left to do in A when B<sub>n</sub> succeeds or fails/backtracks, so we can replace call stack frame for A by B<sub>n</sub>'s; recursion can be as space efficient as iteration

#### e.g. factorial



CSE 3401 F 2012



#### e.g. append

- ♦ append([],L,L). append([X|R],L,[X|RL]):append(R,L,RL).
- append is tail recursive if first argument is fully instantiated
- Prolog must detect the fact that there are no alternatives left; may depend on clause indexing mechanism used
- use of unification means more relations are tail recursive in Prolog than in other languages

CSE 3401 F 2012

29







### for more on tail recursion

 see Sterling & Shapiro The Art of Prolog Sec. 11.2

CSE 3401 F 2012