

COSC 4111/5111 Advising Note — Winter 2013

Here are some dances we have to engage in in order to prove certain functions and predicates are in $\mathcal{E}^0, \mathcal{E}_*^0$.

0.0.0.1 Lemma. *The following functions and predicates are in $\mathcal{E}^0, \mathcal{E}_*^0$.*

- (1) $\widetilde{sw}(x, y) = \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } y + 1 \text{ else } y$
- (2) $rem(x, y)$
- (3) $x|y$ (x divides y)
- (4) $\lfloor x/y \rfloor$
- (5) $z = x + y$
- (6) $z = xy$
- (7) $Pr(x)$
- (8) $Seq(x)$
- (9) $\pi(x)$
- (10) $y = p_n$
- (11) $lh(z)$
- (12) $\Omega(n, z)$, meaning, “ $z = p_n^k$, for some $k \geq 0$ ”
- (13) $\Pi(n, z)$, meaning, “the number of powers p_n^k that are $\leq z$ ”
- (14) $z = p_x^y$
- (15) $\exp(x, y)$
- (16) $(x)_y$

Proof.

- (1) $\widetilde{sw}(x, y) = \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } y + 1 \text{ else } y$:

$$\widetilde{sw}(0, y) = y + 1, \widetilde{sw}(x + 1, y) = y, \text{ and } \widetilde{sw}(x, y) \leq y + 1$$

2

(2) $rem(x, y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} rem(0, y) &= 0 \\ rem(x+1, y) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } rem(x, y) = y \dot{-} 1 \\ rem(x, y) + 1 & \text{oth} \end{cases} \\ rem(x, y) &< y \end{aligned}$$

(3) $x|y$ (x divides y):

$$x|y \equiv rem(y, x) = 0$$

(4) $\lfloor x/y \rfloor$:

$$\begin{aligned} \lfloor 0/y \rfloor &= 0 \\ \lfloor x+1/y \rfloor &= \begin{cases} \lfloor x/y \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } rem(x, y) = y \dot{-} 1 \\ \lfloor x/y \rfloor & \text{oth} \end{cases} \\ \lfloor x/y \rfloor &\leq x \end{aligned}$$

(5) $z = x + y$:

$$z = x + y \equiv z \dot{-} x = y \wedge y > 0 \vee y = 0 \wedge z = x$$

(6) $z = xy$:

$$z = xy \equiv z = 0 \wedge (x = 0 \vee y = 0) \vee x > 0 \wedge y > 0 \wedge x|z \wedge \lfloor z/x \rfloor = y$$

(7) $Pr(x)$:

$$Pr(x) \equiv x > 1 \wedge (\forall y)_{\leq x} (y > 0 \wedge y|x \rightarrow y = 1 \vee y = x)$$

(8) $Seq(x)$:

$$Seq(x) \equiv x > 1 \wedge (\forall y, z)_{\leq x} (Pr(y) \wedge Pr(z) \wedge y < z \wedge z|x \rightarrow y|x)$$

(9) $\pi(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(0) &= 0 \\ \pi(x+1) &= \widetilde{s\omega}(\chi_{Pr}(x+1), \pi(x)) \\ \pi(x) &\leq x \end{aligned}$$

(10) $y = p_n$:

$$y = p_n \equiv Pr(y) \wedge \pi(y) = y + 1$$

(11) $lh(z)$:

$$lh(z) = (\overset{\circ}{\mu}y)_{\leq z} \neg p_y | z.$$

Wait! $\lambda y.p_y$ is too big to be in \mathcal{E}^0 . Am I allowed to plug it into a variable of an \mathcal{E}_*^0 predicate —here $x|z$ — and expect an \mathcal{E}_*^0 result?

Well, no! But on a *case by case manner* there may be a “clever” way to show it is OK:

$p_y | z \equiv (\exists w)_{\leq z} (w = p_y \wedge w | z)$. “One-point rule” of MATH1090, albeit with a bounded quantifier.

(12) $\Omega(n, z)$:

$$\Omega(n, z) \equiv z = 1 \vee (\forall y)_{\leq z} (y > 1 \wedge y | z \rightarrow p_n | y)$$

(13) $\Pi(n, z)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(n, 0) &= 0 \\ \Pi(n, z+1) &= \widetilde{sw}(\chi_\Omega(n, z+1), \Pi(n, z)) \\ \Pi(n, z) &\leq z+1 \end{aligned}$$

(14) $z = p_x^y$:

$$z = p_x^y \equiv \Omega(x, z) \wedge \Pi(x, z) = y + 1$$

(15) $\exp(x, y)$:

$$\exp(x, y) = (\overset{\circ}{\mu}z)_{\leq y} \neg p_x^{z+1} | y$$

Noting that $p_x^{z+1} | y \equiv (\exists w)_{\leq y} (w | y \wedge w = p_x^{z+1})$, we are done by (14).

(16) $(x)_y$:

$$(x)_y = \exp(y, x) \dot{-} 1$$

□