

## Lassonde Faculty of Engineering EECS

### EECS2001Z. Problem Set No1 —Hints

Posted: Feb. 7, 2019

**General HINT.** Please do *not* provide derivations or the  $\text{prim}(h, g)$  notation in your solutions *unless I asked for them*. Also do not always go back to Adam and Eve. We have shown quite a few primitive recursive functions and relation to be primitive recursive. E.g., (without  $\lambda$ )  $x + y$ ,  $x \times y$ , if-then-else,  $x^y$ ,  $x = y$ ,  $x < y$ ,  $x \leq y$ ,  $x|y$ ,  $\text{Pr}(x)$ ,  $\pi(x)$ ,  $p_n$ , etc. Use them —**along with the closure properties we know**, e.g., *prim*, *comp*, Grz-Ops,  $(\mu y)_{<z}$ , def. by cases for functions; Boolean ops and  $(\exists y)_{<z}$  and  $(\forall y)_{<z}$  for relations— to build new functions and relations that are primitive recursive.

- (5 MARKS) Write a correct URM which simulates the assignment statement  $\mathbf{x} \leftarrow \mathbf{z}$  **without changing the original value of  $\mathbf{z}$** .

You must provide a brief coherent argument of correctness.

*Hint.* The part in red means that after  $\mathbf{x}$  received the value of  $\mathbf{z}$ , i.e., when we are done with  $\mathbf{x}$ , we take steps to restore the original value of  $\mathbf{z}$  (that now resides in  $\mathbf{x}$ ).

- (5 MARKS) Prove that the function

$$x \text{ 2s } \left\{ 2^{2^{2^{\dots^2}}} \right.$$

is in  $\mathcal{PR}$ .

*Hint.* One primitive recursion will do it. Note that in

$$x \text{ 2s } \left\{ 2^{2^{2^{\dots^2}}} \right.$$

brackets are inserted right-to-left (top-down) as is common with unary functions. That is, for example,  $2^{2^2}$  means  $2^{(2^2)}$ , NOT  $(2^2)^2$ . While these

two give the same result, this is not the case when the ladder of 2s becomes longer.

For example,  $2^{2^{2^2}} = 2^{(2^{(2^2)})} = 65536$  while (the incorrect)  $((2^2)^2)^2 = 256$ .

3. p.234 of the text, Section 2.12: Do

(a) Problem 3 (5 MARKS)

*Hint.* “A total function  $\lambda \vec{x}_n.f(\vec{x}_n)$  is 1-1”, as you know from EECS 1019, means that

$$f(\vec{x}_n) = f(\vec{y}_n) \rightarrow x_i = y_i, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

To show  $\lambda xy.2^{x+y+2} + 2^{y+1}$  is 1-1, think what precisely is the binary representation of the number  $2^{x+y+2} + 2^{y+1}$ . **You do not need to solve for  $K$  and  $L$  as the book does.**

(b) Problem 4 (5 MARKS)

*Hint.* To show  $\lambda xy.(x+y)^2 + x$  is 1-1, start with “let  $(x+y)^2 + x = (x' + y')^2 + x'$ ” and show

$$x = x' \wedge y = y'$$

But how? Well, try to show that the “let” implies  $x + y = x' + y'$ . **You must *not* solve for  $K$  and  $L$  at any time during your proof.**

(c) Problem 6 (5 MARKS)

*Hint.* A relation  $Q$  is a table (finite or infinite) of vectors  $\vec{x}_n$ . If  $n = 1$  we have a relation consisting of numbers. We write the relation most often as  $Q(\vec{x}_n)$ , but also as  $\vec{x}_n \in Q$ , or just  $Q$ .

Thus  $\mathbb{N}$  is a relation (of numbers, not vectors) just like the  $Pr(x)$  we discussed this week. We may write  $\mathbb{N}(x)$  or  $x \in \mathbb{N}$  for this relation.

Now, in our very first class we reviewed set theory and one of the things we recalled was that

$$x \in \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\} \equiv x = a_1 \vee x = a_2 \vee x = a_3 \vee \dots \vee x = a_n$$

(d) Problem 7 (5 MARKS)

*Hint.* Use the definition of  $\mathbb{N}(x) \in \mathcal{PR}_*$  or  $\mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{PR}_*$ . It requires that  $c_{\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{PR}$ .

So, what *IS*  $\lambda x.c_{\mathbb{N}}(x)$ , and can you prove it in  $\mathcal{PR}$ ?